#### (Sequential) Non-parametric Bayesian inference for Time Series Modelling

Stephen Roberts, Mike Osborne, Steve Reece, Mark Ebden, Roman Garnett, Neale Gibson, Suzanne Aigrain, Tom Evans, Aris Karastergiou, Alex Rogers

Pattern Analysis & Machine Learning Research Group. University of Oxford

#### The lecture aims

- *Bayesian inference* has profound impact in the principled handling of uncertainty in *practical* computation
- What this lecture aims to do:
  - Give a conceptual overview of Bayesian inference applied to real-world problems in time-series modelling
  - Introduce Gaussian Processes
- What it does not aim to do:
  - Give endless equations these are important and elegant, but are in publications and texts.

## PART I : Bayesian basics, a gentle conceptual overview

# **Met Office got** it wrong over

#### **By Caroline Gammell David Millward** and Bruno Waterfield

in and a

THE Met Office was blamed last night for triggering the "unnecessary" six-day closure of British airspace that has cost airlines, passengers and the economy more than £1.5 billion.

available on cross-The government agency was Channel ferries and accused of using a scientific the Eurostar. model based on "probability" Two carriers, HMS rather than fact to forecast the Ark Royal and HMS spread of the volcanic ash Ocean, and the cloud that made Europe a nolanding ship HMS fly zone and ruined the plans

ban o

Albion are being sent to rescue passengers 7. ost of £100,000

£1m flotilla

Britain is to spend up

ships to rescue British

travellers stranded by

the ash cloud, despite

thousands of spaces

to £1 million using

three Royal Navy

"unnecessary" six-day closure of British airspace that has cost airlines, passengers and the economy more than £1.5 billion.

The government agency was accused of using a scientific model based on "probability" rather than fact to forecast the spread of the volcanic ash cloud that made Europe a nozone and ruined the plans

passengers between Adml Lord Boyce, them and run only the former chief of two or three return the defence staff,

things happening." Mr Ruete said the commission had to intervene to allow airlines to make test flights in order to check the VAAC data

travelle the ash thousar availabl Channe the Euro Two Ark Roy Ocean. a landing Albion a

ships to

#### A dot-to-dot is an inference problem.



### A dot-to-dot is a problem with many possible solutions.



### Our prior information allows us to discriminate between solutions.



#### **Occam's Razor**

Numquam ponenda est pluralitas sine necessitate -"Plurality must never be posited without necessity"

"Everything should be kept as simple as possible, but no simpler."





#### Core methodology

- Bayesian modelling allows for explicit incorporation of all *desiderata*
- Effort focused not only on theory development, but algorithmic implementations that are *timely* & *practical* for *real-world*, *real-time scenarios*
- Single, under- and over-arching philosophy... "one method to rule them all... and in the darkness bind them"



#### What does this buy us?

- Uncertainty at all levels of inference is naturally taken into account
- Optimal fusion of information: subjective, objective
- Handling *missing values*
- Handling of *noise*
- *Principled* inference of *confidence* and *risk*
- Optimal decision making

#### PART II: more details

#### The right model?



All these models explain the data equally well...

#### Maximum-likelihood solution



#### Draws from posterior



#### **Bayesian marginal integral**

• Key equation of Bayesian inference

$$p(t|x) = \int_{w,\beta} p(t|x,w,\beta) p(w) p(\beta) \mathrm{dwd}\beta$$

- Expend computational effort *integrating* not *optimising*
- Obtain full *predictive distribution*
- Maximum likelihood II: integrate out parameters, reestimate hyperparameters

$$p(t|x,\beta^*) = \int_w p(t|x,w,\beta^*) p(w) \mathrm{dw}$$

#### **Bayesian solution**



#### Complexity...

- Even when the models explain the data equally well, we somehow are urged to favour those that are "simpler"
- What we really want is somehow to work with probabilities over functions...
- Amazingly, Bayesian non-parametrics allows us to do just this!
- We now delve into the world of *Gaussian Processes*

### Part III : Gaussian Processes

#### The humble (but useful) Gaussian





#### Extend to continuous variable



#### Probabilities over functions not samples



A "X" *process* is a distribution over a function space such that the pdf at any evaluation of the function are conditionally "X" distributed.

-Dirichlet Process [infinite state HMM]

-Indian Buffet Process [infinite binary strings] etc etc.

#### Simple regression modelling



#### Less simple regression



#### The Gaussian process model

• See the GP via the distribution

$$p(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}))$$

• If we observe a set (x,y) and want to infer  $y^*$  at  $x^*$ 

$$p\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{y}\\y_*\end{array}\right]\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})\\\boldsymbol{\mu}(x_*)\end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x},x_*)\\\mathbf{K}(x_*,\mathbf{x}) & k(x_*,x_*)\end{array}\right]\right)$$

$$p(\mathbf{y}_*) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}_*, \mathbf{C}_*) \qquad \qquad m_* = \mu(x_*) + \mathbf{K}(x_*, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})),$$
$$\sigma_*^2 = K(x_*, x_*) - \mathbf{K}(x_*, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, x_*).$$

#### The beating heart...

What about these covariances though?

