

HIGHER HIDA AND COLEMAN THEORIES ON THE MODULAR CURVE

GEORGE BOXER AND VINCENT PILLONI

ABSTRACT. We construct Hida and Coleman theories for the degree 0 and 1 cohomology of automorphic line bundles on the modular curve, and we define a p -adic duality pairing between the theories in degree 0 and 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 80's, Hida introduced an ordinary projector on modular forms and he constructed p -adic families of ordinary modular forms [Hid86]. In the 90's, Coleman developed the finite slope theory [Col97] and Coleman and Mazur constructed the eigencurve [CM98]. These theories have now been extended to higher dimensional Shimura varieties.

Hida and Coleman theories combine two ideas. The first is to restrict modular forms from the full modular curve to the ordinary locus and its neighborhoods. The additional structure on the universal p -divisible group on and near the ordinary locus (the canonical subgroups) is used to p -adically interpolate the sheaves of modular forms and their sections. The second idea is to use the Hecke operators at p to detect when a section of the sheaf of modular forms defined on (a neighborhood of) the ordinary locus comes from a classical modular form.

Until recently, Hida and Coleman theories had only been developed for degree 0 coherent cohomology groups. In the recent works [Pil20], [BCGP21] we began to develop them further in order to study the higher coherent cohomology of automorphic vector bundles on the Shimura varieties for the group GSp_4 , and we are now convinced that Hida and Coleman theories should exist in all cohomological degrees for all Shimura varieties.

The purpose of the current work is to confirm this prediction in the simple setting of modular curves and to construct Hida and Coleman theories for the degree 1 cohomology groups. We actually construct in parallel the theories for degree 0 and degree 1 cohomology, as this sheds some new light on the usual degree 0 theory. We also prove a p -adic Serre duality, which gives a perfect pairing between the theories in cohomological degree 0 and 1, but our constructions are independent of this pairing.

Let us describe the results we prove. Let $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the compactified modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N)$, where $N \geq 3$ is an integer prime to p , and let D be the boundary divisor. Let $X_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p$ be the special fiber and X_1^{ord} be the ordinary locus. Let ω/X be the modular line bundle and for a weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ write ω^k for $\omega^{\otimes k}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Hida's control theorem). *There is a Hecke operator T_p acting on the cohomology groups $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k)$, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k)$, and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k)$, and an associated ordinary projector $e(T_p)$. Moreover, we have quasi-isomorphisms*

$$e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k) \rightarrow e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) \text{ if } k \geq 3$$

and

$$e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) \rightarrow e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k) \text{ if } k \leq -1.$$

The coherent cohomology with compact support appearing in the theorem has been defined by Hartshorne [Har72]. If $SS \subseteq X_1$ denotes the (reduced) supersingular divisor, then we have

$$\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = \lim_n \mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^k(-nSS)), \quad \mathrm{H}^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^k(nSS)).$$

The proof of this theorem relies on a local analysis of the cohomological correspondence T_p at supersingular points. The above theorem may also be viewed as a vanishing result: $e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k)$ is concentrated in degree 0 if $k \geq 3$, and degree 1 if $k \leq -1$, because the ordinary locus is affine. Of course, this vanishing result holds true even without the ordinary projector, from the Riemann-Roch theorem and the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism, however the first argument is well suited for generalizations to higher dimensional Shimura varieties.

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]$ be the Iwasawa algebra. Each integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ defines a character of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , and a morphism $k : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem 1.2. *There are two projective Λ -modules M and N carrying an action of the Hecke algebra of level prime to p , and there are canonical, Hecke-equivariant isomorphisms for all $k \geq 3$:*

- (1) $M \otimes_{\Lambda, k} \mathbb{Z}_p = e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^0(X, \omega^k)$,
- (2) $N \otimes_{\Lambda, k} \mathbb{Z}_p = e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^1(X, \omega^{2-k}(-D))$,

Moreover, there is a perfect pairing $M \times N \rightarrow \Lambda$ which interpolates the classical Serre duality pairing.

The modules M and N are obtained by considering the ordinary factor of the cohomology and cohomology with compact support of the ordinary locus, with values in an interpolation sheaf of Λ -modules.

Let $X_0(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the adic modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$. We have two quasi-compact opens $X_0(p)^m$ and $X_0(p)^{et}$ inside $X_0(p)$ which are respectively the loci where the universal subgroup of order p has multiplicative and étale reduction. We let $X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}$ and $X_0(p)^{et, \dagger}$ be the corresponding dagger spaces [GK00].

Theorem 1.3 (Coleman's classicality theorem). *For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a well defined Hecke operator U_p which is compact and has non negative slopes on $\mathrm{H}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)$, $\mathrm{H}^i(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^k)$, and $\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_0(p)^{et, \dagger}, \omega^k)$. Moreover, the natural maps (where the superscript $< \star$ means slope less than \star for U_p):*

- (1) $\mathrm{H}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{<k-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^k)^{<k-1}$,
- (2) $\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_0(p)^{et, \dagger}, \omega^k)^{<1-k} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{<1-k}$

are isomorphisms.

The proof of this theorem is based on some simple estimates for the operator U_p on the ordinary locus, reminiscent of [Kas06]. We can again deduce a vanishing theorem for the small slope classical cohomology (without appealing to the Riemann-Roch theorem).

Coleman and Mazur constructed the eigencurve \mathcal{C} of tame level $\Gamma_1(N)$. It carries a weight morphism $w : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ where \mathcal{W} is the analytic adic space over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ associated with the Iwasawa algebra Λ .

Theorem 1.4. *The eigencurve carries two coherent sheaves \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} interpolating the degree 0 and 1 finite slope cohomology. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$(1) \mathcal{M}_k^{<k-1} = H^0(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{<k-1},$$

$$(2) \mathcal{N}_k^{<k-1} = H^1(X_0(p), \omega^{2-k}(-D))^{<k-1},$$

and there is a perfect pairing between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , interpolating the usual Serre duality pairing.

1.1. Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Frank Calegari and Toby Gee for conversations about the topics in this paper, and David Loeffler for his comments. We thank Ben Heuer and the anonymous referee for their corrections and suggestions. This research was supported by the ERC-2018-COG-818856-HiCoShiVa, and the first author was supported in part by the NSF postdoctoral fellowship DMS-1503047.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Finite flat cohomological correspondences. In this section all schemes are noetherian. We write $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ for the category of coherent sheaves on a scheme X .

2.1.1. The functors f_* , f^* and $f^!$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite flat morphism of schemes. We have a functor $f_* : \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(Y)$. It induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ and the category of coherent sheaves of $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules over Y .

The functor f_* has a left adjoint $f^* : \mathrm{Coh}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X)$, given by $f_*f^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, as well as a right adjoint $f^! : \mathrm{Coh}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X)$ given by $f_*f^!\mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F})$. For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$, we have an isomorphism $f^!\mathcal{F} = f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^*\mathcal{F}$.

A finite flat morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a trace map $\mathrm{tr}_f : f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$. This trace is by definition a global section of $f^!\mathcal{O}_Y$ or equivalently a morphism $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f^!\mathcal{O}_Y$. It follows that the trace map provides a natural transformation $f^* \Rightarrow f^!$.

A finite flat morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called Gorenstein if $f^!\mathcal{O}_X$ is an invertible sheaf. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a local complete intersection morphism, then it is Gorenstein (see [Eis95, Cor. 21.19]).

2.1.2. Cohomological correspondences.

Definition 2.1. *A finite flat correspondence over a scheme X is a scheme C equipped with two finite flat morphisms $X \xrightarrow{p_2} C \xrightarrow{p_1} X$.*

Definition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . A finite flat cohomological correspondence for \mathcal{F} is the data of a pair (C, T) consisting of a finite flat correspondence C and a map $T : p_2^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_1^!\mathcal{F}$.*

Given a finite flat cohomological correspondence (C, T) on \mathcal{F} , we get a morphism in cohomology that we also denote by T :

$$T : \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, p_2^* \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{T} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, p_1^! \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{p_1}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

2.1.3. *Restriction.* Let X be a scheme and $Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed subscheme defined by a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. For any quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over X , we let

$$\underline{\Gamma}_Y(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}))$$

be the subsheaf of sections with scheme theoretic support in Y .

Proposition 2.3. *Consider a commutative diagram of schemes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow f \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

where i is a closed immersion and g, f are finite flat morphisms. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on Z . Then $i_* g^! \mathcal{F} = \underline{\Gamma}_Y f^! \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let us denote by \mathcal{I} the ideal sheaf of Y in X . This follows from the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(g_* \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(f_* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(f_* \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}).$$

□

2.2. **Local finiteness and ordinary projectors.** Let R be a finite artinian ring.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose M is a finite R -module and $T \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)$. The sequence $(T^{n!})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually constant and converges to an idempotent $e(T) \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)$.*

Proof. We have a decreasing sequence of submodules $T^n(M)$ of M which is eventually stationary since M is artinian. Let M_0 be the limit. T restricts to a bijection on the finite set M_0 , and hence for n large enough $T^{n!}$ is the identity on M_0 . It follows that for all n large enough, $T^{n!}$ is an idempotent projector from M to M_0 . □

Definition 2.5. *Let M be an R -module and let $T \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)$. We say that T is locally finite on M if M is a union of finite R -modules which are stable under T .*

Remark 2.6. This is equivalent to: for any finite submodule $V \subseteq M$ there exists an $n > 0$ such that $T^n V \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i V$.

Let M be an R -module and let $T \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)$ be locally finite. Then for each $v \in M$, lemma 2.4 implies that the sequence $T^{n!}v$ is eventually constant, and we define $e(T) : M \rightarrow M$ by $e(T)v = T^{n!}v$ for n sufficiently large.

Lemma 2.4 further implies that $e(T)$ is an R -linear idempotent which commutes with T , and moreover T is an isomorphism on $e(T)M$ and locally nilpotent on $(1 - e(T))M$, in the sense that for each $v \in (1 - e(T))M$, there exists $n > 0$ such that $T^n v = 0$.

If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism of R -modules, equivariant for a locally finite endomorphism T (i.e. we have locally finite endomorphisms $T \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)$ and $T \in \mathrm{End}_R(N)$ with $fT = Tf$) then we have $e(T)f = fe(T)$ and so $f(e(T)M) \subseteq e(T)N$ and $f((1 - e(T))M) \subseteq (1 - e(T))N$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of R -modules with an equivariant endomorphism T .*

- (1) *T is locally finite on N if and only if T is locally finite on M and L .*
- (2) *If this holds, then $0 \rightarrow e(T)M \rightarrow e(T)N \rightarrow e(T)L \rightarrow 0$ is exact.*

Proof. The only point that requires a proof is that if T is locally finite on M and L , then it is locally finite on N . Let $V \subseteq N$ be a finite submodule. Since T is locally finite on L , there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $T^n(V) \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i V + M$. There is furthermore a finite submodule $W \subseteq M$ such that

$$T^n(V) \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i V + W$$

Since T is locally finite on M , there exists $m \geq 1$ such that:

$$T^m(W) \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} T^i W.$$

We finally conclude that $T^{n+m}(V+W) \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{n+m-1} T^i(V+W)$, so that $\sum_{i=0}^{n+m-1} T^i(V+W)$ is a finite R -module, stable by T , containing V . \square

We will also need to work with a different notion of local finiteness, defined on certain topological R -modules.

Definition 2.8. *A topological R -module M is a profinite R -module if it is homeomorphic to a cofiltered limit $\lim_{i \in I} M_i$ of finite R -modules, or equivalently, if it is Hausdorff and has a basis of neighborhoods $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ of 0 consisting of open cofinite submodules.*

Definition 2.9. *Let M be a profinite R -module, and let $T \in \text{End}_R(M)$ be a continuous endomorphism. We say that T is locally finite on M if M has a basis of neighborhoods of 0 consisting of submodules $\{N_i\}$ such that $T(N_i) \subseteq N_i$.*

Remark 2.10. An endomorphism $T \in \text{End}_R(M)$ is locally finite if and only if for any open submodule $V \subseteq M$, $\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} T^{-i}(V) \subseteq M$ is open. Equivalently, it is locally finite if and only if for every open submodule $V \subseteq M$, there is some $n > 0$ such that $\cap_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(V) \subseteq T^{-n}(V)$ (indeed, if $\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} T^{-i}(V) \subseteq M$ is open, then it is cofinite, and hence must be $\cap_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(V)$ for some $n > 0$).

Let M be a profinite R -module and let $T \in \text{End}_R(M)$ be a locally finite endomorphism. Pick a neighborhood basis $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ of 0 with $T(N_i) \subseteq N_i$. Then for all $v \in M$ and each $i \in I$, lemma 2.4 implies that the sequence $T^{n!}v$ is eventually constant in M/N_i . It follows that the sequence $T^{n!}v$ converges in M , to a limit that we denote $e(T)v$.

Lemma 2.4 further implies that $e(T)$ is a continuous, R -linear idempotent which commutes with T , and moreover T is an isomorphism on $e(T)M$ and topologically nilpotent on $(1 - e(T))M$, in the sense that for each $v \in (1 - e(T))M$, $\{T^n v\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0.

