

### Question 2.

- (a) Define a sequence of positive integers  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \dots$  as follows:  $A_1 = 1$ , and for  $n \geq 2$  set

$$A_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_j.$$

Prove, by induction, that  $A_n = 2^{n-2}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

- (b) In this question you can assume all standard facts about inequalities for real numbers.

Let  $X$  be the set of non-negative real numbers:  $X = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : x \geq 0\}$ . Let us investigate whether a version of strong induction works for  $X$ . So imagine that for every  $x \in X$  we have a true/false statement  $P(x)$ , and let's say that we know

- i)  $P(0)$  is true; and
- ii) for every  $d \in X$ , if  $P(y)$  is true for all  $0 \leq y < d$ , then  $P(d)$  is true.

Can we deduce that  $P(x)$  is true for all  $x \in X$ ?

Hint: try letting  $P(x)$  be the statement " $x \leq 1$ ".

Note that I am expecting a careful proof, not just a one word answer consisting of a yes/no guess (for which you will get 0 marks, even if you get it right, because it's pretty obvious from the question that the answer is "no").

### Answer.

- (a) Note to markers: I have been doing induction super-carefully with statements  $P(n)$  and showing  $P(d)$  implies  $P(d+1)$  etc, but I would be happy with a slightly more informal approach as long as the key points are there.

Note first that  $A_2 = A_1 = 1$ ,  $A_3 = A_1 + A_2 = 1 + 1 = 2$  and  $A_4 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = 1 + 1 + 2 = 4$ , so this does look good (although it doesn't give us any marks).

For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $P(n)$  denote the statement that  $A_n = 2^{n-1}$ . Then we just checked above that  $P(2)$  is true.

Now say  $d \geq 2$  and  $P(d)$  is true. Then we can deduce that  $A_d = 2^{d-1}$ . We also know that  $A_d = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{d-1}$  (by definition). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_{d+1} &= A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{d-1} + A_d \\ &= (A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{d-1}) + A_d \\ &= A_d + A_d \\ &= 2A_d \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^{d-1} = 2^d = 2^{(d+1)-1} \end{aligned}$$

and in particular we have just deduced  $P(d+1)$  from  $P(d)$ .

So by the principle of mathematical induction (starting at  $n = 2$ ) we can deduce  $P(n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

Note to markers: it's OK if the students use "strong induction"; if we assume  $P(m)$  for all  $m < n$  then  $A_n = 1 + 1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{n-2}$  which I think we can assume equals  $2^{n-1}$  (although probably the way to prove this would be by induction ;-)). **(5 marks)**

(b) Note to markers: no marks for a one-word yes/no answer, even if they get it right.

Let us prove that if  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $x \leq 1$ ” then  $P(x)$  satisfies the following three things:

- i)  $P(0)$  is true
- ii) For every  $d \in X$ , if  $P(y)$  is true for all  $y < d$  then  $P(d)$  is true.
- iii) There does exist some  $x \in X$  such that  $P(x)$  is false.

In particular, strong induction does *not* work on the non-negative reals.

Two of these things are easy to check. First  $P(0)$  is true, because  $0 \leq 1$ . Secondly, if we set  $x = 2$  then  $P(x)$  is false, because  $2 > 1$ . **(2 marks)** Note to markers: I am happy to give out two marks for everything so far. The guts of the question is what remains.

All we have to do then, is to prove that for every  $d \in X$ , if  $P(y)$  is true for all  $y < d$ , then  $P(d)$  is also true.

We split the argument into two cases. First, let us consider the case  $d \leq 1$ . Then  $P(d)$  is true, so it's implied by anything at all (and in particular it's implied by the assertion that  $P(y)$  is true for all  $y < d$ , whether or not this assertion is true, although it does happen to be true).

Finally, we need to check that if  $d > 1$  and if  $P(y)$  is true for all  $y < d$ , then  $P(d)$  is true. Well,  $P(d)$  is *not* true, so we need to check that our hypothesis of  $P(y)$  being true for all  $y < d$  is also false. And the trick, which goes back to a previous example sheet, is to set  $y = (1 + d)/2$ , the average of 1 and  $d$ . Then  $1 < y < d$ , so in particular  $y < d$  and  $P(y)$  is false. **(3 marks)** Note to markers: I would be very happy to give marks to anyone who explains this in a coherent manner, even if they are twice as brief as I am. However I guess I would expect to see some sort of construction like  $y = (1 + d)/2$  somewhere, for full marks (or some similar good idea).