

## M1S : EXERCISE SHEET 2

### ELEMENTARY PROBABILITY

#### EXERCISES

1. A person selected from a population and subjected to screening-for-a particular-disease is either a sufferer ( $S$ ) or not ( $S'$ ). The screening will either result in a positive test ( $T$ ), or a negative ( $T'$ ). If the result of the test is used to classify the person, write down the event "person misclassified".

Suppose now that an X-ray is also taken and either gives a positive ( $X$ ), or a negative ( $X'$ ) indication, and also a doctor carries out an examination resulting in a positive ( $D$ ), or negative ( $D'$ ) assessment. If a person is classified as a sufferer if and only if the doctor and at least one of the tests points in this direction, represent the event "correct classification made".

2. Given two events  $E, F \subseteq \Omega$ , prove that the probability of *one and only one* of them occurring is

$$P(E) + P(F) - 2P(E \cap F).$$

3. Consider the following statements, which are claimed to be true for events  $E_1, E_2$  in a sample space  $\Omega$ :

- (a)  $P(E_1) = 0 \implies P(E_1 \cup E_2) = 0$
- (b)  $P(E_1) = P(E_2) \implies E_1' = E_2$
- (c)  $E_1 \subseteq E_2$  and  $P(E_1) = P(E_2) \implies P(E_1) \leq 1/2$
- (d)  $P(E_1') = x_1, P(E_2') = x_2 \implies P(E_1 \cup E_2) \geq 1 - x_1 - x_2$

In each case, either prove that the statement is true for all  $\Omega, E_1, E_2$ , or provide a specific counter-example to show that there exists  $\Omega, E_1, E_2$  for which it is false

4. Given two events  $E, F \subseteq \Omega$ , prove that

- (a)  $P(E' \cap F) = P(F) - P(E \cap F)$
- (b)  $P(E \cup F) = P(E) + P(F) - P(E \cap F)$
- (c)  $E \subseteq F \implies P(E) \leq P(F)$
- (d)  $P(E \cap F) \geq P(E) + P(F) - 1$

[(d) is known as *Bonferroni's Inequality*.]

5. Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are events such that  $P(E) = x, P(F) = y$  and  $P(E \cap F) = z$ . Express the following terms of  $x, y$  and  $z$ :

- (a)  $P(E' \cup F')$
- (b)  $P(E' \cap F)$
- (c)  $P(E' \cup F)$
- (d)  $P(E' \cap F')$ .

6. Show that the first probability axiom can be relaxed to:

$$(I)^* : P(E) \geq 0 \text{ for all } E \subseteq \Omega,$$

that is, given that (I)\*, (II) and (III) hold, prove that  $P(E) \leq 1$  for all  $E \subseteq \Omega$ .

7. Use induction to prove that, for any events  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  in  $\Omega$ :

$$(a) \quad P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_n) \leq P(E_1) + \dots + P(E_n) \quad (\text{Boole's Inequality})$$

$$(b) \quad P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_n) = \sum_i P(E_i) - \sum_i \sum_j P(E_i \cap E_j) + \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k P(E_i \cap E_j \cap E_k) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_n)$$

In (b), summations are over *distinct* sets of subscripts, each counted once only. For example, if  $n = 3$ , the result would correspond to

$$P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) + P(E_3) - P(E_1 \cap E_2) - P(E_1 \cap E_3) - P(E_2 \cap E_3) + P(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3).$$