If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a continuous morphism of profinite R -modules, equivariant for a locally finite endomorphism T then we have $e(T)f = fe(T)$ and so $f(e(T)M) \subseteq e(T)N$ and $f((1 - e(T))M) \subseteq (1 - e(T))N$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of profinite R -modules with an equivariant continuous endomorphism T .*

- (1) *T is locally finite on N if and only if T is locally finite on M and L .*
- (2) *If this holds, then $0 \rightarrow e(T)M \rightarrow e(T)N \rightarrow e(T)L \rightarrow 0$ is exact.*

Proof. The only point that requires a proof is that if T is locally finite on M and L , then it is locally finite on N . Let $V \subset N$ be an open submodule. Since T is locally finite on M , we deduce that there is $n \geq 1$ such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(V \cap M) \subseteq T^{-n}(V \cap M)$. It follows that:

$$(T^{-n}V + M) \bigcap \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(V) \subseteq T^{-n}(V).$$

To shorten notations, let us denote by $W = T^{-n}V + M$. Since W is open in N , its image \overline{W} in L is open (it is closed and finite index.) Since T is locally finite on L , there is $m \geq 0$ such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{-i}(\overline{W}) \subset T^{-m}(\overline{W})$. We deduce that $\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{-i}(W) \subset T^{-m}(W)$. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-(n+m)}(V \cap W) &= T^{-m}(T^{-n}V) \cap T^{-(n+m)}(W) \\ &\supseteq T^{-m}(W \bigcap \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(V)) \bigcap \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{-i}W \\ &\supseteq T^{-m}(W) \bigcap \bigcap_{i=0}^{m+n-1} T^{-i}(V) \bigcap \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{-i}W \\ &\supseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^{m+n-1} T^{-i}(V) \bigcap \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{-i}W \\ &\supseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^{m+n-1} T^{-i}(V \cap W) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\bigcap_{i=0}^{m+n-1} T^{-i}(V \cap W)$ is an open submodule of V which is stable under T . \square

We will also consider the situation that $R = \lim_n R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ is a complete, semilocal, noetherian ring with Jacobson radical \mathfrak{m} , and R/\mathfrak{m} is finite (e.g. $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]$). If $M = \lim_n M/\mathfrak{m}^n M$ is a complete R -module with an endomorphism T , we say that T is locally finite if for all n (or equivalently for a single n by propositions 2.7, 2.11) the induced endomorphism T of $M/\mathfrak{m}^n M$ is locally finite in one of the two senses considered above. In this case the idempotent endomorphisms $e(T)$ of $M/\mathfrak{m}^n M$ are compatible and define an idempotent endomorphism $e(T)$ of M .

3. THE COHOMOLOGICAL CORRESPONDENCE T_p

Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer and let p be a prime. Let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the compactified modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ ([DR73]). This is a proper smooth relative curve. Denote by D the boundary divisor, and by $E \rightarrow X$ the semi-abelian scheme which extends the universal elliptic curve and denote by e the unit section. We let $\omega_E = e^* \Omega_{E/X}^1$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\omega^k = \omega_E^{\otimes k}$.

3.1. The cohomological correspondences T_p . We denote by $p_1, p_2 : X_0(p) \rightarrow X$ the Hecke correspondence which parametrizes an isogeny $\pi : p_1^* E \rightarrow p_2^* E$ of degree p (compatible with the $\Gamma_1(N)$ level structure). We denote by $D_0(p)$ the boundary divisor in $X_0(p)$ (which is reduced, so that $D_0(p) = (p_i^{-1} D)^{red}$). We let $\pi_k : p_2^* \omega^k \dashrightarrow p_1^* \omega^k$ be the rational map which is deduced from the pull-back map on differentials $p_2^* \omega_E \rightarrow p_1^* \omega_E$ (this map is regular if $k \geq 0$, and is an isomorphism over \mathbb{Q}_p for all k). We also denote by $\pi_k^{-1} : p_1^* \omega^k \dashrightarrow p_2^* \omega^k$ the inverse of π_k . We also have a dual isogeny $\pi^\vee : p_2^* E \rightarrow p_1^* E$ and we denote by $\pi_k^\vee : p_1^* \omega^k \dashrightarrow p_2^* \omega^k$ the rational

map which is deduced from the pull-back map on differentials $p_1^*\omega_E \rightarrow p_2^*\omega_E$. We also denote by $(\pi_k^\vee)^{-1} : p_2^*\omega^k \dashrightarrow p_1^*\omega^k$ the inverse of π_k^\vee . We have the following formula relating π_k and π_k^\vee :

$$\pi_k \circ \pi_k^\vee = p^k \text{Id.}$$

We have natural trace maps $\text{tr}_{p_1} : \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)} \rightarrow p_1^!\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\text{tr}_{p_2} : \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)} \rightarrow p_2^!\mathcal{O}_X$. Note that since p_1 and p_2 are local complete intersection morphisms, $p_1^!\mathcal{O}_X$ and $p_2^!\mathcal{O}_X$ are invertible sheaves.

Lemma 3.1. *The restrictions of tr_{p_1} and tr_{p_2} to $\mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}^*(-D_0(p))$ factor through maps $\text{tr}_{p_1} : \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}(-D_0(p)) \rightarrow p_1^!(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))$ and $\text{tr}_{p_2} : \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}(-D_0(p)) \rightarrow p_2^!(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))$.*

Proof. The boundary divisors in X and $X_0(p)$ are reduced. The lemma boils down to the statement that the trace of a function vanishing along the boundary on $X_0(p)$ vanishes on the boundary on X . \square

We have a ‘‘naive’’ (i.e. unnormalized) cohomological correspondence:

$$T_{p,k}^{\text{naive}} : p_2^*\omega^k \dashrightarrow p_1^!\omega^k$$

which is the rational map defined by taking the tensor product of the map $\pi_k : p_2^*\omega^k \dashrightarrow p_1^*\omega^k$ and the map $\text{tr}_{p_1} : \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)} \rightarrow p_1^!\mathcal{O}_X$, and similarly a map $T_{p,k}^{\text{naive}} : p_2^*(\omega^k(-D)) \dashrightarrow p_1^!(\omega^k(-D))$ defined using $p_2^*(\omega^k(-D)) = (p_2^*\omega^k)(-p_2^{-1}(D)) \subseteq (p_2^*\omega^k)(-D_0(p))$. We finally let $T_{p,k} = p^{-\inf\{1,k\}} T_{p,k}^{\text{naive}}$.

Proposition 3.2. *$T_{p,k}$ is a cohomological correspondence $p_2^*\omega^k \rightarrow p_1^!\omega^k$.*

Proof. The map $T_{p,k}$ is a rational map between invertible sheaves over the regular scheme $X_0(p)$ so we can check that it is defined outside of codimension 2. Since the map is defined over \mathbb{Q}_p , we can thus localize at a generic point ξ of the special fiber and we are left to prove that it is well defined locally at these points. There are two types of generic points corresponding to the possibility that the isogeny $p_1^*E \rightarrow p_2^*E$ is either multiplicative or étale. Let us first assume that ξ is étale. The differential map $(p_2^*\omega)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} (p_1^*\omega)_\xi$ is an isomorphism and the map $(\text{tr}_{p_1})_\xi : (p_1^*\mathcal{O}_X)_\xi \rightarrow (p_1^!\mathcal{O}_X)_\xi$ factors into an isomorphism: $(\text{tr}_{p_1})_\xi : (p_1^*\mathcal{O}_X)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} p(p_1^!\mathcal{O}_X)_\xi$. It follows that $(T_{p,k}^{\text{naive}})_\xi : (p_2^*\omega^k)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} p(p_1^!\omega^k)_\xi$. Let us next assume that ξ is multiplicative. The differential map $(p_2^*\omega)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} (p_1^*\omega)_\xi$ factors into an isomorphism $(p_2^*\omega)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} p(p_1^!\omega)_\xi$ and the map $(\text{tr}_{p_1})_\xi : (p_1^*\mathcal{O}_X)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} (p_1^!\mathcal{O}_X)_\xi$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $(T_{p,k}^{\text{naive}})_\xi : (p_2^*\omega^k)_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} p^k(p_1^!\omega^k)_\xi$. We deduce that $T_{p,k}$ is indeed a well defined map and that it is optimally integral. \square

When the weight is clear, we often write T_p . The map T_p induces a map on cohomology:

$$T_p \in \text{EndR}\Gamma(X, \omega^k) \text{ and } \text{EndR}\Gamma(X, \omega^k(-D))$$

obtained by composing the maps:

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} \text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_2^*\omega^k) \xrightarrow{T_p} \text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_1^!\omega^k) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{p_1}} \text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k)$$

and similarly for cuspidal cohomology.

Remark 3.3. The proposition 3.2 is a particular instance of constructions performed in [FP21], where the problem of constructing Hecke operators on the integral coherent cohomology of more general Shimura varieties is considered.

Remark 3.4. One can check that our map $T_{p,k}$ has the following effect on q -expansions (of given Nebentypus $\chi : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$): it maps $\sum a_n q^n$ to $\sum a_{np} q^n + p^{k-1} \chi(p) \sum a_n q^{np}$ if $k \geq 1$ and to $p^{1-k} \sum a_{np} q^n + \chi(p) \sum a_n q^{np}$ if $k \leq 1$.

Remark 3.5. Our normalization is consistent with standard conjectures on the existence and properties of Galois representations associated to automorphic forms ([BG14]). The cohomology groups $H^i(X, \omega^k) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ can be computed using automorphic forms and for any $\pi = \pi_\infty \otimes \pi_f$ contributing to the cohomology, we find that the infinitesimal character of π_∞ is given by $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto t_1^{\frac{1}{2}} t_2^{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ and is indeed C -algebraic. By the Satake isomorphism, we know that $T_p^{naive}|_{\pi_p} = p^{1/2} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p^{-1} | \text{rec}(\pi_p))$ (because T_p^{naive} corresponds to the co-character $t \mapsto (1, t^{-1})$ [FP21, Rem. 5.6]!). It is convenient to introduce the twist $\pi \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ which is L -algebraic, for which we find that the infinitesimal character of $\pi_\infty \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto t_2^{k-1}$. We make the following normalizations: the Hodge-Tate weight of the cyclotomic character is -1 , and we normalize the reciprocity law by using geometric Frobenii. With these conventions, the Hodge cocharacter is $t \mapsto (1, t^{1-k})$ and the corresponding Hodge polygon has slopes $1-k$ and 0 . We find that $T_p^{naive}|_{\pi_p} = p \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p^{-1} | \text{rec}(\pi_p \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}}))$. The Katz-Mazur inequality predicts that the Newton polygon (which has slopes the p -adic valuations of two eigenvalues of Frob_p) is above the Hodge polygon with same ending and initial point, from which we find that $v(T_p^{naive}|_{\pi_p}) \geq \inf\{1, k\}$ and that T_p is indeed optimally integral.

3.2. Duality. We let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \text{RHom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the dualizing functor on the category of bounded complexes of finite type \mathbb{Z}_p -modules [Har66, V]. We let ω_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p} and $\omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the dualizing modules. We recall that $\omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p} = \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1$, while $\omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p} = j_* \Omega_{U/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1$, where $j : U \rightarrow X_0(p)$ is the complement of the supersingular locus in the special fiber, which is also the smooth locus of $X_0(p) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then we have dualizing functors $\mathbf{D}_X = \text{RHom}(\cdot, \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}[1])$ and $\mathbf{D}_{X_0(p)} = \text{RHom}(\cdot, \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}[1])$ on the derived category of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves on X and $X_0(p)$. When the context is clear we only write \mathbf{D} for any of these dualizing functors.

We have the following Serre duality isomorphism ([Har66, III, Thm 11.1]):

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k)) = \text{R}\Gamma(X, \mathbf{D}_X(\omega^k))$$

and similarly for cuspidal cohomology.

We now want to understand how this duality isomorphism behaves with respect to Hecke operators. The Hecke T_p is defined as a composition

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} \text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_2^* \omega^k) \xrightarrow{T_p} \text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_1^! \omega^k) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{p_1}} \text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k)$$

and hence dualizes to a composition:

$$\mathbf{D}(\text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k)) \xrightarrow{p_1^*} \mathbf{D}(\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_1^! \omega^k)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}(T_p)} \mathbf{D}(\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_2^* \omega^k)) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{p_2}} \mathbf{D}(\text{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k)).$$

We have

$$\mathbf{D}(\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_1^! \omega^k)) = \text{R}\Gamma((X_0(p), p_1^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k))),$$

$$\mathbf{D}(\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), p_2^* \omega^k)) = \text{R}\Gamma((X_0(p), p_2^! \mathbf{D}(\omega^k))),$$

by [Har66, III, Thm 11.1 and V, Prop. 8.5], and it remains to understand $\mathbf{D}(T_p) : p_1^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k) \rightarrow p_2^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)$. We first recall that we have the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism over X [Kat73, A.1.3.17]

$$KS : \omega^2(-D) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1.$$

We consider the correspondence $T_p : p_2^* \omega^k \rightarrow p_1^* \omega^k$. Applying the functor $\mathbf{D}_{X_0(p)}$ and making a $[-1]$ -shift yields a map $\mathbf{D}(T_p) : p_1^* (\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}) \rightarrow p_2^* (\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p})$. If we use the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism on both sides, we obtain a map: $\mathbf{D}(T_p) : p_1^* (\omega^{-k+2}(-D)) \rightarrow p_2^* (\omega^{-k+2}(-D))$.

We can also consider the transpose correspondence $T_p^t : p_1^* (\omega^k(-D)) \rightarrow p_2^* (\omega^k(-D))$, defined as $p^{-\inf\{1,k\}} T_p^{t,naive}$, where $T_p^{t,naive}$ is the tensor product of $\pi_k^\vee : p_1^* \omega^k \dashrightarrow p_2^* \omega^k$ and $tr_{p_1} : \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}(-D_0(p)) \rightarrow p_1^* (\mathcal{O}_X(-D))$. We can consider the diamond operator $\langle p \rangle$ on X and $X_0(p)$ which multiplies the $\Gamma_1(N)$ level structure by p , as well as the Atkin-Lehner map $w : X_0(p) \rightarrow X_0(p)$, which sends the isogeny $\pi : p_1^* E \rightarrow p_2^* E$ to the dual isogeny $\pi^\vee : p_2^* E \rightarrow p_1^* E$. We have the formulas $w^2 = \langle p \rangle$, $p_1 w = p_2$, and $p_2 w = \langle p \rangle p_1$, and pulling back by w , we see that T_p^t acts on cohomology as $\langle p \rangle^{-1} T_p$.

