

M3P8 MASTERY MATERIAL: MODULES OVER PRINCIPAL IDEAL DOMAINS

1. SOME BASIC DEFINITIONS

Definition 1.1. Let R be an integral domain. The *rank* of an R -module M is the size of the largest R -linearly independent subset of M .

Exercise 1.2. Show that if R is Noetherian and M is a finitely generated R -module, then the rank of M is finite. Show that the rank of the free R -module R^n is n . (In particular, this agrees with our previous definition of a “free R -module of rank n ”).

Exercise 1.3. Show that if R is an integral domain then the rank of any submodule N of M is less than or equal to the rank of M . Similarly, show that if N is a quotient of M then the rank of N is less than or equal to the rank of M .

Definition 1.4. Let R be an integral domain and let M be an R -module. An element m of M is *torsion* if there exists a nonzero element r of R such that $rm = 0$. We say M is *torsion-free* if there are no nonzero torsion elements on M .

Exercise 1.5. Show that if R is an integral domain and M is an R -module, then the torsion elements of M form an R -submodule of M (called the *torsion submodule* of M). Give an example of an integral domain R and a finitely generated, torsion-free R -module M such that M is not a free R -module.

2. THE CLASSIFICATION

Henceforth let R be a PID. Our goal is to classify finitely generated R -modules M . Fix such an M , and suppose that M is generated by n elements m_1, \dots, m_r . There is then a surjection:

$$F \rightarrow M,$$

where F is free R -module of rank n with basis e_1, \dots, e_r , which takes e_i to m_i for all i . If N is the kernel of this surjection then we have an isomorphism of M with the quotient F/N . Thus to classify finitely generated R -modules up to isomorphism, it suffices to understand submodules N of a free module F . We have:

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a PID and let F be a free R -module of rank r . Let N be a submodule of F . Then N is free of rank $n \leq r$. Moreover, there exists a basis e_1, \dots, e_r of F , and nonzero elements a_1, \dots, a_n such that*

- (1) $a_1|a_2|\dots|a_n$, and
- (2) a_1e_1, \dots, a_ne_n is a basis for N .

Before we prove this we explore some of the consequences of this result:

Exercise 2.2. Show that if R , F , and N are as in the theorem, then we have an isomorphism:

$$F/N \cong R^{r-n} \oplus R/\langle a_1 \rangle \oplus R/\langle a_2 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus R/\langle a_n \rangle.$$

Conclude that every finitely generated R -module M is isomorphic to

$$R^s \oplus R/\langle a_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus R/\langle a_n \rangle$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n is a sequence of nonzero elements of R such that $a_1|a_2|\dots|a_n$, and s is the rank of M .

This result is called the *classification of finitely generated modules over a PID*. A natural question to ask is how unique this classification is. Since the integer s in the above theorem is equal to the rank of M , it does not depend on the choice of F and N as in the theorem. The elements a_1, \dots, a_n are called the *invariant factors* of M . They are only well-defined up to multiplication by units, but one has:

Exercise 2.3. Let $M \cong R^s \oplus R/\langle a_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus R/\langle a_n \rangle$ as in the previous exercise. Let p be a prime of R and m an integer. Show that the number of i such that p^m divides a_i is equal to the dimension $(\dim_{R/p} p^{m-1}M/p^mM) - s$. Conclude that up to multiplication by elements of R^\times , the invariant factors of M depend only on M and not on any of the choices made. (In particular, conclude that if a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_m are such that $a_1|a_2|\dots|a_n$, $b_1|b_2|\dots|b_m$, and

$$R/\langle a_1 \rangle \oplus R/\langle a_2 \rangle \dots R/\langle a_n \rangle \cong R/\langle b_1 \rangle \oplus R/\langle b_2 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus R/\langle b_m \rangle,$$

then $n = m$ and a_i is a unit times b_i for all i .)

[HINT: it is helpful to show that first show that for any $a, b \in R$, one has $b(R/\langle a \rangle) = \langle a, b \rangle/\langle a \rangle$. In particular if $\langle p^m, a \rangle = \langle p^{m-1}, a \rangle$ then $p^m(R/\langle a \rangle) = p^{m-1}(R/\langle a \rangle)$.]

There is another formulation of the classification that works “one prime at a time”. Let p_1, \dots, p_t be the primes of R dividing a_r . For each j , write $a_j = u_j p_1^{k_{1j}} \dots p_t^{k_{tj}}$. Then the Chinese remainder theorem gives isomorphisms:

$$R/\langle a_j \rangle \cong \bigoplus_i R/\langle p_i^{k_{ij}} \rangle,$$

$$M \cong R^s \oplus \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_j R/\langle p_i^{k_{ij}} \rangle.$$

The powers $p_i^{k_{ij}}$ appearing here are called the *elementary divisors* of M ; they give an alternative to the invariant factors as a way of describing the torsion of M . The submodule $\bigoplus_j R/\langle p_i^{k_{ij}} \rangle$ is called the *p_i -primary part* of M ; it is the largest submodule of M that is annihilated by a power of p_i .

