

M3P8 LECTURE NOTES 7: R -MODULES

1. DEFINITIONS

Definition 1.1. An R -module M is an abelian group (with operation denoted $+_M$ or simply $+$) together with an multiplication law: $R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that:

- (1) $r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$ for all $r \in R, m_1, m_2 \in M$,
- (2) $(r + s)m = rm + sm$ for all $r, s \in R, m \in M$,
- (3) $(rs)m = r(sm)$ for all $r, s \in R, m \in M$, and
- (4) $1_R m = m$ for all $m \in M$.

Example 1.2. The multiplication and addition on R naturally makes R into an R -module. More generally, any ideal of R is an R -module.

Example 1.3. If $f : R \rightarrow S$, then f makes S into an R -module, where the addition is addition in S , and the multiplication law is defined by $r \cdot s = f(r)s$. In particular any quotient R/I is an R -module.

Example 1.4. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, and let M be an abelian group. The M has the unique structure of a \mathbb{Z} -module, as follows: property (2) from the module axioms shows that for any n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in M$, $nm = m + m + \dots + m$, where there are n summands on the right. Similarly $(-n)m = -(m + m + \dots + m)$. Thus the multiplication law $\mathbb{Z} \times M \rightarrow M$ is forced on us, and one checks that it does satisfy properties (1) - (4) above. Informally, we say that abelian groups “are” \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Example 1.5. If R is a field, then R -modules are just R -vector spaces.

Example 1.6. Let S be a set, and let M be the set of R -valued functions $f : S \rightarrow R$. We add and multiply pointwise: $f + f'$ is the function that takes $s \in S$ to $f(s) + f'(s)$, and rf is the function that takes s to $rf(s)$. This is clearly an R -module. Also of interest is the submodule F_S of M that consists of functions $f : S \rightarrow R$ such that $f(s) = 0_R$ for all but finitely many s . The module F_S is called the *free R -module on the set S* and will be very important for us.

2. SUBMODULES, QUOTIENTS, AND DIRECT SUMS

Let M be an R -module. A subset N of M is an R -submodule of M if N is an additive subgroup of M , and for all $n \in N, r \in R$, we have $rn \in N$. If S is any subset of N , we define the R -submodule of M generated by S to be the set of all elements of M of the form $r_1s_1 + r_2s_2 + \dots + r_ns_n$, where the r_i are elements of R and the s_i are elements of S . It is the smallest R -submodule of M containing S .

Definition 2.1. An R -module M is *finitely generated* if there is a finite subset S of M such that the R -submodule of M generated by S is all of M .

Let M be a module and N an R -submodule of M . Then we say two elements m, m' of M are *congruent modulo N* if their difference $m - m'$ lies in N . This is easily seen to be an equivalence relation, and the equivalence classes are the cosets $m + N$, for $m \in M$.

The set of equivalence classes is denoted M/N ; it has the natural structure of an R -module, where $(m + N) + (m' + N) = (m + m') + N$ and $r(m + N) = rm + N$. This module is called the *quotient of M by N* .

Given two modules M and N , the direct sum $M \oplus N$ is the set of ordered pairs (m, n) with $(m, n) + (m', n') = (m + m', n + n')$ and $r(m, n) = (rm, rn)$ for $m, m' \in M$, $n, n' \in N$ and $r \in R$.

Example 2.2. Let M be an R -module and I an ideal of R . Then we can form the submodule IM of M consisting of all elements of M of the form $i_1 m_1 + i_2 m_2 + \cdots + i_r m_r$ where the i_r are in I and the m_r are in M . This is a submodule of M , so we can form the quotient M/IM . Then M/IM is certainly an R -module, but it is also an R/I -module: one can define a multiplication $R/I \times M/IM \rightarrow M/IM$ by $(r + I)(m + IM) = (rm + IM)$. As always one has to check that this is well-defined, but this is straightforward: we need that if $r - r'$ lies in I , and $m - m'$ lies in IM , then $rm - r'm'$ lies in IM . But $rm - r'm' = (r - r')m + r'(m - m')$ which is clearly in IM .

3. MODULE HOMOMORPHISMS, KERNELS, AND IMAGES

Definition 3.1. A map $f : M \rightarrow N$ of R -modules is called a *homomorphism of R -modules* if f is a homomorphism of the underlying abelian groups and $f(rm) = rf(m)$ for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. The *kernel* of f is the set $\{m \in M : f(m) = 0\}$, and the *image* of f is the set $\{n \in N : \exists m \in M : f(m) = n\}$.

It is easy to see that the kernel and image of a homomorphism of R -modules $f : M \rightarrow N$ are submodules of M and N , respectively.

Note that in particular there is a natural homomorphism from M to M/N , taking m to $m + N$. This homomorphism has the following ‘universal property’, exactly analogous to the universal property of the quotient construction for rings:

Proposition 3.2. *Let N be a submodule of M , and let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -module homomorphism whose kernel contains N . Then there is a unique homomorphism $\bar{f} : M/N \rightarrow M'$ such that $\bar{f}(m + N) = f(m)$ for all $m \in M$. In particular the kernel of \bar{f} is the image of $\ker f$ in M/N .*

Proof. The proof is identical to that for quotient rings, and will be omitted. \square

4. FREE MODULES

Definition 4.1. Let M be an R -module. A subset S of M is a *basis* for M if the following two conditions hold:

- S spans M ; that is, the R -submodule of M generated by S is all of M , and
- S is *linearly independent*; that is, for any collection s_1, \dots, s_n of *distinct* elements of S , and any $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$, $r_1s_1 + \dots + r_ns_n$ is nonzero in M unless all r_i are zero.