The main result of this section is now the following:

Proposition 3.6. *We have $\mathbf{D}(T_p) = T_p^t$ as maps $p_1^* (\omega^{-k+2}(-D)) \rightarrow p_2^* (\omega^{-k+2}(-D))$, and hence we have $\mathbf{D}(T_p) = \langle p \rangle^{-1} T_p$ as endomorphisms of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^k)) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \omega^{2-k}(-D))$.*

Lemma 3.7. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}(D_0(p)) & \\
 \mathrm{tr}_{p_2} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \mathrm{tr}_{p_1} \\
 p_2^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1(D) & & p_2^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1(D) \\
 p_2^* KS \uparrow & & p_1^* KS \uparrow \\
 p_2^* \omega^2 & \xrightarrow{p^{-1} \pi_2} & p_1^* \omega^2
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Over $X_0(p)$ we have a map $\pi^* : p_2^* (\mathcal{H}_{dR}^1(E/X), \nabla) \rightarrow p_1^* (\mathcal{H}_{dR}^1(E/X), \nabla)$ which induces a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}(D_0(p)) \otimes p_2^* \omega^{-1} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (\pi_{-1}^\vee)^{-1}} & \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}(D_0(p)) \otimes p_1^* \omega^{-1} \\
 \mathrm{tr}_{p_2} \uparrow & & \mathrm{tr}_{p_1} \uparrow \\
 p_2^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1(D) \otimes p_2^* \omega^{-1} & & p_1^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1(D) \otimes p_1^* \omega^{-1} \\
 p_2^* KS \uparrow & & p_1^* KS \uparrow \\
 p_2^* \omega & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & p_1^* \omega
 \end{array}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}(D_0(p)) & \\
\text{tr}_{p_2} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \text{tr}_{p_1} \\
p_2^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1(D) & & p_2^* \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1(D) \\
p_2^* KS \uparrow & & \uparrow p_1^* KS \\
p_2^* \omega^2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \otimes (\pi_1^\vee)^{-1}} & p_1^* \omega^2
\end{array}$$

It remains to observe that $\pi_1^\vee \pi_1 = p$. □

Lemma 3.8. $\mathbf{D}(T_p^{naive}) = p^{k-1} T_p^{t,naive}$.

Proof. The dual of the map $p_2^* \omega^k \dashrightarrow p_1^* \omega^k \rightarrow p_1^! \omega^k$ is $p_1^*(\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}) \rightarrow p_1^!(\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}) \dashrightarrow p_2^!(\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p})$. The first map $p_1^* \omega^{-k} \otimes p_1^* \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p} \rightarrow p_1^* \omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is just $1 \otimes \text{tr}_{p_1}$.

The second map $p_1^!(\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}) \dashrightarrow p_2^!(\omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p})$ is also $\pi_{-k}^{-1} \otimes 1 : p_1^* \omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p} \dashrightarrow p_2^* \omega^{-k} \otimes \omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

On the other hand, using Kodaira-Spencer we have $\omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p} = p_1^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes p_1^* \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p} = p_1^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes p_1^* \omega^2(-D)$ and $\omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Z}_p} = p_2^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes p_2^* \omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p} = p_2^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes p_2^* \omega^2(-D)$. The identity map: $p_1^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes p_1^* \omega^2(-D) \rightarrow p_2^! \mathcal{O}_X \otimes p_2^* \omega^2(-D)$ decomposes into:

$$\text{tr}_{p_2} \text{tr}_{p_1}^{-1} \otimes (\pi_1^\vee \otimes (\pi_1)^{-1})$$

according to lemma 3.7. We get that $\mathbf{D}(T_p^{naive}) : p_1^*(\omega^{-k+2}(-D)) \dashrightarrow p_2^!(\omega^{-k+2}(-D))$ is $\text{tr}_{p_2} \text{tr}_{p_1}^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{p_1} \otimes (\pi_1^\vee \otimes (\pi_1)^{-1}) \otimes (\pi_{-k})^{-1}$. It remains to observe that $\pi_{1-k} \pi_{1-k}^\vee = p^{1-k}$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6. This follows from the identity:

$$-\inf\{1, k\} + k - 1 = -\inf\{1, 2 - k\}.$$

□

4. HIGHER HIDA THEORY

4.1. The mod p theory. We write $X_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ and $X_0(p)_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ for the special fibers of X and $X_0(p)$. We write $X_1^{ord} \subseteq X_1$ for the ordinary locus.

We recall that $X_0(p)_1 = X_0(p)_1^F \cup X_0(p)_1^V$ is the union of the Frobenius and Verschiebung correspondences. We let p_i^F and p_i^V be the restrictions of the projections p_i to these components. The projection $p_2^V : X_0(p)_1^V \rightarrow X_1$ is an isomorphism (and $X_0(p)_1^V$ parametrizes the Verschiebung isogeny $(p_1^V)^* E \simeq (p_2^V)^* E^{(p)} \rightarrow (p_2^V)^* E$). The projection $p_1^F : X_0(p)_1^F \rightarrow X_1$ is an isomorphism (and $X_0(p)_1^F$ parametrizes the Frobenius isogeny $(p_1^F)^* E \rightarrow (p_2^F)^* E \simeq (p_1^F)^* E^{(p)}$). We denote by i^F and i^V the inclusions $X_0(p)_1^F \hookrightarrow X_0(p)_1$ and $X_0(p)_1^V \hookrightarrow X_0(p)_1$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $k \geq 2$, we have a factorization on X_1 :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_2^* \omega^k & \xrightarrow{T_p} & p_1^! \omega^k \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ i_*^V (p_2^V)^* \omega^k & \longrightarrow & i_*^V (p_1^V)^! \omega^k \end{array}$$

If $k \leq 0$, we have a factorization on X_1 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_2^* \omega^k & \xrightarrow{T_p} & p_1^! \omega^k \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ i_*^F (p_2^F)^* \omega^k & \longrightarrow & i_*^F (p_1^F)^! \omega^k \end{array}$$

Proof. By proposition 2.3, this amounts to checking that the cohomological correspondence $T_p : p_2^* \omega^k \rightarrow p_1^! \omega^k$ vanishes at any generic point of multiplicative type in $X_0(p)_1$ if $k \geq 2$, and at any generic point of étale type in $X_0(p)_1$ if $k \leq 0$. This follows from the normalization of the correspondence as explained in the proof of proposition 3.2. \square

Remark 4.2. We can informally rephrase this lemma by saying that we have congruences: $T_p = U_p \pmod{p}$ if $k \geq 2$ and $T_p = \text{Frob} \pmod{p}$ if $k \leq 0$, see remark 3.4.

Proposition 4.3. *For all $k \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cohomological correspondence T_p induces a map:*

$$p_2^*(\omega^k((np+k-2)SS)) \rightarrow p_1^!(\omega^k(nSS)).$$

For all $k \leq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cohomological correspondence T_p induces a map:

$$p_2^*(\omega^k(-nSS)) \rightarrow p_1^!(\omega^k((-np+k)SS)).$$

Proof. We first prove the claim when $k \geq 2$. The cohomological correspondence is supported on $X_0(p)_1^V$ by Lemma 4.1. The map p_1^V is totally ramified of degree p and the map p_2^V is an isomorphism. It follows that we have an equality of divisors $(p_1^V)^*(SS) = p(p_2^V)^*(SS)$. We deduce that the map $(p_2^V)^* \omega^2 \rightarrow (p_1^V)^! \omega^2$ induces a morphism $(p_2^V)^*(\omega^2(npSS)) \rightarrow (p_1^V)^!(\omega^2(nSS))$.

This proves the claim for $k = 2$. For $k \geq 3$, we remark that the cohomological correspondence $(p_2^V)^* \omega^k \rightarrow (p_1^V)^! \omega^k$ is the tensor product of the map $(p_2^V)^* \omega^2 \rightarrow (p_1^V)^! \omega^2$ and the map $(p_2^V)^* \omega^{k-2} \rightarrow (p_1^V)^* \omega^{k-2}$. But $(p_1^V)^* \omega_E \simeq ((p_2^V)^* \omega_E)^p$ and the differential of the isogeny $(p_2^V)^* E \rightarrow (p_1^V)^* E$ identifies with the Hasse invariant and induces an isomorphism: $(p_2^V)^*(\omega_E(SS)) \rightarrow (p_1^V)^* \omega_E$. We deduce that there is a map $p_2^*(\omega^k((np+k-2)SS)) \rightarrow p_1^!(\omega^k(nSS))$.

We now prove the claim when $k \leq 0$. The cohomological correspondence is supported on $X_0(p)_1^F$ by Lemma 4.1. The map p_2^F is totally ramified of degree p and the map p_1^F is an isomorphism. It follows that we have an equality of divisors $(p_2^F)^*(SS) = p(p_1^F)^*(SS)$. We deduce that the map $(p_2^F)^* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow (p_1^F)^! \mathcal{O}_X$ induces a morphism $(p_2^F)^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-nSS)) \rightarrow (p_1^F)^!(\mathcal{O}_X(-npSS))$.

This proves the claim for $k = 0$. For $k \leq -1$, we remark that the cohomological correspondence $(p_2^F)^* \omega^k \rightarrow (p_1^F)^! \omega^k$ is the tensor product of the map $(p_2^F)^* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow (p_1^F)^! \mathcal{O}_X$ (the cohomological correspondence for $k = 0$) and a map $(p_2^F)^* \omega^k \rightarrow (p_1^F)^* \omega^k$ that we now describe. Informally, this map is deduced from the differential

of the isogeny $(p_1^F)^*E \rightarrow (p_2^F)^*E$, after normalizing by a factor p^{-1} (one can make sense of this over the formal scheme ordinary locus). Equivalently, it is deduced from the differential of the isogeny of the dual map $(p_2^F)^*E \rightarrow (p_1^F)^*E$ (the Verschiebung map).

We observe that $(p_2^F)^*\omega_E \simeq ((p_1^F)^*\omega_E)^p$ and there is a natural isomorphism: $(p_2^F)^*\omega_E \xrightarrow{(p_1^F)^*Ha^{-1}} (p_1^F)^*(\omega_E(SS))$, and therefore, for all $k \leq 0$, an isomorphism: $(p_2^F)^*\omega^k \xrightarrow{(p_1^F)^*Ha^k} (p_1^F)^*(\omega^k(-kSS))$ which factors the map $(p_2^F)^*\omega^k \xrightarrow{(p_1^F)^*Ha^k} (p_1^F)^*\omega^k$. We deduce that there is a map: $p_2^*(\omega^k(-nSS)) \rightarrow p_1^*(\omega^k((-np+k)SS))$. \square

Corollary 4.4. (1) *The T_p operator acts on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(nSS))$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 2$, and the maps $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(nSS)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(n'SS))$ are equivariant for $0 \leq n \leq n'$,*
(2) *We have commutative diagrams for all $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 2$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k((np+k-2)SS)) & \xrightarrow{T_p} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k((np+k-2)SS)) \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(nSS)) & \xrightarrow{T_p} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(nSS)) \end{array}$$

where the diagonal arrow is the map of proposition 4.3.

(3) *The T_p operator acts on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(-nSS))$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $k \leq 0$, and the maps $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(-n'SS)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(-nSS))$ are equivariant for $0 \leq n \leq n'$,*
(4) *We have commutative diagrams for all $n \geq 0$ and $k \leq 0$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(-nSS)) & \xrightarrow{T_p} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k(-nSS)) \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k((-np+k)SS)) & \xrightarrow{T_p} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k((-np+k)SS)) \end{array}$$

where the diagonal arrow is the map of proposition 4.3.

For any k , we define as usual $\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = \lim_n \mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^k(-nSS))$ following [Har72]. This is a profinite \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. We also recall that $\mathrm{H}^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = \mathrm{colim}_n \mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^k(nSS))$.

Corollary 4.5. (1) *If $k \geq 2$, T_p is locally finite on $\mathrm{H}^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k)$.*
(2) *If $k \leq 0$, T_p is locally finite on $\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k)$.*
(3) *If $k \geq 3$, we have $e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^k)$.*
(4) *If $k = 2$, we have $e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^2) = e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^2(SS))$.*
(5) *If $k \leq -1$, we have $e(T_p)\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \omega^k)$.*
(6) *If $k = 0$, we have $e(T_p)\mathrm{H}_c^i(X_1^{ord}, \mathcal{O}_X) = e(T_p)\mathrm{H}^i(X_1, \mathcal{O}_X(-SS))$.*

Corollary 4.6. (1) *If $k \leq -1$, $e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k)$ is concentrated in degree 1,*
(2) *If $k \geq 3$, $e(T_p)\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_1, \omega^k)$ is concentrated in degree 0.*

Proof. This follows from $\mathrm{H}^1(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}_c^0(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k) = 0$ because X_1^{ord} is affine. \square

Remark 4.7. Of course, we even have $\mathrm{H}^1(X_1, \omega^k) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \omega^k) = 0$ for $k < 0$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem and the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism,

but the given proof is independent of this, and more suitable for generalizations in higher dimension.

4.2. The p -adic theory. Let \mathfrak{X} be the p -adic completion of X and let $X_n \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ be the scheme obtained by reduction modulo p^n . Let \mathfrak{X}^{ord} and X_n^{ord} denote the ordinary loci.

4.2.1. *The Igusa tower.* For all $n \geq 1$, we have over \mathfrak{X}^{ord} a canonical multiplicative subgroup $H_n^{can} \subset E[p^n]$, which is étale locally isomorphic to μ_{p^n} . We can consider the étale Cartier dual $(H_n^{can})^D = \text{Hom}(H_n^{can}, \mu_{p^n})$ (away from the boundary, the canonical principal polarization on E defines an isomorphism between $(H_n^{can})^D$ and the étale quotient $E[p^n]^{et} = E[p^n]/H_n^{can}$). We can consider the Hodge-Tate map:

$$\text{HT} : (H_n^{can})^D \rightarrow \omega_E/p^n$$

which sends a local section $s : H_n^{can} \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}$ of $(H_n^{can})^D$ to $s^* \frac{dx}{x} \in \omega_{H_n^{can}} = \omega_E/p^n$, where $\frac{dx}{x}$ is the canonical generator of the co-lie algebra of $\mu_{p^n} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{p^n} - 1)$. If s is a local generator of $(H_n^{can})^D$, then $\text{HT}(s)$ is a local generator of ω_E/p^n , and hence the linearized Hodge-Tate map

$$\text{HT} \otimes 1 : (H_n^{can})^D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X_n^{ord}} \rightarrow \omega_E/p^n$$

is an isomorphism.

