

Logarithmic geometry and stacks in resolution of
singularities and moduli:
Resolution of singularities for everyone

Dan Abramovich, Brown University

LMS lecture series

July 3, 2024

Blowing up

Blowing up

- Say we want to blow up the xyz -space at the origin $x = y = z = 0$.
- For the **first chart**, x is the exceptional variable, and
- we introduce a **transformed variables** y', z' satisfying $xy' = y$, $xz' = z$
- For the **second chart** y is the exceptional, and $yx'' = x, yz'' = z$, etc.
- We have to remember how to patch: $x'' = 1/y'$ but $y = xy'$ and $z'' = z'/y'$.

is there a better way?

Blowing up - the cox construction

- Let $Y = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $J = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $V(J) = Z$.
- In the one and only chart

$$B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Y[s, x'_1, \dots, x'_k] / (sx'_1 - x_1, \dots, sx'_k - x_k),$$

- s is the exceptional variable, and x'_i are the transformed variables.
- $B_+ = B \setminus V(x'_1, \dots, x'_k)$
- B has \mathbb{C}^* -action $(s, x'_i) \mapsto (t^{-1}s, tx'_i)$ preserving B_+ .
- The blowup is $Bl_J(Y) = B_+ / \mathbb{C}^*$.

From the cox construction to charts

- $B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Y[s, x'_1, \dots, x'_k] / (sx'_1 - x_1, \dots, sx'_k - x_k),$
- $B_+ = B \setminus V(x'_1, \dots, x'_k)$
- The blowup is $Bl_J(Y) = B_+ / \mathbb{C}^*$.
- Taking the **slice** $x'_i = 1$ of the action over the locus $x'_i \neq 0,$
- We have $s = x_i,$ so $x_i x'_j = x_j$ giving the old charts.

What is B ?

- $B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Y[s, x'_1, \dots, x'_k] / (sx'_1 - x_1, \dots, sx'_k - x_k)$ maps to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s]$.
- It is the **degeneration to the normal bundle**:
- where $s = 1$ we have $x'_i = x_i$, so $B_1 = Y$.
- where $s = 0$ we have $x_i = 0$, so B_0 is the normal bundle of Z in Y .

The Cox construction of weighted blowups (Quek-Rydh)

A model weighted blowup has $J = (x_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, x_d^{1/w_d})$ on $Y = \mathbb{A}^n$.

- Read: “the transform of x_i comes with weight w_i ”
- $B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Y[s, x'_1, \dots, x'_k] / (s^{w_1} x'_1 - x_1, \dots, s^{w_k} x'_k - x_k)$.
- It has \mathbb{C}^* -action $(s, x'_i) \mapsto (t^{-1}s, t^{w_i} x'_i)$.
- $B_+ = B \setminus V(x'_1, \dots, x'_k)$
- $Bl_J(Y) = [B_+ / \mathbb{C}^*]$.
- B is the *degeneration to the **weighted** normal cone*.

From the Cox construction to charts

- $B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Y[s, x'_1, \dots, x'_k] / (s^{w_1} x'_1 - x_1, \dots, s^{w_k} x'_k - x_k)$.
- It has \mathbb{C}^* -action $(s, x'_i) \mapsto (t^{-1}s, t^{w_i} x'_i)$.
- The weighted blowup is $Bl_J(Y) = [B_+ / \mathbb{C}^*]$.
- Taking slice $x'_i = 1$, it is stabilized by $\mu_{w_i} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$.
- It acts via $(s, x_j) \mapsto (\zeta^{-1}s, \zeta^{w_j} x_j)$,
- So the chart over $x'_i \neq 0$ is

$$\left[\frac{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Y[s, x'_1, \dots, x'_k] / (s^{w_1} x'_1 - x_1, \dots, s^{w_i} - x_i, \dots, s^{w_k} x'_k - x_k)}{\mu_{w_i}} \right]$$

Resolution

Resolution of singularities

- A **resolution of singularities** is a proper birational morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ so that X' is smooth.
- I will allow X' to be a DM stack.
- But we may want to **destackify** at the end - modify X' to get a resolution by a smooth **variety**.
- There are several concrete ways to do this, belonging to a longer lecture series.
- For instance, Bergh's destackification.