It remains to prove Theorem 2.1. We will do this by induction on the rank r of F . The case $r = 1$ is clear; in this case $F \cong R$ as R -modules and under any such isomorphism N is an ideal of R . In particular either $N = \langle 0 \rangle$ or $N = \langle a \rangle$ for some nonzero element a of R and the theorem is clear.

Now suppose Theorem 2.1 holds for F free of rank $r - 1$, and fix F free of rank r with $N \subseteq F$. If $N = 0$ we can take $n = 0$, and e_1, \dots, e_r any basis of F . Suppose N is nonzero.

We write $F \cong R^r$ and for $1 \leq i \leq r$, let π_i be the projection of R^r onto the i th coordinate, regarded as an R -linear map $\pi_i : F \rightarrow R$. Note that if $f \in F$ and $\pi_i(f) = 0$ for all i , then $f = 0$. In particular, since N is nonzero, there exists a map $\Phi : F \rightarrow R$ such that $\Phi(F)$ is a nonzero ideal of R (and we can even take $\Phi = \pi_i$ for some i).

Let Σ be the set of ideals of R of the form $\Phi(N)$ for some $\Phi : F \rightarrow R$. Let I be a maximal element of Σ ; that is, an element of Σ that is not properly contained in any other element of Σ . The ideal I is nonzero, so it is generated by a nonzero element a_1 of R . Since I is an element of Σ , there exists a $\Phi : F \rightarrow R$ such that $\Phi(N) = I = \langle a_1 \rangle$; in particular there is an element n of N with $\Phi(n) = a_1$.

Now let $\Psi : F \rightarrow R$ be any R -linear map. Then $\Psi(N) = \langle d \rangle$ for some element d of R . We next show:

Exercise 2.4. Show that a_1 divides $\Psi(n)$. [Hint: let d' be the greatest common divisor of $\Phi(n)$ and $\Psi(n)$. By taking a suitable linear combination of Ψ and Φ , show that there exists an R -linear map $\Theta : F \rightarrow R$ such that $\Theta(n) = d'$. Use the maximality of I to conclude that $\langle a_1 \rangle = \langle d' \rangle$, so that a_1 divides $\Psi(n)$].

Exercise 2.5. Show that there exists an element e_1 of F such that $a_1 e_1 = n$. [HINT: use the previous exercise to show that a_1 divides $\pi_i(n)$ for all i , and show that there exists e_1 in F with $\pi_i(e_1) = \frac{\pi_i(n)}{a_1}$ for all i .]

Since $a_1 e_1 = n$, we have $\Phi(a_1 e_1) = \Phi(n) = a_1$, so $\Phi(e_1) = 1$. In particular, $\Phi : F \rightarrow R$ is surjective, as is $\frac{1}{a_1} \Phi : N \rightarrow R$. We now need:

Exercise 2.6. Let F be a finitely generated R -module and $\Phi : F \rightarrow R$ a surjective linear map. Show that F is a free R -module of rank r if, and only if, $\ker \Phi$ is a free R -module of rank $r - 1$.

Let $F' = \ker \Phi$ and $N' = N \cap F'$. The exercise shows that F' is free of rank $r - 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, N' is free as an R -module, and there exists an basis e_2, \dots, e_r of F' , and elements a_2, \dots, a_n of R with $a_2 | a_3 | \dots | a_n$ such that $a_2 e_2, \dots, a_n e_n$ is a basis for N' .

Exercise 2.7. Show that e_1, \dots, e_r is a basis of F , and that $a_1 e_1, \dots, a_n e_n$ is a basis for N .

At this point it remains to show that a_1 divides a_2 . Let $\Psi : F \rightarrow R$ be the unique linear map such that $\Psi(e_1) = 1$, $\Psi(e_2) = 1$, and $\Psi(e_i) = 0$ for $i > 2$.

Exercise 2.8. Show that $\Psi(N)$ contains the ideal generated by a_1 and a_2 . Conclude that a_1 divides a_2 . [HINT: use the maximality of I].

At this point the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

3. FINITELY GENERATED ABELIAN GROUPS

Since abelian groups are simply \mathbb{Z} -modules, and \mathbb{Z} is a PID, we immediately obtain the classification of finitely generated abelian groups:

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a finitely generated abelian group. Then there is a unique integer r , and unique positive integers a_1, \dots, a_t , with $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_t$, such that there exists an isomorphism:*

$$A \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}/a_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/a_t\mathbb{Z}.$$

Exercise 3.2. Let m and n be relatively prime integers and let A be an abelian group of order mn . Show there exist unique subgroups G and H of A , of order m and n respectively. Show further that A is isomorphic to the direct sum $G \oplus H$.

4. LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON FINITE DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES AND $K[T]$ -MODULES

A perhaps more surprising application of the theory of modules over PIDs is to linear algebra. Let K be a field, let V be a finite dimensional K -vector space, and let $L : V \rightarrow V$ be a K -linear map. We will study the map L by relating it to a certain $K[T]$ -module M_L and applying the classification. As a consequence, we'll obtain an easy proof of the Jordan Canonical Form when K is algebraically closed and even see how to generalize it to non-algebraically closed K !

