

M1S : EXERCISE SHEET 10 : SOLUTIONS

1. Joint mass function: by inspection (i.e. by counting sample outcomes)

		X						$f_Y(y)$
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
Y	1	p	$2p$	$2p$	$2p$	$2p$	$2p$	$11p$
	2	0	p	$2p$	$2p$	$2p$	$2p$	$9p$
	3	0	0	p	$2p$	$2p$	$2p$	$7p$
	4	0	0	0	p	$2p$	$2p$	$5p$
	5	0	0	0	0	p	$2p$	$3p$
	6	0	0	0	0	0	p	p
$f_X(x)$		p	$3p$	$5p$	$7p$	$9p$	$11p$	

where $p = 1/36$. Column totals specify $f_X(x)$ obtained from the joint mass function by summation over range of values of Y . Similarly, row totals give $f_Y(y)$.

Conditional mass functions given by rows/columns divided by their totals. For example, consider the conditional mass function for X , given that $Y = y$ defined by

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{P[X = x, Y = y]}{P[Y = y]}.$$

To calculate the conditional mass function for X , given that $Y = 1$, say, take the row corresponding to $Y = 1$, and divide by that row total ($11p$), giving

$$f_{X|Y}(1|1) = 1/11 \quad f_{X|Y}(2|1) = 2/11 \quad f_{X|Y}(3|1) = 2/11$$

$$f_{X|Y}(4|1) = 2/11 \quad f_{X|Y}(5|1) = 2/11 \quad f_{X|Y}(6|1) = 2/11$$

X and Y are not independent as, for at least one pair (x, y) , $f_{X,Y}(x, y) \neq f_X(x)f_Y(y)$.

2. For pairs (x, y) , $f_{X,Y}(x, y) = P[X = x, Y = y] = n_{xy}/n$ say, where $n = \binom{52}{2}$ (number of ways of choosing two cards from 52). Using combinatorics arguments,

$$n_{xy} = \begin{cases} \binom{44}{2} & x = y = 0 \\ \binom{44}{1} \binom{4}{1} & x = 1, y = 0 \text{ or } x = 0, y = 1 \\ \binom{4}{2} & x = 2, y = 0 \text{ or } x = 0, y = 2 \\ \binom{4}{1} \binom{4}{1} & x = y = 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence the joint mass function is specified by

		X		
		0	1	2
Y	0	0.7134	0.1327	0.0045
	1	0.1327	0.0121	0
	2	0.0045	0	0

Marginal mass functions f_X and f_Y calculated as row/column totals, and X and Y are not independent as, for at least one pair (x, y) , $f_{X,Y}(x, y) \neq f_X(x)f_Y(y)$.

$$3. f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx = \int_0^{\infty} y^{-1} e^{-y-x/y} dx = e^{-y}, \quad y > 0, \implies Y \sim \text{Exponential}(1).$$

4. Note that joint density is only non-zero when $0 \leq y \leq x \leq 1$.

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy = \int_0^x x^{-1} dy = 1 \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx = \int_y^1 x^{-1} dx = -\log y \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1$$

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = x^{-1} \quad 0 \leq y \leq x.$$

$$P[Y \leq y | X = x] = F_{Y|X}(y|x) = \int_{-\infty}^y f_{Y|X}(t|x) dt = \int_0^y x^{-1} dt = y/x \quad 0 \leq y \leq x.$$

5.(i) Need $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy = 1$. Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 c(x+2y) dx dy = 1 \implies c = 2/3.$$

(ii) By definition

$$\begin{aligned} P[X \leq 1/2, Y \leq 1/3] &= F_{X,Y}(1/2, 1/3) = \int_{-\infty}^{1/3} \int_{-\infty}^{1/2} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy \\ &= \int_0^{1/3} \int_0^{1/2} 2(x+2y)/3 dx dy = 7/108. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Marginal densities

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy = \int_0^1 2(x+2y)/3 dy = 2(x+1)/3 \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx = \int_0^1 2(x+2y)/3 dx = (4y+1)/3 \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1$$

(iv) By definition

$$\begin{aligned} P[Y \leq 1/3 | X \leq 1/2] &= \frac{P[X \leq 1/2, Y \leq 1/3]}{P[X \leq 1/2]} = \frac{F_{X,Y}(1/2, 1/3)}{F_X(1/2)} \\ &= \frac{7/108}{\int_0^{1/2} f_X(x) dx} = \frac{7/108}{\int_0^{1/2} 2(x+1)/3 dx} = \frac{7/108}{45/108} = \frac{7}{45} \end{aligned}$$

6.(i) $F_{X_i}(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_i x}$ for $x \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Then if $U = \text{Min}\{X_1, X_2\}$, for $u \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_U(u) &= \text{P}[U \leq u] = \text{P}[\text{Min}\{X_1, X_2\} \leq u] \\ &= 1 - \text{P}[\text{Min}\{X_1, X_2\} > u] = 1 - \text{P}[X_1 > u, X_2 > u] = 1 - \text{P}[X_1 > u] \text{P}[X_2 > u] \\ &= 1 - (1 - \text{P}[X_1 \leq u])(1 - \text{P}[X_2 \leq u]) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_1 u} e^{-\lambda_2 u} \\ &= 1 - e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)u} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $U \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$.

