

**M3S3/M4S3
ASSESSED COURSEWORK 2**

SOLUTIONS

(a) Using the estimator of $I(\theta)$ denoted $\hat{I}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{I}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(X_i, \tilde{\theta}_n) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f_X(X_i, \theta)|_{\theta=\tilde{\theta}_n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(X_i, \theta) \Big|_{\theta=\tilde{\theta}_n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} l_n(\theta)|_{\theta=\tilde{\theta}_n} \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \ddot{l}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$W_n = n(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \hat{I}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) (\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = -(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^2 \ddot{l}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)$$

as $(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$ is a scalar quantity.

[2 MARKS]

Similarly, for the Rao statistic, we may use

$$\hat{I}_n(\theta_0) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(X_i, \theta_0) = -\frac{1}{n} \ddot{l}_n(\theta_0)$$

as an estimator/estimate of $I(\theta_0)$, the single datum or unit information matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned}Z_n &\equiv Z_n(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n S(X_i, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X_i, \theta)|_{\theta=\theta_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(X_i, \theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{l}_n(\theta_0)\end{aligned}$$

and thus, as all quantities are scalars

$$R_n = Z_n(\theta_0)^T \left[\hat{I}_n(\theta_0) \right]^{-1} Z_n(\theta_0) = \frac{\{Z_n(\theta_0)\}^2}{\hat{I}_n(\theta_0)} = \frac{\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{l}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^2}{-\frac{1}{n} \ddot{l}_n(\theta_0)} = - \left\{ \dot{l}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^2 \left\{ \ddot{l}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^{-1}$$

[2 MARKS]

For the Rao statistic it is more common and more straightforward to use $\hat{I}_n(\theta_0)$ rather than $\hat{I}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)$ as the estimate of the Fisher information, although under the null hypothesis the asymptotic distribution is the same in both cases - using θ_0 is obviously more straightforward as we do not need to compute $\tilde{\theta}_n$.

(b) For the Poisson case, for $\lambda > 0$

$$f_X(x; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and so if $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

$$l_n(\lambda) = -n\lambda + s_n \log \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i!$$

and so

$$\dot{l}_n(\lambda) = -n + \frac{s_n}{\lambda} \quad \ddot{l}_n(\lambda) = -\frac{s_n}{\lambda^2}$$

and hence the MLE, from $\dot{l}_n(\hat{\lambda}_n) = 0$, is $\hat{\lambda}_n = s_n/n = \bar{x}$, with estimator $S_n/n = \bar{X}$. Thus

- **Wald Statistic:** using the formula above

$$W_n = -(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^2 \ddot{l}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) = -(\bar{X} - \lambda_0)^2 \left(\frac{-S_n}{(\bar{X})^2} \right) = n \frac{(\bar{X} - \lambda_0)^2}{\bar{X}}.$$

[3 MARKS]

- **Rao Statistic:** using the formula above

$$R_n = -\left\{ \dot{l}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^2 \left\{ \ddot{l}_n(\theta_0) \right\}^{-1} = \frac{-\left(\frac{S_n}{\lambda_0} - n \right)^2}{-\frac{S_n}{\lambda_0^2}} = \frac{(S_n - n\lambda_0)^2}{S_n} = \frac{n(\bar{X} - \lambda_0)^2}{\bar{X}}$$

that is, identical to Wald.

[3 MARKS]

Note: in this case, we can compute the Fisher Information $I(\lambda_0)$ exactly - we have

$$I(\lambda_0) = E_{X|\lambda_0}[-\Psi(X, \lambda_0)] = E_{X|\lambda_0} \left[\frac{X}{\lambda_0^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} E_{X|\lambda_0}[X] = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}$$

so a perhaps preferable version of the Rao statistic is

$$R_n = \frac{\{Z_n(\theta_0)\}^2}{I(\theta_0)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{S_n}{\lambda_0} - n \right) \right)^2}{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}} = \frac{\lambda_0}{n} \left(\frac{S_n}{\lambda_0} - n \right)^2 = \frac{n(\bar{X} - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_0}$$

As a general rule, if the Fisher Information can be computed exactly, then the exact version should be used for the Rao/Score statistic rather than an estimated version.

- **Likelihood Ratio Statistic:** by definition, using the notation $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ (... sorry ...)