Passing to the limit over n we obtain the Hodge-Tate map

$$\text{HT} : T_p((H^{can})^D) \rightarrow \omega_E$$

where $T_p((H^{can})^D)$ is the pro-étale sheaf $\lim_n (H_n^{can})^D$ (pro-étale locally \mathbb{Z}_p), which induces an isomorphism

$$\text{HT} \otimes 1 : T_p((H^{can})^D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}} \rightarrow \omega_E.$$

This defines a \mathbb{Z}_p^\times reduction of the principal \mathbb{G}_m -torsor ω_E over \mathfrak{X}^{ord} (in the pro-étale topology).

We can form $\pi : \mathfrak{IG} = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, T_p((H^{can})^D)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{ord}$, the Igusa tower. This is a p -adic formal scheme, and a profinite étale cover of \mathfrak{X}^{ord} .

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]$ be the Iwasawa algebra, and let $\kappa^{un} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$ be the universal character. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have an algebraic character $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, given by $x \mapsto x^k$ and we denote by $k : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ the corresponding algebra morphism. We let $\omega^{\kappa^{un}} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{IG}} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda)^{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ where the invariants are taken for the diagonal action.

Lemma 4.8. *The sheaf $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ is an invertible sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ -modules and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a canonical isomorphism of invertible sheaves over \mathfrak{X}^{ord} :*

$$\omega^k \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \otimes_{\Lambda, k} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

4.2.2. *Cohomology of the ordinary locus.* We may now consider the following Λ -modules:

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$$

and

$$H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}).$$

Let us give the definition of the second module. Let \mathfrak{m}_Λ be the kernel of the reduction map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[\mathbb{F}_p^\times]]$. We first define $H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n) = H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n)$ as follows: we can take any extension of $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n$ to a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{O}_{X_n} \otimes \Lambda/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n$ -modules (this means that if $j : X_n^{ord} \rightarrow X_n$ is the inclusion,

then $j^* \mathcal{F} = \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n$ and we let $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n) = \lim_l H^1(X_n, \mathcal{I}^l \mathcal{F})$ for \mathcal{I} any sheaf of ideals on X_n whose vanishing locus is the complement of X_n^{ord} . We remark that this is a profinite $\Lambda / (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n$ -module. The profinite module $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n)$ is well-defined (it does not depend on the choice of \mathcal{I} or \mathcal{F}) following [Har72, §2]. We then define $H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) = \lim_n H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n)$.

We also define, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^k) = \lim_l H^1(X_n, \mathcal{I}^l \omega^k)$, $H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) = \lim_n H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^k)$. We remark that for the same reason as above $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^k)$ can also be computed as $\lim_l H^1(X_n, \mathcal{I}^l \mathcal{F})$ for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_n extending ω^k on X_n^{ord} . We also note that there are natural ‘‘corestriction’’ maps $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^k) \rightarrow H^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^k)$ and $H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{X}, \omega^k)$.

4.2.3. U_p and Frobenius. There is a lift of Frobenius $F : \mathfrak{X}^{ord} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{ord}$ which is given by $E \mapsto E/H_1^{can}$, and the $\Gamma_1(N)$ level structure on E/H_1^{can} induced by the isogeny $E \rightarrow E/H_1^{can}$. This map extends to the Igusa tower as a map: $F : \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}$, which is given by $(E, \psi : \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq T_p((H^{can})^D)) \mapsto (E/H_1^{can}, \psi' : \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq T_p((H^{can}/H_1^{can})^D))$ where ψ' is defined by $\mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{p\psi} pT_p((H^{can})^D) \hookrightarrow T_p((H^{can}/H_1^{can})^D)$.

We also consider a variant F' which is defined in the same way as F except that we give E/H_1^{can} the $\Gamma_1(N)$ level structure via the dual isogeny $E/H_1^{can} \rightarrow E$, or in other words $F' = \langle p \rangle^{-1} \circ F$. The inverse of F' viewed as a correspondence is a lift over the ordinary locus of the Verschiebung correspondence on the special fiber.

We consider two maps, the natural pull-back map on functions: $F^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}$ and the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{F'} : F'_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}$.

Lemma 4.9. *We have $\mathrm{tr}_{F'}(F'_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}) \subset p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}$.*

Proof. We have $\mathrm{tr}_{F'}(F'_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}}) \subset p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}}$. Since $F' \times \pi : \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G} \times_{\pi, \mathfrak{X}^{ord}, F'} \mathfrak{X}^{ord}$, we deduce that $\mathrm{tr}_{F'}(F'_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}) \subset p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}$. \square

Corollary 4.10. *There are two maps $F : F^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ and $U_p : F'_* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$.*

Proof. The maps $F^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}$ and $\frac{1}{p} \mathrm{tr}_{F'} : F'_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{G}}$ are \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant. We can tensor with Λ and take the invariants. \square

4.2.4. U_p , Frobenius, and T_p . We now describe the specializations of these maps at classical weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and compare them with the cohomological correspondence T_p . Over \mathfrak{X}^{ord} we have the canonical multiplicative isogeny $\pi_{can} : E \rightarrow E/H_1^{can}$ as well as its dual étale isogeny $\pi_{can}^\vee : E/H_1^{can} \rightarrow E$. We consider the induced maps on differentials $\pi_{can}^* : \omega_{E/H_1^{can}} \rightarrow \omega_E$ and $(\pi_{can}^\vee)^* : \omega_E \rightarrow \omega_{E/H_1^{can}}$. The latter is an isomorphism as π_{can}^\vee is étale. Then as $(\pi_{can}^\vee)^* \pi_{can}^* = p \mathrm{Id}_{\omega_{E/H_1^{can}}}$, we can form $p^{-1} \pi_{can}^* = ((\pi_{can}^\vee)^*)^{-1} : \omega_{E/H_1^{can}} \rightarrow \omega_E$. We can also identify $\omega_{E/H_1^{can}}$ with $F^* \omega_E$ or $(F')^* \omega_E$.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, specializing the maps constructed in corollary 4.10 gives maps $F : F^* \omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$ and $U_p : F'_* \omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$.

Lemma 4.11. *$F = p^{-k} (\pi_{can}^*)^k : F^* \omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$.*

Proof. The étale isogeny π_{can}^\vee induces an isomorphism $H^{can}/H_1^{can} \rightarrow H^{can}$ and it follows directly from the definition of the Hodge-Tate map that there is a commutative digram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_p((H^{can})^D) & \longrightarrow & T_p((H^{can}/H_1^{can})^D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \omega_E & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{can}^\vee)^*} & \omega_{E/H_1^{can}} \end{array}$$

from which it follows easily that $F^*\omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$ is given by $((\pi_{can}^\vee)^*)^{-k} = p^{-k}(\pi_{can}^*)^k$. \square

We can construct a map:

$$F'_*\omega^k \xrightarrow{((\pi_{can}^\vee)^*)^k} F'_*(F')^*\omega^k \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{p}\text{tr}_{F'}} \omega^k.$$

Lemma 4.12. *The above map coincides with the map $U_p : F'_*\omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$.*

Proof. Similar to lemma 4.11 and left to the reader. \square

In section 3.1 we constructed a cohomological correspondence T_p over $X_0(p)$:

$$T_p : p_2^*\omega^k \rightarrow p_1^!\omega^k.$$

We can consider the completion $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)$ and its ordinary part $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord}$ which is the disjoint union of two types of irreducible components:

$$\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord} = \mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F} \amalg \mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,V},$$

where on the first components the universal isogeny is not étale, and where it is étale on the other components.

On $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F}$, the map p_1 is an isomorphism and the map p_2 identifies with the Frobenius map F . On $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,V}$, the map p_2 is an isomorphism and the map p_1 identifies with F' . We therefore can think of U_p and F as cohomological correspondences $p_2^*\omega^k \rightarrow p_1^!\omega^k$ supported respectively on $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,V}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F}$.

One can also restrict T_p to a cohomological correspondence over $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord}$ and project it on the components $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,V}$. We denote by T_p^F and T_p^V the two projections of the correspondence T_p .

Lemma 4.13. (1) *We have $T_p^F = p^{\text{sup}\{0,k-1\}} F$.*

(2) *We have $T_p^V = p^{\text{sup}\{0,1-k\}} U_p$.*

(3) *If $k \geq 1$, we have $T_p = U_p + p^{k-1} F$,*

(4) *If $k \leq 1$, we have $T_p = F + p^{1-k} U_p$.*

Proof. Parts (3) and (4) follow immediately from parts (1) and (2) (compare also with remark 3.4), while parts (1) and (2) are simply a matter of bookkeeping using lemmas 4.11 and 4.12 and the definition of T_p .

For the benefit of the reader we spell out the details for (1). According to the definition of T_p and in particular its normalization (recall the proof of proposition 3.2), $p^{-\text{sup}\{0,k-1\}} T_p^F$ exists and is given on $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F}$ by the composition of $p^{-k} \pi_k : p_2^*\omega^k \rightarrow p_1^*\omega^k$ and the trace map for $p_1^*\omega^k \rightarrow p_1^!\omega^k$. But in fact p_1 is an isomorphism on $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F}$, and making this identification p_2 becomes F , while the universal

isogeny over $\mathfrak{X}_0(p)^{ord,F}$ becomes π_{can} . Thus we can view $p^{-\sup\{0,k-1\}}T_p^F$ as a map $F^*\omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$, which is F by lemma 4.11 \square

We end this discussion with duality.

Lemma 4.14. *We have $\mathbf{D}(F) = \langle p \rangle^{-1}U_p$.*

Proof. Compare with proposition 3.6. \square

4.2.5. *Higher Hida theory.*

Theorem 4.15. (1) *There is a locally finite action of F on $H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ and $e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ is a finite projective Λ -module. Moreover,*

$$e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \otimes_{\Lambda,k} \mathbb{Z}_p = e(T_p)H^1(X, \omega^k)$$

if $k \leq -1$.

(2) *U_p is locally finite on $H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ and $e(U_p)H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ is a finite projective Λ -module. Moreover,*

$$e(U_p)H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \otimes_{\Lambda,k} \mathbb{Z}_p = e(T_p)H^0(X, \omega^k)$$

if $k \geq 3$.

Proof. We will first construct a continuous action on of F on $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n)$, compatible for all n .

We let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ be a locally principal sheaf of ideals so that the complement of the corresponding closed subscheme is X_n^{ord} (for instance the sheaf of ideals defined by a lift of a power of the Hasse invariant). We take a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over X_n extending $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n$. We may assume that \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{I} -torsion free by replacing \mathcal{F} with its quotient by the subsheaf of \mathcal{I} -power torsion. Then the multiplication map $\mathcal{I}^l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_n}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^l \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism for all $l \geq 0$, and we use this to make sense of $\mathcal{I}^l \mathcal{F}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then if $j : X_n^{ord} \rightarrow X_n$ denotes the inclusion, we have $j_*\omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n = \text{colim}_l \mathcal{I}^{-l} \mathcal{F}$.

We write $X_0(p)_n \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for the reduction mod p^n of $X_0(p)$, and $X_0(p)_n^{ord}$ for its ordinary locus. Then $p_1^* \mathcal{I}$ and $p_2^* \mathcal{I}$ can be identified with $p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)_n}$ and $p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)_n}$, and so we view them as locally principal sheaves of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)_n}$. They define the same closed subset of $X_0(p)_n$ (the complement of $X_0(p)_n^{ord}$) and so in particular we can pick some m with $p_2^* \mathcal{I}^m \subseteq p_1^* \mathcal{I}$.

We have $X_0(p)_n^{ord} = X_0(p)_n^{ord,F} \amalg X_0(p)_n^{ord,V}$, where $X_0(p)_n^{ord,F}$ is the component where the universal isogeny has connected kernel, and $X_0(p)_n^{ord,V}$ the component where the universal isogeny has étale kernel. The graph of the map $F : X_n^{ord} \rightarrow X_n^{ord}$ is $X_0(p)_n^{ord,F}$. We can therefore think of $F : F^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ as a cohomological correspondence on $X_0(p)_n^{ord}$:

$$p_2^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n \rightarrow p_1^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}}/(\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n$$

which is given by F on the component $X_0(p)_n^{ord,F}$ and by 0 on the component $X_0(p)_n^{ord,V}$. Pushing this forward from X_n^{ord} to X_n we obtain a map

$$\text{colim}_l p_2^*(\mathcal{I}^{-l} \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{colim}_l p_1^*(\mathcal{I}^{-l} \mathcal{F}).$$

It follows that there exists some c for which there is a map $p_2^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_1^1(\mathcal{I}^{-c} \mathcal{F})$. From this and the inclusion $p_2^* \mathcal{I}^m \rightarrow p_1^* \mathcal{I}$ we deduce a map

$$p_2^*(\mathcal{I}^{lm} \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow p_1^1(\mathcal{I}^{-c+l} \mathcal{F})$$

for all $l \geq 0$. Taking cohomology we obtain a map $F : H^1(X_n, \mathcal{I}^{lm} \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X_n, \mathcal{I}^{-c+l} \mathcal{F})$. Passing to the limit over all l we obtain a continuous endomorphism F of $H_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n)$.

We now need to prove that F is locally finite. We first deal with $n = 1$. In that case, $H_c^1(X_1^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{k=-p+2}^0 H_c^1(X_1^{ord}, \omega^k)$ (in this last formula, we can let k go through any set of representatives of $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z}) and this isomorphism is equivariant for the action of F on the left, and T_p on the right by lemma 4.13. It follows from corollary 4.5 that F is locally finite for $n = 1$, and also that $e(F)H_c^1(X_1^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)$ is a finite \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. We deal with the general case by induction, using the short exact sequences in cohomology (\mathfrak{X}^{ord} is affine) and proposition 2.11:

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^1(X_{n+1}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \otimes (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda^n / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda^{n+1})) \rightarrow H_c^1(X_{n+1}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_c^1(X_1^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}} / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda^n) \rightarrow 0$$

It follows that F is locally finite and $e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ is a finite projective Λ -module, as it is a complete flat Λ -module whose reduction mod \mathfrak{m} is finite.

