

## MODULAR FORMS EXAMPLE SHEET 2

1. Let  $L$  and  $L'$  be lattices in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $E_4(L) = E_4(L')$  and  $E_6(L) = E_6(L')$ . Show that  $L = L'$ . For which pairs  $(x, y)$  does there exist a lattice  $L$  such that  $E_4(L) = x$  and  $E_6(L) = y$ ?

As  $j$  is a rational function in  $E_4$  and  $E_6$ , we also have  $j(L) = j(L')$ . Since  $j$  induces a bijection from lattices modulo rescaling to  $\mathbb{C}$ , we must have  $L = \lambda L' = \lambda' L_z$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Substituting into  $E_4(L) = E_4(L')$  and  $E_6(L) = E_6(L')$  we find that  $(\lambda^6 - 1)E_6(z)$  and  $(\lambda^4 - 1)E_4(z)$  both vanish. If  $E_4(z)$  and  $E_6(z)$  are both nonzero we can conclude that  $\lambda^2 = 1$ , so  $\lambda = \pm 1$  and thus  $L = L'$ . If  $E_4(z) = 0$ , then  $z$  is in the orbit of  $\rho$ , so  $L_z = L_\rho$ . Then  $E_6(z)$  is nonzero (the only zeroes of  $E_6$  are in the orbit of  $i$ ), so  $\lambda$  is a 6th root of unity; i.e.  $\lambda \in \{\pm 1, \pm \rho, \pm \rho^2\}$ . For such  $\lambda$  we have  $\lambda L_\rho = L_\rho$ , so  $L = L'$ . Finally, if  $E_6(z) = 0$ , then  $z$  is in the orbit of  $i$ ,  $L_z = L_i$ , and  $\lambda$  is a fourth root of unity; we again have  $\lambda L_i = L_i$  so  $L = L'$ .

Given any lattice  $L$ ,  $\Delta(L)$  is nonzero (since  $\Delta$  has no zeroes on the upper half plane.) Thus, if  $x = E_4(L)$ , and  $y = E_6(L)$ , then  $x^3 - y^2$  is nonzero. Conversely, given any  $x$  and  $y$ , such that  $x^3 - y^2$  is nonzero, choose  $L$  such that  $j(L) = \frac{1728x^3}{x^3 - y^2}$ . Then  $\Delta(L)$  is nonzero, so rescaling  $L$  if necessary we may assume that  $\Delta(L) = \frac{x^3 - y^2}{1728}$ .

We then have  $E_4(L)^3 = \frac{j(L)\Delta(L)}{1728} = x^3$ , and  $E_6(L)^2 = y^2$ . Rescaling  $L$  by an appropriate twelfth root of unity, we can arrange that  $E_4(L) = x$  and  $E_6(L) = y$ .

2. Define  $G_2(z)$ , the Eisenstein series of weight 2, to be the series:

$$G_2(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^2}.$$

(Note that this is not absolutely convergent, so this sum depends on the order of summation. In order to fix such an order, we adopt the convention that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n)$  is given by  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N f(n)$ .)

2a. Define  $G'_2(z)$  by:

$$G'_2(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^2}.$$

Show that  $G_2(-z^{-1}) = z^2 G'_2(z)$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(-z^{-1}) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(m(-z)^{-1} + n)^2} \\ &= z^2 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(m + nz)^2} = z^2 G_2'(z) \end{aligned}$$

2b. Similarly define  $H$  and  $H'$  to be the sums:

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{(mz + n - 1)(mz + n)} \\ H'(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{(mz + n - 1)(mz + n)} \end{aligned}$$

and show that  $H(z) = 2$ . (Hint: write  $\frac{1}{(mz+n-1)(mz+n)} = \frac{1}{mz+n-1} - \frac{1}{mz+n}$ . Then there is a lot of cancellation in the sums!)

Write  $\frac{1}{(mz+n-1)(mz+n)} = \frac{1}{mz+n-1} - \frac{1}{mz+n}$ . Now for  $H(z)$ , note that when  $m \neq 0$ , the inner sum

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{mz + n - 1} - \frac{1}{mz + n} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{1}{mz + n - 1} - \frac{1}{mz + n}.$$

Successive terms cancel in this truncated sum, leaving  $\frac{1}{mz-N-1} - \frac{1}{mz+N}$ , which tends to zero as  $N$  tends to infinity. When  $m = 0$  one has similar cancellation, except that the “missing” terms  $(0,0)$  and  $(0,1)$  prevent everything from cancelling; one checks that in this case the inner sum is 2.