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_n = \frac{L_n(\hat{\lambda}_n)}{L_n(\lambda_0)} = \frac{e^{-n\hat{\lambda}_n} \hat{\lambda}_n^{S_n}}{e^{-n\lambda_0} \lambda_0^{S_n}} = \exp \left\{ -n(\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0) + S_n(\log \hat{\lambda}_n - \log \lambda_0) \right\}$$

or equivalently

$$2 \log \tilde{\Lambda}_n = -2n(\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0) + 2S_n(\log \hat{\lambda}_n - \log \lambda_0)$$

[3 MARKS]

(c) Under the normal model, the likelihood is

$$L_n(\mu, \sigma) = f_{X|\mu, \sigma}(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}$$

and thus, in terms of the random variables, for general X ,

$$l(X; \theta) = \log f_{X|\mu, \sigma}(X; \mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (X - \mu)^2$$

and, for μ

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} l(X; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (X - \mu) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \{l(X; \theta)\} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

whereas for σ^2

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \{l(X; \theta)\} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (X - \mu)^2 \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \{l(X; \theta)\} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} (X - \mu)^2$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} \{l(X; \theta)\} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} (X - \mu)$$

(here taking σ^2 as the variable with which we differentiate with respect to). Now

$$E_{f_{X|\mu, \sigma}}[(X - \mu)] = 0 \quad E_{f_{X|\mu, \sigma}}[(X - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2$$

we have for the Fisher Information for (μ, σ^2) from a single datum as

$$I(\mu, \sigma^2) = - \begin{bmatrix} E\left[-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right] & E\left[-\frac{1}{\sigma^4}(X - \mu)\right] \\ E\left[-\frac{1}{\sigma^4}(X - \mu)\right] & E\left[\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6}(X - \mu)^2\right] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} \\ I_{21} & I_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

say, and $I_n(\mu, \sigma^2) = nI(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

(i) The Wald Statistic in this multiparameter setting is, from notes

$$W_n = n(\tilde{\theta}_{n1} - \theta_{10})^T \left[\hat{I}_n^{11}(\tilde{\theta}_n) \right]^{-1} (\tilde{\theta}_{n1} - \theta_{10}).$$

Here, σ^2 is **estimated under \mathbf{H}_1** as given in notes, so

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n1} = \bar{X} \quad \theta_{10} = 0 \quad \left[\hat{I}_n^{11}(\tilde{\theta}_n) \right]^{-1} = \hat{I}_{11} - \hat{I}_{12} \hat{I}_{22}^{-1} \hat{I}_{21} = \hat{I}_{11} = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{1}{S^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow W_n = n(\bar{X})^T \left[\frac{1}{S^2} \right] (\bar{X}) = \frac{n(\bar{X})^2}{S^2}$$

[4 MARKS]

(ii) Under H_0 , the μ and σ^2 are completely specified, whereas under H_1 , the MLEs of μ and σ^2 are

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \quad S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

Hence the Wald Statistic is

$$\begin{aligned} W_n &= n(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left[\hat{I}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) \right] (\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - 0) \\ \sqrt{n}(S^2 - \sigma_0^2) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{S^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2S^4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - 0) \\ \sqrt{n}(S^2 - \sigma_0^2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{n(\bar{X})^2}{S^2} + \frac{n(S^2 - \sigma_0^2)^2}{2S^4} \end{aligned}$$

[3 MARKS]

To clarify notation, if f_X, l, S and Ψ denote the density, its log, the score (first partial derivative of l wrt θ) and the second partial derivative

$$l(\theta) = \log f_X(X; \theta)$$

$$S(\theta) \equiv S(X; \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{l(\theta)\} \quad \text{a } k \times 1 \text{ vector}$$

$$\Psi(\theta) \equiv \Psi(X; \theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \{l(\theta)\} \quad \text{a } k \times k \text{ matrix}$$

with the "full-likelihood" versions

$$l_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(X; \theta) \quad S_n(\theta) \equiv S_n(X, \theta) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{l_n(\theta)\} \quad \Psi_n(\theta) \equiv \Psi_n(X, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \{l_n(\theta)\}$$

- **UNIT INFORMATION MATRIX** (with scalar X)

$$I(\theta) = E_{X|\theta} [S(X; \theta) S(X; \theta)^T] = -E_{X|\theta} [\Psi(X; \theta)]$$

- **FULL LIKELIHOOD INFORMATION MATRIX** (with vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$)

$$I_n(\theta) = E_{X|\theta} [S_n(X; \theta) S_n(X; \theta)^T] = -E_{X|\theta} [\Psi_n(X; \theta)] = nI(\theta)$$

- **ESTIMATORS**

$$\hat{I}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S(X_i; \theta) S(X_i; \theta)^T = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(X_i, \tilde{\theta}_n) \quad \text{estimator of } I(\theta)$$

$$\hat{I}_n^n(\theta) = n\hat{I}_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n S(X_i; \theta) S(X_i; \theta)^T = -\sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(X_i, \theta) \quad \text{estimator of } I_n(\theta)$$

- **ESTIMATES (OBSERVED INFORMATION)** (with observed data)

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S(x_i; \theta) S(x_i; \theta)^T = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(x_i, \theta) \quad \text{estimate of } I(\theta)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}}_n^n(\theta) = n\hat{\mathcal{I}}_n(\theta) \quad \text{estimate of } I_n(\theta)$$