Finally, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have an isomorphism $e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \otimes_{\Lambda, k} \mathbb{Z}_p = e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k)$ and we can consider the composition

$$e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) \rightarrow H^1(X, \omega^k) \rightarrow e(T_p)H^1(X, \omega^k).$$

where the maps are inclusion, corestriction, and projection. When $k \leq -1$ this is a map of finite free \mathbb{Z}_p -modules, which is an isomorphism modulo p by corollary 4.5. Therefore this map is an isomorphism.

The proof of the second point of the theorem follows along similar lines. \square

4.3. Serre duality. Recall that we have a residue map $\text{res} : H^1(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore, there is a natural map: $H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^2(-D) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda$ which is obtained as the composite

$$H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^2(-D) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda) \rightarrow H^1(X, \omega^2(-D) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda) \rightarrow H^1(X, \omega^2(-D)) \otimes \Lambda$$

$$\xrightarrow{KS \otimes 1} H^1(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1) \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{res} \otimes 1} \Lambda$$

where the first map is the corestriction (see section 4.2.2).

Let us denote by $\omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D) = \omega^2(-D) \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(\omega^{\kappa^{un}}, \Lambda \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}})$. This is an invertible sheaf of $\Lambda \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}}$ -modules over \mathfrak{X}^{ord} .

Remark 4.16. The following character $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$, $t \mapsto t^2(\kappa^{un}(t))^{-1}$ induces an automorphism $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$. We have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^{ord}} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ -modules: $\omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D) = \omega^{\kappa^{un}}(-D) \otimes_{\Lambda, d} \Lambda$.

We can therefore define a pairing:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^2(-D) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda$$

Proposition 4.17. *For any $(f, g) \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D))$, we have $\langle \langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p f, g \rangle = \langle f, Fg \rangle$.*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) \times \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D)) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are injective (the injectivity of the first vertical map follows from the fact that for any complete flat Λ -module M , $M \rightarrow \prod_k M \otimes_{\Lambda, k} \mathbb{Z}_p$ is injective. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{p}_k = \ker(k : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p)$, then tensoring the injective map $\Lambda/(\mathfrak{p}_0 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k) \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^k \Lambda/\mathfrak{p}_i$ with M , we see that the kernel is contained in $\bigcap_k \mathfrak{p}_0 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k M \subseteq \bigcap_n (\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda)^n M = 0$ by completeness.) It suffices therefore to prove the identity for the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) \times \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^2(-D)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

We work modulo p^n . As in the proof of theorem 4.15 we let \mathcal{I} be a locally principal sheaf of ideals defining a closed subscheme whose complement is X_n^{ord} , and we have a cohomological correspondence over $X_0(p)_n$

$$F : p_2^* \mathcal{I}^{ml} \omega^{2-k}(-D) \rightarrow p_1^! \mathcal{I}^{-c+l} \omega^{2-k}(-D)$$

so that passing to cohomology and taking the limit over l gives the action of F on $\mathrm{H}_c^1(X_n^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D))$.

We can consider the dual $\mathbf{D}(F) : p_1^* \mathcal{I}^{-l+c} \omega^k \rightarrow p_2^! \mathcal{I}^{-ml} \omega^k$. Passing to cohomology and taking the colimit over l gives the action of $\langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p$ on $\mathrm{H}^0(X_n^{ord}, \omega^k)$ by lemma 4.14. \square

This pairing hence restricts to a pairing:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : e(U_p) \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times e(F) \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \rightarrow \Lambda.$$

Theorem 4.18. (1) *The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a perfect pairing,*

(2) *For any $(f, g) \in e(U_p) \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times e(F) \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D))$,*

$$\langle \langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p f, g \rangle = \langle f, Fg \rangle,$$

(3) *The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is compatible with the classical pairing in the sense that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a commutative diagram, where the bottom pairing is the one deduced from Serre duality on X :*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} e(U_p) \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) & \times & e(F) \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \uparrow i & & \downarrow j & \nearrow & \\ e(T_p) \mathrm{H}^0(X, \omega^k) & \times & e(T_p) \mathrm{H}^1(X, \omega^{2-k}(-D)) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. The second point follows from proposition 4.17. The first point will follow from the third point, since the map i and j are isomorphisms for integers $k \geq 3$ and the bottom pairing is perfect. Let us prove the last point. First, we consider the diagram without applying projectors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^k) & \times & \mathrm{H}_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \uparrow i & & \downarrow j & \nearrow & \\ \mathrm{H}^0(X, \omega^k) & \times & \mathrm{H}^1(X, \omega^{2-k}(-D)) & & \end{array}$$

which is commutative by construction. For any $f \in H^0(X, \omega^k)$ and $g \in H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D))$, we have $\langle i(f), g \rangle = \langle f, j(g) \rangle$. If we now assume that $f \in e(T_p)H^0(X, \omega^k)$ and $g \in e(F)H_c^1(\mathfrak{X}^{ord}, \omega^{2-k}(-D))$, we have that

$$\langle e(U_p)i(f), g \rangle = \langle i(f), e(F)g \rangle = \langle i(f), g \rangle$$

and

$$\langle f, e(T_p)j(g) \rangle = \langle e(T_p)f, j(g) \rangle = \langle f, j(g) \rangle$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

5. HIGHER COLEMAN THEORY

5.1. Cohomology with support in a closed subspace. We recall the notion of cohomology of an abelian sheaf on a topological space, with support in a closed subspace. A reference for this material is [Gro05, I]. Let X be a topological space. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed subspace. We denote by C_Z and C_X the categories of sheaves of abelian groups on Z and X respectively.

We have the pushforward functor $i_* : C_Z \rightarrow C_X$. The functor i_* has a right adjoint $i^! : C_X \rightarrow C_Z$. For an abelian sheaf \mathcal{F} over X , we let $\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^0(X, i_*i^!\mathcal{F})$. By definition this is the subgroup of $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ of sections whose support is included in Z . We let $R\Gamma_Z(X, -)$ be the derived functor of $\Gamma_Z(X, -)$.

Let $U = X \setminus Z$ and let \mathcal{F} be an object of C_X . We have an exact triangle [Gro05, I, Cor. 2.9]:

$$R\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\pm 1}$$

Some properties of the cohomology with support are:

- (1) (Change of support) [Gro05, I, Prop. 1.8] If $Z \subset Z'$, there is a map $R\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{Z'}(X, \mathcal{F})$.
- (2) (Pull-back) If we have a cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

and a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X' , there is a map $R\Gamma_{Z'}(X', \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_Z(X, f^*\mathcal{F})$,

- (3) (Change of ambient space) [Gro05, I, Prop. 2.2] If we have $Z \subset U \subset X$ for some open U of X , then the pull back map $R\Gamma_Z(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_Z(U, \mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

We now discuss the construction of the trace map in the context of adic spaces and finite flat morphisms.

Lemma 5.1. *Consider a commutative diagram of topological spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

with X and X' adic spaces, f a finite flat morphism of adic spaces, Z' and Z are closed subspaces of X' and X respectively. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules. Then there is a trace map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_Z(X, f^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{Z'}(X', \mathcal{F})$.

Proof. We first recall that the category of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_T -modules on a ringed space (T, \mathcal{O}_T) has enough injectives ([Sta22, Tag 01DH]). It follows that it is enough to construct a functorial map $\Gamma_Z(X, f^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{Z'}(X', \mathcal{F})$ for sheaves \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules. We have a map $\Gamma_Z(X, f^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{f^{-1}(Z')}(X, f^*\mathcal{F})$. Therefore, it suffices to consider the case where $Z = f^{-1}(Z')$. We have a trace map $Tr : f_*f^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Let us complete the above diagram into:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X & \longleftarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ Z' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longleftarrow & U' \end{array}$$

where $U' = X' \setminus Z'$ and $U = X \setminus Z$. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*f^*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & j'_*g_*g^*(j')^*\mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow Tr & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & j'_*(j')^*\mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

Taking global sections and the induced map on the kernel of the two horizontal morphisms, we deduce that there is a map $\Gamma_Z(X, f^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{Z'}(X', \mathcal{F})$. \square

5.2. The modular curve $X_0(p)$. We let $X_0(p)$ be the compactified modular curve of level $\Gamma_0(p)$ and tame level $\Gamma_1(N)$ for some prime to p integer $N \geq 3$, viewed as an adic space over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We let $H_1 \subset E[p]$ be the universal subgroup of order p .

5.2.1. Parametrization by the degree. Let $X_0(p)^{\mathrm{rk}1}$ be the subset of rank one points of $X_0(p)$. Fargues defines a map $\mathrm{deg} : X_0(p)^{\mathrm{rk}1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which sends $x \in X_0(p)^{\mathrm{rk}1}$ to $\mathrm{deg} H_1 \in [0, 1]$. We briefly recall the definition (see [Far10, §4, Def. 3] for more details). The group H_1 extends to a finite flat group scheme \tilde{H}_1 over $\mathrm{Spec} k(x)^+$, by taking the schematic closure in $E[p]$. There is an isomorphism $\omega_{\tilde{H}_1} = k(x)^+/fk(x)^+$ and $\mathrm{deg} H_1 = v_x(f)$ for v_x the valuation attached to x , normalized by $v(p) = 1$. For any rational interval $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$, there is a unique quasi-compact open $X_0(p)_{[a,b]}$ of X such that $\mathrm{deg}^{-1}[a, b] = X_0(p)_{[a,b]}^{\mathrm{rk}1}$. We let $X_0(p)_{[0,a[\cup]b,1]} = X_0(p) \setminus X_0(p)_{[a,b]}$.

Remark 5.2. We remark that in this parametrization, the two extremal points 0 (resp. 1) correspond to ordinary semi-abelian schemes equipped with an étale (resp. multiplicative) subgroup H_1 .

5.2.2. The canonical subgroup. We let X be the compactified modular curve of level prime to p . We have an Hasse invariant Ha and we can define the Hodge height:

$$\mathrm{Hdg} : X^{\mathrm{rk}1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

obtained by sending x to $\inf\{v_x(\tilde{\mathrm{Ha}}), 1\}$ for any local lift $\tilde{\mathrm{Ha}}$ of the Hasse invariant. For any $v \in [0, 1]$, we let $X_v = \{x \in X, \mathrm{Hdg}(x) \leq v\}$ (more correctly, X_v is the quasi-compact open whose rank one points are those described as above).

We recall the following theorems:

Theorem 5.3 ([Kat73, Thm 3.1]). *If $v < \frac{p}{p+1}$, then over X_v we have a canonical subgroup $H_1^{can} \subset E[p]$ which is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ in the étale topology.*

Theorem 5.4. (1) *For any rank one point of $X_0(p)$, we have the identity $\sum_{H \subset E[p]} \deg H = 1$. Moreover, either all the degrees are equal or there exists a canonical subgroup H_1^{can} and for all $H \neq H_1^{can}$, $\deg H = \frac{1 - \deg H_1^{can}}{p}$.*
 (2) *If $a < \frac{1}{p+1}$, $X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$ carries a canonical subgroup $H_1^{can} \neq H_1$ and $\deg(H_1) = \frac{\text{Hdg}}{p}$.*
 (3) *If $a > \frac{1}{p+1}$, $X_0(p)_{[a,1]}$ carries a canonical subgroup $H_1^{can} = H_1$, and $\deg(H_1) = 1 - \text{Hdg}$.*

Proof. See [Pil11, A.2] and [Far11, Thm. 6]. \square

5.3. The correspondence U_p . We let C be the correspondence over $X_0(p)$ underlying U_p . It parametrizes isogenies of degree p : $(E \rightarrow E', H_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H'_1)$. We denote by $H = \text{Ker}(E \rightarrow E')$. We have two projections $p_1((E, H_1, E', H'_1)) = (E, H_1)$, $p_2((E, H_1, E', H'_1)) = (E', H'_1)$.

There is actually an isomorphism $X_0(p^2) \rightarrow C$ (where $X_0(p^2)$ parametrizes $(E, H_2 \subset E[p^2])$, with H_2 locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$), mapping (E, H_2) to $(E/H_1, H_2/H_1, E, H_1)$ where $H_1 = H_2[p]$, and the isogeny $E/H_1 \rightarrow E$ is dual to $E \rightarrow E/H_1$. The inverse of this isomorphism sends (E, H_1, E', H'_1) to $(E', p^{-1}H_1/H)$.

Let us denote by $C_{[a,b]} = p_1^{-1}(X_0(p)_{[a,b]})$. By theorem 5.4, if $a > \frac{1}{p+1}$, then we have a canonical subgroup of order p over $X_0(p)_{[a,1]}$, $H_1^{can} = H_1$ and if $a < \frac{1}{p+1}$, we also have a canonical subgroup of order p over $X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$ and $H_1 \neq H_1^{can}$. The map $p_1 : C_{[0,a]} \rightarrow X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$ has a section given by H_1^{can} . Let $C_{[0,a]}^{can}$ be the image of this section and let $C_{[0,a]}^{et}$ be its complement, so that $C_{[0,a]} = C_{[0,a]}^{can} \amalg C_{[0,a]}^{et}$.

Proposition 5.5. (1) *If $a \geq \frac{1}{p+1}$, $p_2(C_{\{a\}}) = X_0(p)_{\{\frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{a}{p}\}}$.*
 (2) *If $a < \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have that $p_2(C_{\{a\}}^{can}) = X_0(p)_{\{pa\}}$, and $p_2(C_{\{a\}}^{et}) = X_0(p)_{\{1-a\}}$.*
 (3) *If $a \in]0, 1[$, we have $\overline{p_2(C_{[a,1]})} \subseteq X_{[a,1]}$.*

Proof. We do the case by case argument to check the first two points, using Theorem 5.4, (1):

- (1) If $a > \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have $H_1 = H_1^{can}$. If $H \subset E[p]$ satisfies $H \neq H_1^{can}$, then $\deg H = \frac{1 - \deg H_1}{p}$ and $\deg E[p]/H = \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{\deg H_1}{p}$. If $a = \frac{1}{p+1}$ there is no canonical subgroup, so $\deg H = \frac{1}{p+1}$ and the computation is the same.
- (2) On $C_{[0,a]}^{can}$, the isogeny $E \rightarrow E/H$ is the canonical isogeny and $\deg E[p]/H = 1 - \deg H$ where $\deg H = 1 - p \deg H_1$. On $C_{[0,a]}^{et}$ we have $H \neq H_1^{can}$, and $\deg H = \deg H_1$. Therefore $\deg E[p]/H = 1 - \deg H_1$.