Resolution of curves

- Consider a singular curve C .
- Let $p \in C$ be a singular point, and $C' \rightarrow C$ the blowing up.
- $p_a(C') < p_a(C)$, hence at some point you must stop — the curve becomes smooth.

(... weighted blowups do improve efficiency.)

Resolution of surfaces

- Consider the surface $x^2 - y^2z = 0$
- Its most singular point is $x = y = z = 0$.
- Blow it up and look at the z -chart:
- $x'^2z^2 - y'^2z^2 \cdot z = 0$, or $(x'^2 - y'^2z)z^2 = 0$.
- The z^2 factor is the exceptional. The other factor is exactly as we started!

Exercise

What does this look like with the Cox construction?

- Classical approaches find ways around it.
- I'll avoid that with weighted blowups.

Weighted resolution

- Consider the same surface $x^2 - y^2z = 0$
- Blow up (x^2, y^3, z^3) , proportionally $(x^{1/3}, y^{1/2}, z^{1/2})$.
- $s^6(x'^2 - y'^2z')$.
- The s^6 factor is the exceptional. The other factor is exactly as we started ...
- **But** we remove the locus $x' = y' = z' = 0!!!$

Exercise

In characteristic zero, show that this is an improvement! the remaining singularities on the $x' = y' = 0$ locus (where $z' \neq 0$) are normal crossings.

Exercise

Complete the resolution.

Exercise

Repeat for $x^2 - y_1y_2y_3 = 0$.

Principalization

Principalization

- A **principalization** of an ideal sheaf $I \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ on a smooth variety is a proper birational morphism $Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that $I\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ is exceptional and locally principal.
- We will be interested in a **strong** principalization, obtained by successively blowing up (using classical or **weighted** blowings up) of smooth loci contained in $V(I)\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$.

exercise

Show in the two examples that one more blowup results in principalization (“declare X exceptional”).

Accidental resolution

- We claim strong principalization can be used to get resolution.
- Suppose you can embed an irreducible X in a smooth Y .
- Consider $\mathcal{I} = I_X \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$.
- A strong principalization will make \mathcal{I} exceptional.
- It has to blow up the generic point of the proper transform X' .
- But a strong principalization only blows up smooth loci over X , so **at that point X' is smooth.**

Globalization and functoriality

- In general we only have a local embedding.
- This is addressed by **smooth functoriality** and the **reembedding principle**.
- Smooth functoriality demands that if $Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth then principalization of $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ and of $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ are the same.
- Reembedding demands that principalization of

$$\mathcal{I} + (z) \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y \times \text{Spec } k[z]}$$

coincides with that of $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$, namely the same centers are blown up

- Both are automatic in our resolution algorithm.
- Both are anyway desirable!

Invariants and centers

Examples of invariants

- The invariant of $x^2 - y^2z$ at the origin is $(2, 3, 3)$.
- Rationale: x appears in degree 2, while y and z appear in degree 3.
- The invariant of $x^2 - y_1y_2y_3$ at the origin is $(2, 3, 3, 3)$.
- The invariant of $x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^8$ at the origin is $(5, 15/2)$.
- Rationale: x appears in degree 5,
- and projecting the newton polygon from that point one reaches $15/2$.
- The invariant of $x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^7$ at the origin is $(5, 7)$.
- This time the point $(0, 7)$ lies below $(0, 15/2)$.

Examples of centers

- The center of $x^2 - y^2z$ at the origin is (x^2, y^3, z^3) .
- Rationale: x appears in degree 2, while y and z appear in degree 3.
- The center of $x^2 - y_1y_2y_3$ at the origin is $(x^2, y_1^3, y_2^3, y_3^3)$.
- The center of $x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^8$ at the origin is $(x^5, y^{15/2})$.
- The center of $x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^7$ at the origin is (x^5, y^7) .

What kind of object is J ?

- J is a \mathbb{Q} -ideal, an idealistic exponent, a valutive \mathbb{Q} -ideal, a Rees algebra.
- following a tradition of encoding rational powers of ideals, always up to integral closure.
- Locally it provides a monomial valuation.