(ii) If $V = \text{Max}\{X_1, X_2\}$, then for $v \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_V(v) &= \text{P}[V \leq v] = \text{P}[\text{Max}\{X_1, X_2\} \leq v] \\ &= \text{P}[X_1 \leq v, X_2 \leq v] = \text{P}[X_1 \leq v] \text{P}[X_2 \leq v] \\ &= (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 v})(1 - e^{-\lambda_2 v}) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $T = X_1 + X_2$, then the range $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, and so for $t > 0$, let A_t be the region of \mathbb{R}^2 defined by

$$A_t = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 \leq t\}$$

that is, the triangular region bounded by the three lines $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 0$ and $x_1 + x_2 = t$. Then $\text{P}[T \leq t] = \text{P}[(X_1, X_2) \in A_t]$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_T(t) &= \int_{A_t} \int f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_0^t \left\{ \int_0^{t-x_2} f_{X_1}(x_1) f_{X_2}(x_2) dx_1 \right\} dx_2 \\ &= \int_0^t \left\{ \int_0^{t-x_2} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x_1} dx_1 \right\} \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2} dx_2 = \int_0^t (1 - e^{-\lambda_1(t-x_2)}) \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2} dx_2 \\ &= \int_0^t \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2} dx_2 - \int_0^t \lambda_2 e^{-(\lambda_1(t-x_2) + \lambda_2 x_2)} dx_2 \\ &= (1 - e^{-\lambda_2 t}) - \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_1 t} \int_0^t e^{-(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)x_2} dx_2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $t > 0$,

$$F_T(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_1 t} - \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_2 t}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} & \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \\ 1 - (1 + \lambda t) e^{-\lambda t} & \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \end{cases}$$

and so by differentiation, for $t > 0$,

$$f_T(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (e^{-\lambda_1 t} - e^{-\lambda_2 t})}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} & \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \\ \lambda^2 t e^{-\lambda t} & \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \end{cases}$$

Note that this result is a special case of the convolution theorem, and the solution indicates how the derivation of the convolution theorem works.

7. Assuming that X and Y are independent in each case;

BINOMIAL: For $t = 0, 1, \dots, n_X + n_Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(t) &= \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) = \sum_{x=0}^t \binom{n_X}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n_X-x} \binom{n_Y}{t-x} \theta^{t-x} (1-\theta)^{n_Y-t+x} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^t \binom{n_X}{x} \binom{n_Y}{t-x} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n_X+n_Y-t} = \binom{n_X+n_Y}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n_X+n_Y-t} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T \sim \text{Bin}(n_X + n_Y, \theta)$.

POISSON: For $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(t) &= \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) = \sum_{x=0}^t \frac{\lambda_1^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_2^{t-x}}{(t-x)!} e^{-\lambda_2} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^t}{t!} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \left[\sum_{x=0}^t \binom{t}{x} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right\}^x \left\{ \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \right\}^{t-x} \right] = \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^t}{t!} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$.

GEOMETRIC: For $t = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$f_T(t) = \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) = \sum_{x=1}^{t-1} (1-\theta)^{x-1} \theta (1-\theta)^{t-x-1} \theta = (t-1)\theta^2 (1-\theta)^{t-2}$$

Hence $T \sim \text{NegBinomial}(2, \theta)$.

EXPONENTIAL: For $t > 0$,

$$f_T(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) dx = \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-x)} dx = \lambda^2 t e^{-\lambda t}$$

Hence $T \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)$.

NORMAL:

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_X^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_X^2} (x - \mu_X)^2 \right\} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_Y^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_Y^2} (t-x - \mu_Y)^2 \right\} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (t - \mu)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \mu_X + \mu_Y$ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2$; the result follows by completing the square in x in the exponential term, using

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_X^2} (x - \mu_X)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^2} (t - x - \mu_Y)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_X^2 \sigma_Y^2} \left(x - \frac{\sigma_Y^2 \mu_X + \sigma_X^2 \mu_Y}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (t - \mu)^2$$

Hence $T \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_X + \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2)$.

8. (i) Let X_i be the i th observed score, for $i = 1, \dots, 20$. Then X_1, \dots, X_{20} are independent and identical random variables, and

$$E_{f_{X_i}}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^6 i/6 = 7/2 = \mu$$

$$E_{f_{X_i}}[X_i^2] = \sum_{i=1}^6 i^2/6 = 91/6 \implies \text{Var}_{f_{X_i}}[X_i] = E_{f_{X_i}}[X_i^2] - \{E_{f_{X_i}}[X_i]\}^2 = 35/12 = \sigma^2$$

If $T = X_1 + \dots + X_{20}$, then the central limit theorem implies that

$$F_T(t) = P[T \leq t] \approx \Phi\left(\frac{t - n\mu}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}}\right)$$

or, approximately, $T \sim N(n\mu, n\sigma^2)$. Hence

$$P[30 \leq T \leq 40] \approx \Phi\left(\frac{40 - 70}{\sqrt{350/6}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{30 - 70}{\sqrt{350/6}}\right)$$

(ii) In each case, looking for a representation of random variable X say as the sum of independent and identical random variables X_1, \dots, X_n . By the results in question 7, this can be achieved for many standard distributions; for example

X	X_i
<i>Binomial</i> (n, θ)	<i>Bernoulli</i> (θ)
<i>Poisson</i> (λ)	<i>Poisson</i> (λ/n)
<i>NegBinomial</i> (n, θ)	<i>Geometric</i> (θ)
<i>Gamma</i> (n, λ)	<i>Exponential</i> (λ)

In each case, can calculate $\mu = E_{f_{X_i}}[X_i]$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_{f_{X_i}}[X_i]$ easily, and hence use central limit theorem formula to approximate the distribution function of X ; not that we do not have a closed form representation for the distribution function for any of these standard distributions, and hence the central limit theorem approximation is potentially useful.