The key point is that a linear map $L : V \rightarrow V$ lets us give V the structure of a $K[T]$ -module. The key idea is as follows: let $P(T) \in K[T]$ be the polynomial $a_0 + a_1T + \dots + a_dT^d$. Then we define a K -linear map $P(L) : V \rightarrow V$ by $P(L) = a_0 + a_1L + a_2L^2 + \dots + a_dL^d$.

Define a $K[T]$ -module M_L as follows: the underlying set of M_L is simply the vector space V . Addition on M_L is given by addition in V . For any $v \in M_L$ and $P(T)$ in $K[T]$, we define $P(T) \cdot v = P(L)(v)$.

Exercise 4.1. Show that the multiplication law above makes M_L into a $K[T]$ -module as claimed. Show further that this module is a finitely generated, torsion $K[T]$ -module. Show that there is a K -linear isomorphism of M_L with V , and that under this isomorphism, "multiplication by T ": $M_L \rightarrow M_L$ is simply the linear map $L : V \rightarrow V$.

Since M_L is torsion, the classification shows there are polynomials $P_1(T), \dots, P_t(T)$ such that $P_1(T) | P_2(T) | \dots | P_t(T)$, and M_L is isomorphic to the $K[T]$ -module:

$$K[T]/\langle P_1(T) \rangle \oplus K[T]/\langle P_2(T) \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus K[T]/\langle P_t(T) \rangle.$$

We can (and do) take the P_i to be *monic*; they are then uniquely determined by L . For each i , let d_i denote the degree of $P_i(T)$.

The polynomials $P_i(T)$ contain information about L . To make this more precise we need to recall some facts from linear algebra:

Exercise 4.2. Show that the set $I_L := \{P(T) \in K(T) : P(L) = 0\}$ is a nonzero ideal of $K[T]$.

The unique monic generator $Q(T)$ of I_L is called the *minimal polynomial* of L . We have $Q(L) = 0$, and if $P(T)$ is any polynomial with $P(L) = 0$, then $Q(T)$ divides $P(T)$. (Note, however, that unlike minimal polynomials of elements of field extensions, the minimal polynomial of a linear map: $V \rightarrow V$ need *not* be irreducible.)

Exercise 4.3. Show that the dimension of V is equal to $d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_t$, and that $P_i(T)$ is the minimal polynomial of L .

Since M_L is naturally isomorphic to V as K -vector spaces, we can find a K -basis for V by finding a K -basis for M_L . Even better, since our isomorphism of M_L with V carries “multiplication by T ” to L , the matrix of L with respect to the basis for V is *the same* as the matrix of “multiplication by T ” on M_L with respect to the corresponding basis of M_L . By choosing a nice basis of M_L , we can thus find a basis for V that gives a nice matrix for L !

For instance, the $K[T]$ -module $K[T]/\langle P_i(T) \rangle$ has as a basis $1, T, T^2, \dots, T^{d_i-1}$. With respect to this basis, “multiplication by T ” has as its matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{0i} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{1i} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{2i} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{3i} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{d_i-1,i} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $P_i(T) = a_{0i} + a_{1i}T + \cdots + a_{d_i-1,i}T^{d_i-1} + T^{d_i}$. (Recall that this matrix is called the *companion matrix* for $P_i(T)$).

If we let M_i denote the companion matrix for $P_i(T)$, then (with respect to the above chosen basis for each summand $K[T]/\langle P_i(T) \rangle$ of M_L) the matrix of “multiplication by T ” on M_L is “block diagonal”, with blocks M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t . That is, we have:

Theorem 4.4. *There exists a basis for V such that the map $L : V \rightarrow V$ has matrix:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_t \end{pmatrix}$$

where M_i is the companion matrix of the i th invariant factor for M_L .

This matrix is called a *rational canonical form* for L ; it exists for *any* L , over *any* field K , and is uniquely determined by L . In particular, given any n by n matrix A with coefficients in K , there is a unique matrix in rational canonical form that is conjugate to A by an element of $GL_n(K)$.

Now let us assume K is algebraically closed; in particular every irreducible monic polynomial in $K[T]$ is of the form $T - \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in K$. By factoring each $P_i(T)$ into irreducibles, we find that there is a finite collection of elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ of K , and for each λ_i a nondecreasing sequence n_{i1}, \dots, n_{it} of nonnegative integers such that

$$P_j(T) = \prod_i (T - \lambda_i)^{n_{ij}}.$$

We thus obtain an isomorphism:

$$M_L \cong \prod_i \prod_j K[T]/\langle (T - \lambda_i)^{n_{ij}} \rangle.$$

The module $K[T]/\langle (T - \lambda)^n \rangle$ has as a basis $(T - \lambda)^{n-1}, (T - \lambda)^{n-2}, \dots, (T - \lambda), 1$.

Exercise 4.5. Show that the matrix of “multiplication by T ” on $K[T]/\langle (T - \lambda)^n \rangle$ with respect to this basis is the “Jordan block of size n and eigenvalue λ ”; that is, the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conclude that when K is algebraically closed and L is any linear map $V \rightarrow V$, there exists a basis of V such that the matrix of L is in Jordan canonical form (that is, is block diagonal with each block a Jordan block).