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & k(x_1, x_2) & \cdots & k(x_1, x_n) \\ k(x_2, x_1) & k(x_2, x_2) & \cdots & k(x_2, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ k(x_n, x_1) & k(x_n, x_2) & \cdots & k(x_n, x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Achieved using a *kernel function*, which describes the relationship between two points

What form should this take though?

#### An example

$$k(x_i, x_j) = h^2 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{\lambda}\right)^2\right]$$

What is this based upon?

- Intrinsic smoothness (infinitely differentiable)
- amplitude of expected functions is controlled by *h*
- typical scale of variations in time (correlation "length") controlled by  $\lambda$

#### **Covariance functions**



We commonly possess prior expectations that the function should be smooth. If we know something of the dynamics then this can inform our covariance functions accordingly

#### Covariances

There are a huge number of covariance functions (in spite of the requirement that they be positive semi-definite) appropriate for modelling functions of different types

Elegantly, all continuous Markov time series models (AR, ARMA, ARIMA, GARCH, KF....) can be recast as special cases of Gaussian Processes

S. Reece and S. Roberts (2010). The Near Constant Acceleration Gaussian Process Kernel for Tracking. IEEE Signal Processing Letters.

S. Reece and S. J. Roberts (2010). An Introduction to Gaussian Processes for the Kalman Filter Expert. Proceedings of Fusion 2010. The squared exponential and Matérn covariances allow us to model functions of various degrees of smoothness..



We often want distances that are stationary (a function of  $x_1$ - $x_2$ ), implying that the function looks similar throughout its domain.



stationary functions

non-stationary function

We can create new covariance functions by adding or multiplying other covariance functions.



When a function is the sum of two independent functions, use a covariance that is the sum of the covariances for those two functions.



When a function is the **product** of two independent functions, use a covariance that is (almost) the product of the covariances for those two functions.



### We can modify covariance functions to accommodate multiple input dimensions, using



If there are multiple outputs, reframe the problem as having a single output, and an additional *label* input specifying the output.



Hence we do not need simultaneous observations of all outputs.

#### Periodic & quasi-periodic



# Many other modifications are possible, to build covariances allowing for e.g. changepoints, faults and sets.



*R. Garnett, M. A. Osborne, S. Reece, A. Rogers and S. J. Roberts (2010). Sequential Bayesian Prediction in the Presence of Changepoints and Faults. The Computer Journal, March 2010.* 

#### Part IV : some examples

#### In a sequential setting



#### Active data selection



The Gaussian process can decide for itself which sensor to observe, and when, by determining which observation will be most informative.

M.A. Osborne, S.J. Roberts, A. Rogers, and N.R. Jennings (2011). Real-Time Information Processing of Environmental Sensor Network Data using Bayesian Gaussian Processes Transactions on Sensor Networks

#### Demonstration

http://www.aladdinproject.org/situation/

#### Changepoints

*R. Garnett, M. A. Osborne, S. Reece, A. Rogers and S. J. Roberts (2010). Sequential Bayesian Prediction in the Presence of Changepoints and Faults, The Computer Journal.* 

#### **Dow-Jones data**







#### Faults & fault recovery

#### Potential fault types



#### Posterior distribution over the fault type



#### Can track and fault recover sequentially



#### Faults - demonstration

#### Recent work – applications to time-domain Astronomy

W. Armour, A. Karastergiou, M. Giles, C. Williams, A. Magro, K. Zagkouris, S. Roberts, S. Salvini, F. Dulwich and B. Mort (2011). A GPU-based survey for millisecond radio transients using ARTEMIS. Proceedings of ADASS XXI.

A. McQuillan, S. Aigrain, S. Roberts (2011). Statistics of Stellar Variability from Kepler - I: Revisiting Quarter 1 with an Astrophysically Robust Systematics Correction. Astronomy and Astrophysics.

N. P. Gibson, S. Aigrain, S. Roberts, T. M. Evans, M. Osborne and F. Pont (2011). A Gaussian process framework for modelling instrumental systematics: application to transmission spectroscopy. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society.

#### Light curves







#### Quasi-periodic model for stellar flux



Problem is that stellar flux is highly variant... star-spots and stellar rotations... so first we need to model the quasi-periodic flux measurements... but there aren't many of them!



### Quasi-periodic Gaussian Process regression to photometric observations of the well-known planet-host star HD 189733



Exoplanet transit light curve. The data is fitted with a GP with an exoplanet transit mean function and a squared exponential covariance kernel to model the correlated noise process and the effects of external state variables



Royal Society 2012



#### Transient phenomena: Radio Surveys

- Transient objects
  - Pulsars
  - Supernovae
  - ?
- "Needle in haystack" problems
  - Computationally demanding 100s of TBs of data each night

### One of our major challenges is *scalability* in Bayesian modelling...

W. Armour, A. Karastergiou, M. Giles, C. Williams, A. Magro, K. Zagkouris, S. Roberts, S. Salvini, F. Dulwich and B. Mort (2011). A GPU-based survey for millisecond radio transients using ARTEMIS. Proceedings of ADASS XXI.





#### Questions?

The prior mean function is the function our inference will default to far from observations.