A module M that has a basis is called a *free R -module*. The cardinality of the basis is called the *rank* of M over R .

Remark 4.2. If R is a field, then the notion of a basis for an R -module coincides with the usual notion for vector spaces. In this case (at least if one assumes the Axiom of Choice) every R -module has a basis. When R is not a field only very special modules have bases; for instance any quotient R/I of R , for I a nonzero ideal, has no basis.

Example 4.3. The ring R is a free module of rank one over R , with basis $\{1_R\}$. More generally any unit $u \in R^\times$ gives a basis of R as an R -module.

Recall that the free module F_S on a set S was defined to be the set of functions $f : S \rightarrow R$ such that $f(s) = 0$ for all but finitely many $s \in S$. For each $s \in S$, we have an element e_s of F_S defined by $e_s(t) = 0$ for all $t \in S$ with $t \neq s$, $e_s(s) = 1$. Then the e_s form a basis for F_S . In particular, given $f \in F_S$, let s_1, \dots, s_n be the set of elements in S on which f is nonzero. Then f can be written as $f(s_1)e_{s_1} + f(s_2)e_{s_2} + \dots + f(s_n)e_{s_n}$, so the e_s span F_S . On the other hand, for all $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ distinct, $\sum r_i e_{s_i}$ takes the value r_i at s_i for all i , and thus is only the zero function when all r_i are zero. Thus F_S is free, justifying its name.

Proposition 4.4. *Let M and N be free modules. Then $M \oplus N$ is free. Moreover, if M and N are free of ranks r and s respectively, then $M \oplus N$ is free of rank $r + s$.*

Proof. If S is a basis for M and T is a basis for N , one easily checks that the set of elements of $M \oplus N$ of the form $(s, 0)$ for $s \in S$ or $(0, t)$ for $t \in T$ is a basis for $M \oplus N$, which immediately proves the claim. \square

Free modules have the following universal property:

Proposition 4.5. *Let F_S be the free R -module on a set S . Then for any R -module N , and any map of sets $g : S \rightarrow N$, there is a unique homomorphism of R -modules $\phi_g : F_S \rightarrow N$ such that $\phi_g(e_s) = g(s)$ for all $s \in S$.*

Proof. Define ϕ_g by $\phi_g(f) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)g(s)$; note that this is a finite sum since all but finitely many s have $f(s) = 0$. Then it is clear that this is an R -module homomorphism. On the other hand suppose ψ is any other map $F_S \rightarrow N$ with $\psi(e_s) = g(s)$ for all s . Then we can write $f = \sum f(s)e_s$ (again a finite sum), so $\psi(f) = \sum f(s)g(s) = \phi_g(f)$, so uniqueness is clear. \square

The image of ϕ_g is the submodule of N generated by the elements $g(s)$, for $s \in S$.

Corollary 4.6. *Any two free modules of the same rank are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let M be a free module and S a basis for M . Let T be any set of the same cardinality as S , and let $g : T \rightarrow S$ be any bijection. Then there is a map $\phi_g : F_T \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi_g(e_t) = g(t)$. Since elements of S are linearly independent, this map is injective; since elements of S span M , this map is surjective. Thus M is isomorphic to F_T . Since M was arbitrary, any module of rank equal to the cardinality of T is isomorphic to F_T and the result follows. \square

5. GENERATORS AND RELATIONS

Now let M be any R -module, and let S a subset of M generating M . Then we have a natural map $F_S \rightarrow M$ taking e_s to s for all $s \in S$, and this map is surjective. Let K be the kernel of this map. Elements of K are called *relations* among S . Explicitly, an element of K is a map $f : S \rightarrow R$ such that $f(s) = 0$ for all but finitely many s , and $\sum f(s)s = 0$. In other words, each element of K encodes a linear relation among the elements of S ; it is a measure of how far the elements of S are from being linearly independent.

Let T be a subset of K that generates K . Then in the same way as above, we get a surjection: $F_T \rightarrow K$ taking e_t to t . Composing with the inclusion of K in F_S gives us a map $\phi : F_T \rightarrow F_S$ whose image is K .

The map $F_S \rightarrow M$ identifies M with the quotient F_S/K , and hence with $F_S/\phi(F_T)$. A description of a module as a quotient of a free module by the image of a map of free modules is called a *presentation* of M ; if both modules have finite rank the presentation is called *finite*. A module that has a finite presentation is called *finitely presented*.

Put another way, a presentation is a description of a module M in terms of:

- a generating set S for M , and
- a generating set T for the linear relations satisfied by S .

When S and T are finite we can encode a presentation in a matrix, called the *presentation matrix*. Write $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$. Then for each i we can write $\phi(t_i)$ as a sum $\sum r_{ij}e_{s_j}$, and let A be the m by n matrix whose i, j entry is r_{ij} . Then A gives a map from $R^m \rightarrow R^n$, and the quotient of R^n by the submodule AR^m of R^n is isomorphic to M .