Principalization and resolution

Principalization

This only works in characteristic 0:

Theorem (K-Të-Wt)

$\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'} = E^{\ell}\mathcal{I}'$ with $\text{inv}_{p'}\mathcal{I}' < \text{inv}_p(\mathcal{I})$.

In other words, after finitely many steps you get principalization, which in turns implies resolution.

We still need to **define** invariants and centers, and prove the theorem.

Derivatives

Derivatives of an ideal

- We consider for simplicity $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ where $Y = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.
- Define $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I} + (\partial f / \partial x_i)_{f \in \mathcal{I}, i=1, \dots, n}$.
- Define* $\mathcal{D}^n(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}))$.

exercise

Compute $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{D}^2(\mathcal{I})$ when $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + y^2z)$ and when $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + y_1y_2y_3)$.

exercise

Compute $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{D}^2(\mathcal{I}) \dots$ when $\mathcal{I} = (x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^8)$.

*exercise

What is the right notion in positive characteristics?

exercise

If $Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, show that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_1) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$.

Order of an ideal

- Define $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = \min\{a : \mathcal{D}^a(\mathcal{I})_p = (1)\}$.
- Define $\text{maxord}(\mathcal{I}) = \max_p \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p)$.

exercise

Convince yourself that ord is upper-semicontinuous.

exercise

Convince yourself that the locus $\{p : \text{maxord}(\mathcal{I}) = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p)\}$ is closed.

exercise

Compute $\text{maxord}(\mathcal{I})$ in the three examples.

exercise

If $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, show that $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}_1} = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}} \circ g$.

Maximal contact

Maximal contact (Giraud–Hironaka)

- Say $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = a$. An element $x \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$ is **maximal contact** at p if $x \in \mathcal{D}^{a-1}\mathcal{I}$ and $\text{ord}_x(p) = 1$.
- **In characteristic 0**, maximal contact always exists **locally** at p !
- Indeed $\mathcal{D}^{a-1}\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$ but $\mathcal{D}^a\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$ means that some derivative or some x is a unit.

exercise

Find **two** maximal contacts when $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + y^2z)$, when $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + y_1y_2y_3)$, and when $\mathcal{I} = (x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^8)$.

exercise

If $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, and x maximal contact, show that g^*x is maximal contact.

Coefficient ideals

Coefficient ideals

- Say \mathcal{I} has maximal order a .
- The coefficient ideal $C(\mathcal{I}, a)$ is defined as follows.
- Consider $D^{a-i}(\mathcal{I})$ as sitting in degree i , for $i < a$.
- Take the ideal $C(\mathcal{I}, a)$ generated by monomials $f(D^{a-1}(\mathcal{I}), \dots, D(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{I})$ of degree $\geq a!$.

exercise

Compute $C(\mathcal{I}, 2)$ when $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + y^2z)$ and when $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + y_1y_2y_3)$.

exercise

Compute $C(\mathcal{I}, 5)$ when $\mathcal{I} = (x^5 + x^3y^3 + y^8)$.

exercise

If $Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, show that $C(\mathcal{I}_1, a) = C(\mathcal{I}, a)\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$.

Comments on coefficient ideals

If x_1 is a maximal contact, define $\mathcal{I}[2] = C(\mathcal{I}, a)|_{x_1=0}$.

- Coefficient ideals come to collect the data of all coefficients of elements of the ideal when expanded in maximal contact.
- Villamayor and coauthors Encinas, Bravo, Benito collect this instead in a Rees algebra.
- **Key property** (Kollár's D -Balancing): Improving $C(\mathcal{I}, a)$ results in improving \mathcal{I} .
- **Key property** (Włodarczyk's Invariance): Maximal contact x are all equivalent for $C(\mathcal{I}, a)$.
- In particular $\mathcal{I}[2]$ is independent of choice of maximal contact x .

Construction of invariants and centers

- Assume $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = a_1$ with x_1 is a maximal contact.
- Assume $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}[2]} = (b_2, \dots, b_k)$, with center $(x_2^{b_2}, \dots, x_k^{b_k})$
- Define $a_i = b_i / (a_1 - 1)!$.
- Set $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$
- Set $J = (x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{a_2}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$.

exercise

Verify the invariants and centers in our examples!