2c. Show that  $H'(z)$  converges to  $2 - \frac{2\pi i}{z}$ . This is much harder! Here are some hints:

- Show that  $H'(\frac{1}{z})$  is given by the series:

$$H'(\frac{1}{z}) = z \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + (n-1)z} - \frac{1}{m + nz}.$$

- Use the series  $\pi \cot \pi z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{z+n}$  to show that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + (n-1)z} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 1} \pi \cot(n-1)\pi z.$$

- Show that this sum is equal to  $\frac{1}{z} + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \pi \cot(-N\pi z) + \pi \cot(-(N+1)\pi z)$ .
- Use the identity  $\cot z = i \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}$  to show that this limit is  $\frac{1}{z} - 2\pi i$ .
- Use a similar analysis to show that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + nz}$$

is equal to  $\frac{1}{z}$ .

- Conclude that  $H'(z) = 2 - \frac{2\pi i}{z}$  as claimed.

We have:

$$H'\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{\frac{m}{z} + n - 1} - \frac{1}{\frac{m}{z} + n}$$

$$z \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + (n-1)z} - \frac{1}{m + nz}.$$

Let us first compute the sum:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + (n-1)z}.$$

If  $n \neq (0, 1)$  the inner sum is  $\pi \cot(n-1)\pi z$ . When  $n = 0$  the inner sum is “almost” the series for  $\pi \cot(-\pi z)$ , except that it is missing the  $m = 0$  term  $-\frac{1}{z}$ . So when  $n = 0$  the inner sum is  $\frac{1}{z} + \pi \cot(-\pi z)$ . When  $n = 1$  the inner sum vanishes.

We thus have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + (n-1)z} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 1} \pi \cot(n-1)\pi z.$$

This latter sum is the limit, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , of  $\sum_{n=-N-1, n \neq 0}^{N-1} \pi \cot n\pi z$ . As cotangent is odd, every term cancels except for  $n = -N-1$ ,  $n = -N$ , so this sum is equal to the limit, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , of  $\pi \cot -N\pi z + \pi \cot -(N+1)\pi z$ . It follows from the identity  $\cot z = i \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}$ , together with the fact that  $z$  is in the upper half plane, that  $\cot -N\pi z$  approaches  $-i$  as  $N$  approaches infinity. Thus as  $N$  approaches infinity, this series approaches  $\frac{1}{z} - 2\pi i$ .

A completely analogous computation with the sum

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)} \frac{1}{m + nz}$$

shows that it is equal to  $-\frac{1}{z}$ . (This time, the lack of a shift for  $n$  causes the cotangents to cancel exactly.) Thus  $H'\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = 2 - 2\pi iz$ . Substituting  $z$  for  $\frac{1}{z}$  yields the desired result.

2d. Show that the resulting series for  $G_2 - H$  and  $G_2' - H'$  are absolutely convergent, and rearrangements of each other, so that  $G_2(z) - H(z) = G_2'(z) - H'(z)$ . Show that it follows from this that  $G_2$  is convergent, uniformly on compact subsets of the upper half plane. In particular,  $G_2(z)$  is holomorphic.

We have (up to a missing term where  $m = 0, n = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(z) - H(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^2} - \frac{1}{(mz+n-1)(mz+n)} \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz+n-1)(mz+n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2'(z) - H'(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^2} - \frac{1}{(mz+n-1)(mz+n)} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz+n-1)(mz+n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

These are both absolutely convergent, uniformly on compact subsets of the upper half plane, because the denominator goes as  $(m^2 + n^2)^{\text{frac}32}$  as  $(m, n)$  go to infinity. Moreover the two series are clearly rearrangements of each other, and thus have the same sum. Thus  $G_2$  differs from the (conditionally) convergent series  $H$  by an absolutely convergent series, and is thus convergent. Moreover, since the convergence for  $H$  is uniform on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{H}$ , the same is true for  $G_2$ . Thus  $G_2(z)$  is holomorphic.

2e. Conclude from 2d that  $G_2(-z^{-1}) - z^2 G_2(z) = -2\pi iz$ . (In particular,  $G_2$ , although holomorphic, is NOT a modular form.)

This is immediate from 2b, 2c and 2d.

2f. This means there's no lattice function corresponding to  $G_2$ . If we try to define a lattice function by setting

$$G_2(L) = \sum_{w \in L \setminus 0} w^{-2},$$

what goes wrong?

This sum depends not just on  $L$  but also the order of summation, so is not a well-defined function on lattices.

2g. Find the  $q$ -expansion of  $G_2$ .