We deduce that if $a \in]0, 1[$, there exists $b > a$ such that $p_2(C_{[a,1]}) \subseteq X_{[b,1]}$, and the last point follows. \square

We now give a similar analysis for the transpose of U_p . It is useful to use the isomorphism $C \simeq X_0(p^2)$, for which we have $p_2(E, H_2) = (E, H_1)$ and $p_1(E, H_2) = (E/H_1, H_2/H_1)$. We let $C^{[a,b]} = p_2^{-1}(X_0(p)_{[a,b]})$.

If $\deg H_1 < \frac{p}{p+1}$, we deduce that $\deg E[p]/H_1 > \frac{1}{p+1}$ is the canonical subgroup. If $\deg H_1 > \frac{p}{p+1}$, we deduce that $\deg E[p]/H_1 < \frac{1}{p+1}$ is not the canonical subgroup,

but that E/H_1 admits a canonical subgroup. We denote by $C^{[a,1],can}$ the component where H_2/H_1 is the canonical subgroup and by $C^{[a,1],et}$ its complement.

Proposition 5.6. (1) If $a \leq \frac{p}{p+1}$, $p_1(C^{\{a\}}) = X_0(p)_{\{\frac{a}{p}\}}$.

(2) If $a > \frac{p}{p+1}$, we have that $p_1(C^{\{a\},can}) = X_0(p)_{\{1-p(1-a)\}}$, and $p_1(C^{\{a\},et}) = X_0(p)_{\{1-a\}}$.

(3) For any $0 < a < 1$, we have that $p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \subseteq (X_0(p)_{[0,a]})^\circ$, the interior of $X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$.

Proof. We do the case by case argument for the first two points, using Theorem 5.4, (1):

(1) We have $\deg E[p]/H_1 = 1 - \deg H_1$. If $a < \frac{p}{p+1}$, this is the canonical subgroup. We deduce that $\deg H_2/H_1 = \frac{\deg H_1}{p}$. If $a = \frac{p}{p+1}$, there is no canonical subgroup and the same formula holds.

(2) We have $\deg E[p]/H_1 = 1 - \deg H_1$, is not the canonical subgroup. In case $(E, H_2) \in C^{[a,1],can}$, we deduce that $\deg H_2/H_1 = 1 - p(1 - \deg H_1)$. If $(E, H_2) \in C^{[a,1],et}$, we deduce that $\deg H_1/H_2 = 1 - \deg H_1$.

We deduce that if $0 < a < 1$, there exists $b < a$ such that $p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \subseteq X_0(p)_{[0,b]}$. \square

5.4. The U_p -operator. We work over $X_0(p)$. Let $a \in]0, 1[\cap \mathbb{Q}$. The cohomologies of interest are:

- (1) $R\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)$,
- (2) $R\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)$,
- (3) $R\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$.

The category of perfect Banach complexes is the homotopy category of the category of bounded complexes of Banach spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p . A quasi-isomorphism of perfect Banach complexes admits an inverse up to homotopy ([Sch99, Prop. 1.3.22], a quasi-isomorphism is always strict by the open mapping theorem).

Lemma 5.7. *The above cohomologies can be canonically represented by objects of the category of perfect Banach complexes by using Čech covers.*

Proof. By [Hub94, Lem. 2.6], any finitely generated module over a complete Tate algebra carries a canonical topology and is complete. We deduce that the sections of ω^k over an affinoid open subset of $X_0(p)$ form naturally a \mathbb{Q}_p -Banach space. In case (1) and (2) we can take a Čech complex for a finite affinoid covering to represent the cohomology. Since any two Čech cover can be refined by a third one, and since quasi-isomorphism admit inverses up to homotopy, we deduce the independence (up to homotopy) of the Čech complex. In case (3), the cohomology fits in an exact triangle:

$$R\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and is quasi-isomorphic to the cone of a continuous map between perfect Banach complexes, hence is also a perfect Banach complex. \square

5.4.1. Constructing the action. A morphism in the category of perfect Banach complexes is called compact if it can be represented by a morphism between complexes which is compact in each degree.

We define a naive cohomological correspondence U_p^{naive} has follows. The two ingredients are the differential map $p_2^* \omega_E \rightarrow p_1^* \omega_E$ and the trace map $(p_1)_* \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}$. Putting all this together, we obtain

$$U_p^{naive} : (p_1)_* p_2^* \omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k.$$

Proposition 5.8. *We have an action of the U_p^{naive} -operator on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)$, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)$ and $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ for any $0 < a < 1$. The U_p^{naive} -operator is compact. Moreover, the U_p^{naive} -operator acts equivariantly on the triangle:*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Proof. The operator U_p^{naive} is compact on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ because this later complex is a perfect complex of finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces. By proposition 5.5:

$$\overline{p_2(C_{[a,1]})} \subset X_0(p)_{[a,1]}.$$

It follows that we have a compact morphism $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(p_2(C_{[a,1]}), \omega^k)$. Therefore, we have a map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(p_2(C_{[a,1]}), \omega^k) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C_{[a,1]}, p_2^* \omega^k) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C_{[a,1]}, p_1^* \omega^k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that U_p^{naive} acts and is compact on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)$ because the first map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(p_2(C_{[a,1]}), \omega^k)$ is. Similarly, by proposition 5.6:

$$p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \subset (X_0(p)_{[0,a]})^\circ.$$

The operator U_p^{naive} acts like the composite of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \xrightarrow{p_2^*} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{C^{[0,a]}}(C, p_2^* \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{C^{[0,a]}}(C, p_1^* \omega^k) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{p_1^{-1} p_1(C^{[0,a]})}(C, p_1^* \omega^k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{p_1(C^{[0,a]})}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{p_1(C^{[0,a]})}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ is compact. This will follow if we prove that the map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,b]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ for $0 \leq b < a \leq 1$ is compact. To see this, we observe that there is a map of exact triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,b]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[b,1]}, \omega^k) & \longrightarrow & +1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) & \longrightarrow & +1 \end{array}$$

and since the two vertical maps on the right are compact, the first vertical map is also compact. \square

For any $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ we can consider a direct factor $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{\leq h}$, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)^{\leq h}$ and respectively $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{\leq h}$ of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)$, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)$ and respectively $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ called the slope less than h part. It is obtained by representing U_p^{naive} by a compact map of complexes and by applying the slope less than h projector in each degree ([Ser62]). The slope $\leq h$ complexes are perfect

complexes of \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces (i.e. they are bounded complexes with finite dimensional cohomology). The finite slope part (denote by a superscript *fs*) is the inverse limit of the $\leq h$ -part for $h \rightarrow \infty$.

We also note the following corollary of the proof:

Corollary 5.9. *For any $0 < a < b < 1$, the natural maps*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[b,1]}, \omega^k)$$

and

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,b]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$$

induce quasi-isomorphism on the finite slope part for U_p^{naive} .

5.4.2. U_p and Frobenius. It is worth spelling out the action of U_p on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$.

If $a < \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have a correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_{[0,a]}^{can} & \\ p_2^{can} \swarrow & & \searrow p_1^{can} \\ X_0(p)_{[0,pa]} & & X_0(p)_{[0,a]} \end{array}$$

where p_1^{can} is actually an isomorphism. We can think of this correspondence as the graph of the Frobenius map $X_0(p)_{[0,pa]} \rightarrow X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$, sending (E, H_1) to $(E/H_1^{can}, E[p]/H_1^{can})$. We claim that there is an associated operator:

$$U_p^{naive, can} : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k).$$

We first observe that $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p)_{[0,a]}, \omega^k)$.

We now construct $U_p^{naive, can}$ as the composite:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,pa]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \xrightarrow{(p_2^{can})^*} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{C_{[0,a]}^{can}}(C_{[0,a]}^{can}, (p_2^{can})^* \omega^k) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{C_{[0,a]}^{can}}(C_{[0,a]}^{can}, (p_1^{can})^* \omega^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.10. *For $a < \frac{1}{p+1}$, we have $U_p^{naive, can} = U_p^{naive}$ on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$.*

Proof. This amounts to comparing the construction of U_p^{naive} (given in the proof of proposition 5.8) and of $U_p^{naive, can}$. We see that $p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \subset X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$ and therefore,

$$p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) = (p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{can}) \coprod (p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{et}).$$

Moreover, $p_2((p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{et})) \subset X_0(p)_{[1-a,a]}$ and therefore, $C^{[0,a]} \hookrightarrow (p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{can})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]})}(C, p_1^* \omega^k) &= \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{can})}(C, p_1^* \omega^k) &\oplus \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{et})}(C, p_1^* \omega^k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{C^{[0,a]}}(C, p_1^* \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]})}(C, p_1^* \omega^k)$ factors through the direct factor $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{(p_1^{-1}p_1(C^{[0,a]}) \cap C_{[0,a]}^{can})}(C, p_1^* \omega^k)$. \square

5.4.3. *Slopes estimates and the control theorem.* The following lemma is the key technical input to proving Coleman's classicality theorem.

Lemma 5.11. *For any $a \in]0, 1[\cap \mathbb{Q}$,*

- (1) *the slopes of U_p^{naive} on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)$ are ≥ 1 .*
- (2) *the slopes of U_p^{naive} on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ are $\geq k$.*

Proof. We first observe that the finite slope part of the cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)^{fs}$ is independent of $a \in]0, 1[$ and is therefore supported in degree 0 by affineness for a close to 1. It follows that we are left to prove that U_p^{naive} has slopes at least 1 and this is a q -expansion computation. Namely, $U_p^{naive}(\sum a_n q^n) = p \sum a_n p q^n$. For the second cohomology, we again observe that the cohomology is independent of $a \in]0, 1[$. We may therefore suppose that a is small enough and use that $U_p^{naive} = U_p^{naive, can}$ (proposition 5.10). We define an invertible sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}$ -modules, $\omega_E^+ \subseteq \omega_E$. A section $f \in \omega_E(U)$ belongs to $\omega_E^+(U)$ if for any $x \in U$, f_x defines a section of the conormal sheaf on the extension $\tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k(x)^+$ of the semi-abelian scheme E at x . Alternatively, we have a specialization morphism $\mathrm{sp} : X_0(p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ where \mathfrak{X} is the formal scheme equal to the completion of the prime-to- p level modular curve X viewed as a scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then

$$\omega_E^+ = \mathrm{sp}^{-1} \omega_E \otimes_{\mathrm{sp}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_0(p)}^+$$

where ω_E is the modular sheaf over \mathfrak{X} . We let $\omega^{k,+} = (\omega_E^+)^{\otimes k}$.

We first claim that for any $s \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{=s})$$

(where the subscript $=s$ means the slope s part for the action of U_p^{naive}) defines a lattice in $\mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{=s}$ (an open and bounded submodule). We can indeed represent the cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ by the Čech complex C^\bullet relative to some open covering \mathcal{U} , and we can lift the U_p -operator to a compact operator \tilde{U}_p on the complex. Note that C^\bullet is a complex of Banach modules.

The map from Čech cohomology with respect to \mathcal{U} to cohomology

$$\check{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathcal{U}, X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+})$$

has kernel and cokernel of bounded torsion by [Pil20, Lem. 3.2.2]. It suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{im}(\check{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathcal{U}, X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{=s})$$

defines an open and bounded submodule in $\mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{=s}$. The Čech cohomology $\check{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathcal{U}, X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}^i(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+})$ is obtained by taking the cohomology of an open and bounded sub-complex $C^{+, \bullet} \subset C^\bullet$. The image of $C^{+, \bullet}$ in $C^{\bullet, =s}$ under the continuous projection $C^\bullet \rightarrow C^{\bullet, =s}$ (the target is now a complex of finite dimensional vector spaces) is again open and bounded and the claim follows.

Moreover, over $C_{[0,a[}^{can}$, we have a universal isogeny which gives an isomorphism, $p_2^* \omega \rightarrow p_1^* \omega$, for which $pp_1^* \omega^+ \subset p_2^* \omega^+ \subset p^{1-\frac{a}{p}} p_1^* \omega^+$. We deduce that U_p^{naive} induces a map

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{]0,a[}}(X_0(p), p^{k(1-\frac{a}{p})} \omega^{k,+})$$

if $k \geq 0$, and

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{k,+}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), p^k \omega^{k,+})$$

if $k \leq 0$. We deduce that $p^{-k(1-\frac{a}{p})} U_p^{naive}$ if $k \geq 0$ and $p^{-k} U_p^{naive}$ if $k \leq 0$ stabilize a lattice in the cohomology, and therefore have only non-negative slope. The lemma follows. \square

Remark 5.12. The Lemma 3.2.2 in [Pil20] depends on the main result of [Bar78], which in turn is a key technical ingredient in [Kas06].

We now define $U_p = p^{-\inf\{1,k\}} U_p^{naive}$ and we get:

- Theorem 5.13.**
- (1) U_p has slopes ≥ 0 on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)$,
 - (2) For any $a \in]0, 1[\cap \mathbb{Q}$, the map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{<k-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)^{<k-1}$ is a quasi-isomorphism,
 - (3) For any $a \in]0, 1[\cap \mathbb{Q}$, the map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{<1-k} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k)^{<1-k}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We consider the triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

on which U_p acts equivariantly and apply the slope estimates of lemma 5.11. \square

5.4.4. *Comparison with spherical level.* For $a > \frac{1}{p+1}$, the map $p_1 : X_0(p)_{[a,1]} \rightarrow X_{1-a}$ is an isomorphism by theorem 5.4 and therefore the pull back map $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_{1-a}, \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^k)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

There is an analogous statement for the cohomology with support that we now explain. We first introduce a cohomology with support at spherical level. For $a < 1$ we define $X_{<a} \subset X$ as the complement in X of the quasi-compact open whose rank 1 points are $\{x \in X^{rk1} \mid \mathrm{Hdg}(x) \geq a\}$ (see section 5.2.2). We may then form the cohomology with support $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<a}}(X, \omega^k)$.