Functoriality of invariants and centers

Theorem (Functoriality, \aleph -Të-Wł)

- If $Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, and $p_1 \mapsto p$ then

$$\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = \text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(p_1).$$

- If $Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, and $p_1 \mapsto p$ then

$$J_1 = J\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}.$$

This implies **gluing** as well as **equivariance**.

Some key properties of centers

Characterization of center

Theorem

At each $p \in Y$ the center $J_{\mathcal{I}} = (x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$ is the unique center of maximal invariant such that $J_{\mathcal{I}} \supset \mathcal{I}$ as idealistic exponents.

The invariant of the ideal $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is the invariant of this center.

Warning: remember to take integral closure, so the **center** (x^2, y^2) contains xy .

Warning: The center is unique, but the variables x_i generating it are not.

- The proof is inductive like most of the results here: one checks that $x_1^{a_1}$ is in the defining equations of the center, and that any lift of the center of $\mathcal{I}[2]$ is as well.
- This can be used as an independent definition and construction (in arbitrary characteristic) of a center.

The support of J

Corollary

Let $M(\mathcal{I})$ be the monomial saturation of \mathcal{I} with respect to x_1, \dots, x_k .
Then $J_{\mathcal{I}} = J_{M(\mathcal{I})}$.

This is because $J_{M(\mathcal{I})} \supset J_{\mathcal{I}} \supset M(\mathcal{I}) \supset \mathcal{I}$ and invariants are decreasing.

Theorem (Support)

In characteristic 0:

- $V(J_{\mathcal{I}})$ is the locus where the invariant is attained, both on Y and on the deformation B to the weighted normal cone.
- In particular the invariant persists to the weighted normal cone.
- The invariant and center are compatible with smooth morphisms.

Proofs of neat results (N-Të-Wł)

The invariant is upper semicontinuous

- $V(\mathcal{D}^i(\mathcal{I})) = \{p : \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) > i\}$.
- so the order is upper semicontinuous.
- Say the maximal order is $a = a_1$.
- x_1 is maximal contact so

$$V(x_1) \supset V(D^{a-1})(\mathcal{I}) = \{p : \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = a\} = \{p : \text{ord}_{C(\mathcal{I}, a)}(p) = a!\}.$$

- By induction on dimension $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}[2]}$ is upper semicontinuous on $V(x_1)$,
- so $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is upper semicontinuous.

In positive characteristic one must be very careful here!

The maximal locus of $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the smooth locus $V(J)$

- x_1 is maximal contact so the smooth locus

$$V(x_1) \supset V(D^{a-1})(\mathcal{I}) = \{p : \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = a\} = \{p : \text{ord}_{C(\mathcal{I}, a)}(p) = a!\}.$$

- By induction on dimension the maximal locus of $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}[2]}$ is $V(x_2, \dots, x_k)$,
- which is smooth.

In positive characteristic we do not know a general replacement of this.

The J -order and maximal locus

- Given a monomial $z = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ we define $\text{ord}_J(z) = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i/a_i$.
- It defines a valuation centered at the generic point of $V(J)$.
- By definition, if J is the center associated to \mathcal{I} then $\text{ord}_J(\mathcal{I}) = \text{ord}_J(M(\mathcal{I})) = 1$.
- (Similarly $\text{ord}_{J(a)}(C(\mathcal{I}, a)) = 1$.)
- You can expand $f = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} f_{J,q}$ with $\text{ord}_J(f_{J,q}) = q$.
- Define $\mathcal{I}_{J,1} = (f_{J,1} | f \in \mathcal{I})$.
- It is the degeneration of \mathcal{I} to the weighted normal cone of J .
- We have $M(\mathcal{I})_{J,1} = M(\mathcal{I}_{J,1})$.

The weighted blowup decreases invariant

Theorem (Sketch, N-Të-Wł)

- Say \mathcal{I} has invariant $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ along smooth X , supporting center J , and we blow up \bar{J} .
- Let \mathcal{I}_1 be the transform of \mathcal{I} .
- Then the invariant of \mathcal{I}_1 is $< (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ above X .