We use the series identity from class:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{z+n} = \pi \cot \pi z = i\pi - 2i\pi \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d$$

Differentiating once (as in the calculation of the  $q$ -expansions in higher weights) we find

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z+n)^2} = -4\pi^2 \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} dq^d.$$

Separating out the  $m = 0$  term in the series for  $G_2(z)$ , and using that the  $m$  and  $-m$  sums are the same, we have

$$G_2(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n^2} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} dq^{nd}.$$

Collecting  $q^m$  terms, and using the fact that the sum of the reciprocals of the squares is  $\pi^2/6$ , we find:

$$G_2(z) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 8\pi^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(m)q^m.$$

3. Let  $\eta$  denote the function  $\eta(z) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ , where as usual  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ .

3a. Show that  $\eta(z + 1) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \eta(z)$ .

Since  $q$  is invariant under  $z \mapsto z + 1$ , and  $q^{\frac{1}{24}}$  transforms to  $e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} q^{\frac{1}{24}}$  under this translation, the claim is immediate.

3b. Show that  $\frac{d}{dz} \log \eta(z) = \frac{\pi i}{12} E_2(z)$ , where  $E_2(z)$  is the unique scalar multiple of  $G_2(z)$  whose  $q$ -expansion has constant term 1.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \log \eta(z) &= \frac{2\pi i}{24} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dz} \log(1 - q^n) \\ &= \frac{2\pi i}{24} - (2\pi i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1 - q^n} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi i)}{24} \left[ 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} nq^{jn} \right] \\ &= \frac{2\pi i}{24} \left[ 1 - 24 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(m)q^m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3c. Use the identity  $E_2\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) = z^2 E_2(z) - \frac{6iz}{\pi}$  to show that  $\eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - \sqrt{-iz} \eta(z)$ .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \log \left[ \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) \right] - \frac{d}{dz} \log \left[ \sqrt{-iz} \eta(z) \right] &= z^{-2} \frac{\pi i}{12} E_2\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - \frac{1}{2z} - \frac{\pi i}{12} E_2(z) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi i}{12} \left[ z^{-2} E_2\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - E_2(z) \right] = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi i}{12} \left[ -z^{-2} \frac{6iz}{\pi} \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)$  is a scalar multiple of  $\sqrt{-iz} \eta(z)$ . To conclude equality we may compare both sides for  $z = i$ ; it suffices to show that  $\eta(i)$  is nonzero. This is clear from the product definition of  $\eta$ .

3d. Show that  $\eta^{24} = \Delta$ . In particular  $\Delta = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$ ; do not use this in your proof!

Note that  $\eta^{24}(z+1) = \eta^{24}(z)$ , and  $\eta^{24}(-\frac{1}{z}) = z^{-12}\eta(z)$ . Thus  $\eta^{24}$  is a modular form of weight 12 and level 1 with  $q$ -expansion beginning  $q + \dots$ . Since the space of cusp forms of weight 12 and level 1 is one-dimensional, and  $\Delta$  and  $\eta^{24}$  have the same leading term, we must have  $\Delta = \eta^{24}$ .

4a. Let  $d = \dim M_k$ . Show that there is a unique basis for  $M_k$  of the form  $g_1, \dots, g_d$ , where for all  $i$ , the  $q$ -expansion of  $g_i$  has the form  $q^{i-1} + \sum_{n=d}^{\infty} c_n q^n$ .

We first show that there is a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  such that  $f_i$  vanishes to order exactly  $i-1$  at  $\infty$ . We do this by induction on  $k$ ; note that if  $k < 12$ , then  $d$  is zero or 1, and if  $d = 1$  then taking  $f_1 = E_k$  suffices. For the general case, note that  $\dim M_{k-12} = d-1$  and let  $h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}$  be a basis for  $M_{k-12}$  such that  $h_i$  vanishes to order exactly  $i-1$  for all  $i$ . Then we can take  $f_1 = E_k$  and  $f_i = \Delta h_{i-1}$  for all  $i$  and this has the desired property. Moreover, note that the  $f_i$  have integer coefficients if the  $h_i$  do (and they do in the base case!) so the induction also proves that the  $f_i$  are integer coefficients.

To get the  $g_i$ , let  $g_d = f_d$ , obtain  $f_{d-1}$  from  $g_{d-1}$  by subtracting a multiple of  $g_d$  so that the  $q^{d-1}$  term vanishes, obtain  $g_{d-2}$  from  $g_{d-2}$  by subtracting multiples of  $g_d$  and  $g_{d-1}$  so that the  $q^{d-2}$  and  $q^{d-1}$  terms vanish, and so forth.

4b. Show further that any element of  $M_k$  whose  $q$ -expansion has integer coefficients is an integral linear combination of the  $g_i$ .

Let  $f$  be such an element, write  $f = \sum a_n q^n$ . We can also write  $f = \sum b_i g_i$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $q^{i-1}$  in the two sums we have  $a_i = b_i$ .