Let $a < \frac{1}{p+1}$. We have a Frobenius map $F : X_0(p)_{[0,a]} \rightarrow X_0(p)_{[0,pa]}$ given by $(E, H_1) \mapsto (E/H_1^{can}, E[p]/H_1^{can})$. There is similarly a Frobenius map $F : X_{\frac{a}{p}} \rightarrow X_a$, given by $E \mapsto E/H_1^{can}$.

The Frobenius map fits into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0(p)_{[0,a]} & \xrightarrow{F} & X_0(p)_{[0,pa]} \\ \downarrow p_1 & \nearrow & \downarrow p_1 \\ X_{\frac{a}{p}} & \xrightarrow{F} & X_a \end{array}$$

where the diagonal map is given by $E \mapsto (E/H_1^{can}, E[p]/H_1^{can})$.

We can define an endomorphism F^* of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X, \omega^k)$ as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X, \omega^k) &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<a}}(X, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<a}}(X_a, \omega^k) \rightarrow \\ &\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X_{\frac{a}{p}}, F^* \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X_{\frac{a}{p}}, \omega^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X, \omega^k) \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is the change of support for the inclusion $X_{\frac{a}{p}} \subset X_a$, which is compact as in the proof of proposition 5.8, the third map is pullback by F , and the fourth map is induced by the map $F^* \omega^k \rightarrow \omega^k$ which comes from the isogeny

$E \rightarrow E/H_1^{can}$. The same construction applied to $X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$ yields the operator $U_p^{naive,can} = U_p^{naive}$ of section 5.4.2 as the correspondence $C_{[0,a]}^{can}$ is the graph of F .

Proposition 5.14. *The pull back map $p_1^* : \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X, \omega^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k)$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on the finite slope parts for F^* and U_p^{naive}*

Proof. This follows from the existence of a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X, \omega^k) & \xrightarrow{p_1^*} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \\ \downarrow F^* & \swarrow & \downarrow U_p^{naive} \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_{<\frac{a}{p}}}(X, \omega^k) & \xrightarrow{p_1^*} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^k) \end{array}$$

which is deduced from the definitions and the commutative diagram above. \square

5.5. p -adic variation. We now consider the problem of interpolation of these cohomologies.

5.5.1. Reduction of the torsor ω_E . We recall here a construction from [Pil13] and [AIS14]. We let $\mathcal{T} = \{w \in \omega_E, \omega \neq 0\}$ be the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to ω_E . We let $\mathbb{G}_a^+ = \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle T \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_p\langle T \rangle)$ be the unit ball, with its additive analytic group structure. We have subgroups $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^r\mathbb{G}_a^+) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ for any positive rational number r .

Proposition 5.15. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $v < \frac{1}{p^{n-1}(p-1)}$. The \mathbb{G}_m -torsor $\mathcal{T} \times_X X_v \rightarrow X_v$ has a natural reduction to a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1}\mathbb{G}_a^+)$ -torsor denoted \mathcal{T}_v .*

Proof. We denote by $\omega_E^+ \subset \omega_E$ the locally free sheaf of integral relative differential forms. For $v < \frac{1}{p^{n-1}(p-1)}$, there is a canonical subgroup of level n , H_n^{can} over X_v . The isogeny $E \rightarrow E/H_n^{can}$ yields a map $\omega_{E/H_n^{can}}^+ \rightarrow \omega_E^+$, with cokernel $\omega_{H_n}^+$. The surjective map $r : \omega_E^+ \rightarrow \omega_{H_n}^+$ induces an isomorphism:

$$\omega_E^+/p^{n-v}\frac{p^n-1}{p-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{H_n}^+/p^{n-v}\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}.$$

There is a Hodge-Tate map $\mathrm{HT} : (H_n^{can})^D \rightarrow \omega_{H_n}^+$ (of sheaves on the étale site, see [Mes72], p. 117), and its linearization $\mathrm{HT} \otimes 1 : (H_n^{can})^D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_v}^+ \rightarrow \omega_{H_n}^+$ has cokernel killed by $p^{\frac{v}{p-1}}$. We have a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \omega_E^+ \\ & & \downarrow r \\ (H_n^{can})^D & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HT}} & \omega_{H_n}^+ \end{array}$$

We now introduce a modification of ω_E^+ : let $\omega_E^\sharp = \{w \in \omega_E^+, r(w) \in \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{HT} \otimes 1)\} \subset \omega_E^+$. This is a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_v}^+$ -modules on the étale site. The Hodge-Tate map induces an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{HT}_v : (H_n^{can})^D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_v}^+/p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1} \rightarrow \omega_E^\sharp/p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1}.$$

We let \mathcal{T}_v be the torsor under the group $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1}\mathbb{G}_a^+)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_v = \{\omega \in \omega_E^\sharp, \exists P \in (H_n^{can})^D, p^{n-1}P \neq 0, \text{HT}_v(P) = \omega \pmod{p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1}}\}.$$

We have a natural map $\mathcal{T}_v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$, equivariant for the analytic group map: $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1}\mathbb{G}_a^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. \square

5.5.2. Interpolation of the sheaf. We let $\mathcal{W} = \text{Spa}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \times \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the weight space. We let $\kappa^{un} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}^\times$ be the universal character.

We can write \mathcal{W} as an increasing union of affinoids $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \mathcal{W}_r$ where $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap]0, 1[$ and each \mathcal{W}_r is a finite union of balls of radius r . Over each \mathcal{W}_r , there is $t(r) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that the universal character extends to a character $\kappa^{un} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^{t(r)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}^\times$.

We now fix r and we choose v small enough and n large enough such that $t(r) \leq n - v\frac{p^n}{p-1}$ and we define a locally free sheaf $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ over $X_v \times \mathcal{W}_r$:

$$\omega^{\kappa^{un}} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_v} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r})_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times(1 + p^{n-v}\frac{p^n}{p-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_v \times \mathcal{W}_r}^+)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_v \rightarrow X_v$ is an étale torsor, the sheaf $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ is a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_v \times \mathcal{W}_r}$ -modules in the étale topology. It is actually a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_v \times \mathcal{W}_r}$ -modules in the Zariski topology by the main result of [BG98].

5.5.3. Interpolation of the cohomology. For $a \in]0, \frac{v}{p}]$, we have a map $p_1 : X_0(p)_{[0, a]} \rightarrow X_v$ and we can therefore pull back the sheaf $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ to an invertible sheaf over $X_0(p)_{[0, a]} \times \mathcal{W}_r$.

If $a \in [1 - v, 1[$, we have a map $p_1 : X_0(p)_{[a, 1]} \rightarrow X_v$ and we can pull back the sheaf $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ to an invertible sheaf over $X_0(p)_{[a, 1]} \times \mathcal{W}_r$.

We consider the cohomologies:

- (1) $\text{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0, a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$
- (2) $\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a, 1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$.

Note that the first cohomology group is well defined because $\text{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0, a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) = \text{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0, a]}}(X_0(p)_{[0, a]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$.

These cohomologies belong to the category of perfect complexes of Banach spaces over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$ which is the homotopy category of the category of bounded complexes of projective Banach modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$.

5.5.4. The U_p -operator on $\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a, 1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$. We need to consider the U_p -correspondence on $X_0(p)_{[a, 1]}$ for $a \geq 1 - v > \frac{1}{p+1}$, where by proposition 5.5, it induces to a correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_{[a, 1]} & \\ p_2 \swarrow & & \searrow p_1 \\ X_0(p)_{[\frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{a}{p}, 1]} & & X_0(p)_{[a, 1]} \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.16. *There is a natural isomorphism $p_2^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow p_1^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$, and we can define a cohomological correspondence $U_p : p_{1*} p_2^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ which specializes in weight $k \geq 1$ to U_p .*

Proof. Over $C_{[a,1]}$, the universal isogeny $\pi : p_1^*E \rightarrow p_2^*E$ induces an isomorphism on canonical subgroups $p_1^*H_n^{can} \simeq p_2^*H_n^{can}$, and therefore there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 p_2^*\omega_E^\# & \longrightarrow & p_1^*\omega_E^\# \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 p_2^*\omega_E^\#/p^{n-v\frac{p^n}{p-1}} & \longrightarrow & p_1^*\omega_E^\#/p^{n-v\frac{p^n}{p-1}} \\
 \text{HT} \uparrow & & \text{HT} \uparrow \\
 p_2^*(H_n^{can})^D & \xrightarrow{\pi^D} & p_1^*(H_n^{can})^D
 \end{array}$$

This clearly induces an isomorphism $p_1^*\mathcal{T}_v \rightarrow p_2^*\mathcal{T}_v$ and from this we get an isomorphism:

$$p_2^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow p_1^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$$

which specializes to the natural isomorphism $p_2^*\omega^k \rightarrow p_1^*\omega^k$ at weight k .

We now define $U_p : p_{1*}p_2^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow p_{1*}p_1^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{p}\text{Tr}_{p_1}} \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$.

□

Corollary 5.17. *The operator U_p is compact on $\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$.*

Proof. The operator U_p factors as:

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[\frac{a}{p},1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}).$$

□

5.5.5. *The U_p -operator on $\text{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$.* We need to consider the U_p -correspondence on $X_0(p)_{[0,a]}$ where actually only the canonical part of the correspondance is relevant by proposition 5.10, and therefore it reduces to a correspondance:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & C_{[0,a]}^{can} & \\
 p_2^{can} \swarrow & & \searrow p_1^{can} \\
 X_0(p)_{[0,pa]} & & X_0(p)_{[0,a]}
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.18. *There is a natural isomorphism $(p_2^{can})^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow (p_1^{can})^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}}$, and a cohomological correspondence $U_p : (p_1^{can})_*(p_2^{can})^*\omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ which specializes in weight $k \leq 1$ to U_p^{can} .*

Proof. Over $C_{[0,a]}^{can}$, the dual universal isogeny $\pi^D : (p_2^{can})^*E \rightarrow (p_1^{can})^*E$ induces an isomorphism on canonical subgroups $(p_2^{can})^*H_n^{can} \simeq (p_1^{can})^*H_n^{can}$, and therefore there is a canonical isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(p_1^{can})^* \omega_E^\sharp & \xrightarrow{(\pi^D)^*} & (p_2^{can})^* \omega_E^\sharp \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(p_1^{can})^* \omega_E^\sharp / p^{n-v \frac{p^n}{p-1}} & \longrightarrow & (p_2^{can})^* \omega_E^\sharp / p^{n-v \frac{p^n}{p-1}} \\
\uparrow \text{HT} & & \uparrow \text{HT} \\
(p_1^{can})^* (H_n^{can})^D & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (p_2^{can})^* (H_n^{can})^D
\end{array}$$

This clearly induces an isomorphism $(p_2^{can})^* \mathcal{T}_v \rightarrow (p_1^{can})^* \mathcal{T}_v$ and from this we get an isomorphism:

$$(p_2^{can})^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow (p_1^{can})^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$$

or rather more naturally its inverse:

$$(p_1^{can})^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow (p_2^{can})^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$$

which specializes to the natural isomorphism $(p_1^{can})^* \omega^k \rightarrow (p_2^{can})^* \omega^k$ given by $(\pi^D)^*$, and its inverse $(p_2^{can})^* \omega^k \rightarrow (p_1^{can})^* \omega^k$ is $p^{-k}(\pi^*)$.

Recall that p_1 is an isomorphism, and we therefore get $U_p : (p_1^{can})_* (p_2^{can})^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ which specializes in weight $k \leq 1$ to $p^{-k} U_p^{can, naive} = U_p^{can}$. \square

Remark 5.19. We therefore find that this is really U_p and not U_p^{naive} that can be interpolated over the weight space.

Corollary 5.20. *The U_p -operator is compact on $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$.*

Proof. The operator U_p factors as:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,pa]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}}).$$

\square

5.6. Construction of eigencurves. We use these cohomologies to construct the eigencurve, following the method of [Col97].

5.6.1. First construction. The cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ is concentrated in degree 0, and is represented by $\mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ which is a projective Banach module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$ (see [Pil13, Cor. 5.3]).

The U_p -operator acts compactly on this space. We let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}[[T]]$ be the characteristic series of U_p . This is an entire series. We let $\mathcal{Z} = V(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathbb{G}_m^{an} \times \mathcal{W}_r$. We have a weight map $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_r$ which is quasi-finite, partially proper, and locally on the source and the target a finite flat map.

Over \mathcal{Z} we have a coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} which is the universal generalized eigenspace. This is a locally free as a $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$ -module and for any $x = (\kappa, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Z}$, $x^* \mathcal{M} = \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)_{[a,1]}, \omega^\kappa)^{U_p = \alpha^{-1}}$.

We let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{M})$ be the subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ -modules generated by the Hecke operators of level prime to Np , and we let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be the associated analytic space.

The construction of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z})$ is compatible when r changes (and does not depend on auxiliary choices like a, v, n, \dots). We now let r tend to 1, glue everything, and with a slight abuse of notation we have $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and a coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} over \mathcal{C} . This is the eigencurve of [CM98].

5.6.2. *Second construction.* We can perform a similar construction, using instead the cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D))$ where $\omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D) = (\omega^{\kappa^{un}})^\vee \otimes \omega^2(-D)$, as well as the operator $\langle p \rangle^{-1}U_p$. The introduction of this twist is motivated by Serre duality (see Section 5.7.2 below). Recall that the character $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$, $t \mapsto t^2 \kappa^{un}(t)^{-1}$ induces an automorphism $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, and therefore:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{X_0(p)_{[0,a]}}(X_0(p), \omega^{\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r, d}}^L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}.$$

This cohomology is a perfect complex of projective Banach modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$ and for any morphism $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_r$, the cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{[0,a]}(X_0(p), \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \hat{\otimes} A$ is supported in degree 1.