- By the Support Theorem this maximum is achieved only inside $V(J(x'))$.
- But we remove $V(x'_1, \dots, x'_k)$ in the weighted blowup!

Functoriality holds (N-Të-Wł)

- Say $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$, and $p_1 \mapsto p$.
- Derivatives on Y lift to derivatives on Y_1
- $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(p_1) = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p)$.
- If $x \in \mathcal{D}^{a-1}(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ then $x_1 = g^*x \in \mathcal{D}^{a-1}(\mathcal{I}_1) \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$.
- If x maximal contact then x_1 maximal contact.
- $g^*C(\mathcal{I}, a) = C(\mathcal{I}_1, a)$.
- $g^*\mathcal{I}[2] = \mathcal{I}_1[2]$
- By induction $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}[2]}(p_1) = \text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}[2]}(p)$ with $g^*J_{\mathcal{I}[2]} = J_{\mathcal{I}_1[2]}$
- So $\text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(p_1) = \text{inv}_{\mathcal{I}}(p)$ and $g^*J_{\mathcal{I}} = J_{\mathcal{I}_1}$.

Still need to show independence of choice of x

Functoriality implies gluing (N-Të-Wł)

- Say $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ is the union of open subschemes, with $Y_{12} = Y_1 \cap Y_2$.
- Say the maximal invariant of \mathcal{I} is (a_1, \dots, a_k) .
- It has centers
 $J_1 = (x_{1,1}^{a_1}, \dots, x_{1,k}^{a_k})$ on Y_1 and
 $J_2 = (x_{2,1}^{a_1}, \dots, x_{2,k}^{a_k})$ on Y_2 .
- By functoriality $J_1|_{Y_{12}} = J_2|_{Y_{12}}$, so the centers, and their blowings up, glue together.

Functoriality implies equivariance (N-Të-Wł)

- Say G acts on Y preserving \mathcal{I} , and let J be the center associated to \mathcal{I} .
- We have two maps $G \times Y \rightarrow Y$, the projection p_Y and the action a , and $p_Y^* \mathcal{I} = a^* \mathcal{I}$
- These maps are smooth.
- By functoriality $p_Y^* J = a^* J$, so the action lifts to the blowup Y_1 .

The re-embedding principle holds (N-Të-Wt)

- Say $X \subset Y \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$.
- The order of $I_{X \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}^1}$ is 1,
- ... with maximal contact t - the \mathbb{A}^1 parameter.
- $V(t) = Y$ with coefficient ideal $I_{X \subset Y}$,
- ... with some invariant (a_1, \dots, a_k) and center $J = (x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$.
- Then $I_{X \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}^1}$
has invariant $(1, a_1, \dots, a_k)$
and center $J_1 = (t, x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$.
- (The blowing up of J is the proper transform of the blowing up of J_1 .)

Independence of x (Włodarczyk, Kollár)

- if $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{I}}(p) = a$ define $MC = \mathcal{D}^{a-1}(\mathcal{I})$.

Definition (Kollár)

\mathcal{I} is MC-invariant if $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}) \cdot MC \subset \mathcal{I}$.

Exercise

Show that MC invariant ideals satisfy $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})^i \cdot MC^i \subset \mathcal{I}$.

- Coefficient ideals have this property.

Theorem (Włodarczyk)

Let \mathcal{I} be MC-invariant and x, x' be maximal contact. There is a local analytic automorphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}$ and $\phi^*(x') = x$.

Independence of x (Włodarczyk)

Theorem (Włodarczyk)

Let \mathcal{I} be MC-invariant and x, x' be maximal contact. There is a local analytic automorphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi^*(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}$ and $\phi^*(x') = x$.

- Extend with compatible parameters x_2, \dots, x_n
- Let $\phi^*(x, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x', x_2, \dots, x_n)$.
- Write $h = x' - x$.
- $f(x') = f(x + h) = f(x) + h \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{h^2}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \dots$
- but $h^i \cdot \frac{\partial^i f}{\partial x^i} \in \mathcal{I}$.

The end

Thank you for your attention!