We choose a representative N^\bullet for this cohomology, as well as a compact representative $\langle p \rangle^{-1}U_p$ representing the action of $\langle p \rangle^{-1}U_p$. We let \mathcal{Q}_i be the characteristic series of $\langle p \rangle^{-1}U_p$ acting on N^i . We let $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} = \prod \mathcal{Q}_i$ and we let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = V(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \subset \mathbb{G}_m^{an} \times \mathcal{W}_r$. We have a weight map $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_r$ which is quasi-finite locally on the source and the target and a bounded complex of coherent sheaves “generalized eigenspaces” $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^\bullet$ over $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. This is a perfect complex of finite projective $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$ -modules. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^\bullet$ has cohomology only in degree 1, and we deduce that $\mathrm{H}^1(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^\bullet) = \mathcal{N}$ is a locally free $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$ -module. We let $\mathcal{Q} = V(\prod_i \mathcal{Q}_i^{(-1)^i})$ and we set $\mathcal{X} = V(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. The module \mathcal{N} is supported on \mathcal{X} . We let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{N})$ be the subsheaf generated by the Hecke algebra of prime to Np level, and we let $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the associated analytic space. We can now let r tend to 1, and we have $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and the sheaf \mathcal{N} over \mathcal{D} . This is a second eigencurve.

5.7. **The duality pairing.** In this last section, we prove that \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{X} are canonically isomorphic, that \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are canonically dual to each other and that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are canonically identified under the pairing between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} .

5.7.1. *Preliminaries.* We are going to use the theory of dagger spaces [GK00]. Let X^\dagger be a dagger space over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, smooth of pure relative dimension d . Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X^\dagger . Then one can define the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^i(X^\dagger, \mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{H}_c^i(X^\dagger, \mathcal{F})$. Moreover, these cohomology groups carry canonical topologies ([vdP92, 1.6]).

By [GK00, Thm. 4.4], there is a residue map:

$$\mathrm{res}_X : \mathrm{H}_c^d(X^\dagger, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}_p}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

This residue map has the following two important properties. Let $f : Y^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$ be an open immersion. Then the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_c^d(Y^\dagger, \Omega_{Y/\mathbb{Q}_p}^d) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{H}_c^d(X^\dagger, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}_p}^d) \\ & \searrow \mathrm{res}_Y & \downarrow \mathrm{res}_X \\ & & \mathbb{Q}_p \end{array}$$

is commutative. See [Bey97, Cor. 4.2.12] (although the author is working here in the “dual” setting of wide open spaces)).

Let $f : Y^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$ be a finite flat map. Then the diagram:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_c^d(Y^\dagger, \Omega_{Y/\mathbb{Q}_p}^d) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_f} & \mathrm{H}_c^d(X^\dagger, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}_p}^d) \\ & \searrow \mathrm{res}_Y & \downarrow \mathrm{res}_X \\ & & \mathbb{Q}_p \end{array}$$

is commutative. See [Bey97, Cor. 4.2.11] (although the author is working here again in the “dual” setting of wide open spaces).

Let \mathcal{F} be a locally free sheaf of finite rank over X^\dagger which is assumed to be affinoid, smooth of pure dimension d . We let $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}_p}^d$.

Then the residue map induces a perfect pairing ([GK00, Thm. 4.4]):

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X^\dagger, \mathcal{F}) \times \mathrm{H}_c^d(X^\dagger, \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

for which both spaces are strong duals of each other.

Remark 5.21. Since the topological vector space $\mathrm{H}^0(X^\dagger, \mathcal{F})$ is a compact inductive limit of Banach spaces, we deduce from the theorem that the topological vector space $\mathrm{H}_c^d(X^\dagger, \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{F}))$ is a compact projective limit of Banach spaces.

5.7.2. The classical pairing. We denote by w the Atkin-Lehner involution over $X_0(p)$ and by $\langle p \rangle$ the diamond operator given by multiplication by p . We recall that $w \circ w = \langle p \rangle$. We recall that C is the Hecke correspondence underlying U_p (see section 5.3). We can think of it as the moduli space of (E, H, H_1) where H, H_1 are distinct subgroups of $E[p]$. We have the projection $p_1(E, H, H_1) = (E, H_1)$. We also have a projection $p_2(E, H, H_1) = (E/H, E[p]/H)$. Exchanging the roles of H and H_1 yields an automorphism $\iota : C \rightarrow C$ and we let $q_i = p_i \circ \iota$. Now one checks easily that $w \circ p_1 = q_2$ and $w \circ p_2 = \langle p \rangle \circ q_1$. We have a residue map $\mathrm{H}^1(X_0(p), \Omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Q}_p}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ and there is a perfect pairing:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 : \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p), \omega^k) \times \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(p), \omega^{2-k}(-D)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

where we use the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $\Omega_{X_0(p)/\mathbb{Q}_p}^1 \simeq \omega^2(-D)$. We modify this pairing, and set:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, w^* \cdot \rangle_0.$$

Lemma 5.22. *For any $(f, g) \in \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p), \omega^k) \times \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(p), \omega^{2-k}(-D))$, we have: $\langle U_p f, g \rangle = \langle f, \langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p g \rangle$.*

Proof. For any $(f, g) \in \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p), \omega^k) \times \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(p), \omega^{2-k}(-D))$, we have: $\langle U_p^{\mathrm{naive}} f, g \rangle_0 = \langle f, (U_p^{\mathrm{naive}})^t g \rangle_0$ where $(U_p^{\mathrm{naive}})^t$ is the operator associated to the transpose of C , and is obtained as follows:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) \xrightarrow{p_1^*} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, p_1^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, p_2^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{p_2}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)).$$

We observe that the determination of the adjoint of U_p^{naive} as the operator associated to the transpose of C uses the compatibility property of diagram 1. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) & \xrightarrow{p_1^*} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, p_1^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, p_2^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{p_2}} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) \\
\uparrow w^* & & \uparrow \iota^* & & \uparrow \iota^* & & \uparrow w^* \langle p \rangle^{-1} \\
\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) & \xrightarrow{q_2^*} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, q_2^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(C, q_1^* \mathbf{D}(\omega^k)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{q_1}} & \mathrm{R}\Gamma(X_0(p), \mathbf{D}(\omega^k))
\end{array}$$

We see that $\langle U_p^{\mathrm{naive}} f, w^* g \rangle_0 = \langle f, w^* \langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p^{\mathrm{naive}} g \rangle_0$. We now check that the normalizing factors are correct so that $\langle U_p f, g \rangle = \langle f, \langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p g \rangle$. \square

5.7.3. The p -adic pairing. We now work again over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$. We let $X_0(p)^{m, \dagger} = \mathrm{colim}_{a \rightarrow 1} X_0(p)_{[a, 1]}$. We let $X_0(p)^{et, \dagger} = \mathrm{colim}_{a \rightarrow 0} X_0(p)_{[0, a]}$. The Atkin-Lehner map is an isomorphism $w : X_0(p)^{m, \dagger} \rightarrow X_0(p)^{et, \dagger}$ and there is an isomorphism $w : w^* \omega^{\kappa^{un}} \rightarrow \omega^{\kappa^{un}}$ (compare with sections 5.5.4 and 5.5.5).

Lemma 5.23. *We have a canonical perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 : \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times \mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$ is a compact inductive limit of projective Banach spaces over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$, $\mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D))$ is a compact projective limit of projective Banach spaces over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$, and both spaces are strong duals of each other.*

Proof. The pairing is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times \mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \Omega_{X_0(p)^m/\mathbb{Q}_p}^1 \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_{X_0(p)^m}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove the remaining claims. Let \mathcal{W}^i be the connected component of the character $i : x \mapsto x^i$ for $i = 0, \dots, p-2$ in \mathcal{W} . Then for all i , $\omega^{\kappa^{un}}|_{\mathcal{W}_r^i} = \omega^i \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r^i}$ is an ‘‘isotrivial’’ sheaf. This follows from the existence of the Eisenstein family (see [Pil13], the final discussion below Proposition 6.2). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r^i} &= \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^i) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r^i} \\
\mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r^i} &= \mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^i) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r^i}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for cuspidal cohomology. All the statements of the lemma are reduced to the similar statements for the classical invertible sheaves ω^i , and they follow from the usual duality for coherent cohomology of affinoid dagger spaces recalled in section 5.7.1. \square

We deduce from this lemma that there is a canonical pairing:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) \times \mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{et, \dagger}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$$

by putting $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, w^* \cdot \rangle_0$. For this pairing, $\langle U_p \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \langle p \rangle^{-1} U_p \cdot \rangle$. We have by definition that $\mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)^{m, \dagger}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}}) = \mathrm{colim}_{a \rightarrow 1} \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)_{[a, 1]}, \omega^{\kappa^{un}})$.

Lemma 5.24. *We have a canonical isomorphism: $\mathrm{H}_c^1(X_0(p)^{et, \dagger}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{[0, a]}}^1(X_0(p), \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D))$.*

Proof. We have a short exact sequence for $0 < a < b$ small enough:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)_{[0, b]}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) &\rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X_0(p)_{[a, b]}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \\
&\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{X_0(p)_{[0, a]}}^1(X_0(p)_{[0, b]}, \omega^{2-\kappa^{un}}(-D)) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ proves the lemma. \square

Therefore the operator U_p is compact on both cohomology groups. We deduce from the pairing that the characteristic series of U_p in degree 0 is the same as the characteristic series of $\langle p \rangle^{-1}U_p$ in degree 1, so that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Z}$. We have a canonical perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}_r}$, for which $\langle T_\ell f, g \rangle = \langle f, T_\ell^t g \rangle$ for any prime number ℓ not dividing Np . We recall that $T_\ell^t = \langle \ell \rangle^{-1}T_\ell$. We deduce that the eigencurves \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are canonically isomorphic.

REFERENCES

- [AIS14] Fabrizio Andreatta, Adrian Iovita, and Glenn Stevens, *Overconvergent modular sheaves and modular forms for \mathbf{GL}_2/F* , Israel J. Math. **201** (2014), no. 1, 299–359.
- [Bar78] Wolfgang Bartenwerfer, *Die erste “metrische” Kohomologiegruppe glatter affinoider Räume*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **40** (1978), no. 1, 1–14.
- [BCGP21] George Boxer, Frank Calegari, Toby Gee, and Vincent Pilloni, *Abelian surfaces over totally real fields are potentially modular*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **134** (2021), 153–501.
- [Bey97] Peter Beyer, *On Serre-duality for coherent sheaves on rigid-analytic spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **93** (1997), no. 2, 219–245.
- [BG98] Siegfried Bosch and Ulrich Görtz, *Coherent modules and their descent on relative rigid spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **495** (1998), 119–134.
- [BG14] Kevin Buzzard and Toby Gee, *The conjectural connections between automorphic representations and Galois representations*, Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 1, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 414, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 135–187.
- [CM98] R. Coleman and B. Mazur, *The Eigencurve*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 254, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 1–113.
- [Col97] Robert F. Coleman, *p -adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms*, Inventiones Mathematicae **127** (1997), 417–479.
- [DR73] Pierre Deligne and Michael Rapoport, *Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques*, Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [Far10] Laurent Fargues, *La filtration de Harder-Narasimhan des schémas en groupes finis et plats*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **645** (2010), 1–39.
- [Far11] ———, *La filtration canonique des points de torsion des groupes p -divisibles*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **44** (2011), no. 6, 905–961, With collaboration of Yichao Tian.
- [FP21] Najmuddin Fakhruddin and Vincent Pilloni, *Hecke operators and the coherent cohomology of Shimura varieties*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu (2021), 1–69.
- [GK00] Elmar Grosse-Klönne, *Rigid analytic spaces with overconvergent structure sheaf*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **519** (2000), 73–95.
- [Gro05] Alexander Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], vol. 4, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962, Augmenté d’un exposé de Michèle Raynaud. [With an exposé by Michèle Raynaud], With a preface and edited by Yves Laszlo, Revised reprint of the 1968 French original.
- [Har66] Robin Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966.
- [Har72] ———, *Cohomology with compact supports for coherent sheaves on an algebraic variety*, Math. Ann. **195** (1972), 199–207.
- [Hid86] Haruzo Hida, *Iwasawa modules attached to congruences of cusp forms*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), no. 2, 231–273.

- [Hub94] R. Huber, *A generalization of formal schemes and rigid analytic varieties*, Math. Z. **217** (1994), no. 4, 513–551.
- [Kas06] Payman L. Kassaei, *A gluing lemma and overconvergent modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), no. 3, 509–529.
- [Kat73] Nicholas M. Katz, *p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), 1973, pp. 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350.
- [Mes72] William Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 264, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [Pil11] Vincent Pilloni, *Prolongement analytique sur les variétés de Siegel*, Duke Math. J. **157** (2011), no. 1, 167–222.
- [Pil13] ———, *Overconvergent modular forms*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63** (2013), no. 1, 219–239.
- [Pil20] V. Pilloni, *Higher coherent cohomology and p -adic modular forms of singular weights*, Duke Math. J. **169** (2020), no. 9, 1647–1807.
- [Sch99] Jean-Pierre Schneiders, *Quasi-abelian categories and sheaves*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (1999), no. 76, vi+134.
- [Ser62] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1962), no. 12, 69–85.
- [Sta22] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.
- [vdP92] Marius van der Put, *Serre duality for rigid analytic spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **3** (1992), no. 2, 219–235.

Email address: `george.boxer@ens-lyon.fr`

UNITÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES ET APPLIQUÉES, ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON,
46 ALLÉE D’ITALIE, 69 364 LYON CEDEX 07, FRANCE

Email address: `vincent.pilloni@ens-lyon.fr`

UNITÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES ET APPLIQUÉES, ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON,
46 ALLÉE D’ITALIE, 69 364 LYON CEDEX 07, FRANCE