## M1F Foundations of Analysis—Problem Sheet 6, Hints and Solutions.

- 1) a) LUB = -1, GLB = -3 (not the other way round!)
- b) LUB = -1, no GLB.
- c) LUB =  $\sqrt{2}$ , GLB =  $-\sqrt{2}$ .
- d) No LUB or GLB.
- e) LUB =  $\sqrt{2}$ , GLB =  $-\sqrt{2}$ .
- 2) (Of course there are many many answers to these questions.)
- a)  $\{1, 1.4, 1.41, 1.414, 1.4142, \ldots\}$ , the set of "decimal approximations" to  $\sqrt{2}$ , has LUB equal to  $\sqrt{2}$  (as long as you remember to always round down).
- b)  $\{-\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/3, -\sqrt{2}/4, \ldots\}$  is a set of negative irrationals, most of which are very small, and the LUB is 0.
  - c)  $\{-1, -1.4, -1.41, -1.414, -1.4142, \ldots\}$  works.
  - 3)
- a) If x is a lower bound for B, then for all a in A, we have  $a \in B$ , so  $x \le a$ . Hence x is a lower bound for A.
- b) We know that y is a lower bound for B. Hence by (a), y is a lower bound for A. But x is the greatest lower bound for A. So  $x \ge y$ .
  - 4)
- a) Let y = x + c. We have to show that y is a GLB for T. First let's check that y is a lower bound! If  $t \in T$ , then  $t c \in S$ , so  $x \le t c$  (as x is a lower bound for S), so  $y = x + c \le t$ . Hence y is a lower bound.

Now let's check that y is at least as big as any other lower bound. To do this, let z be any lower bound for T. By a similar argument to the above, one can check that z-c is a lower bound for S. But x is the GLB of S, so  $z-c \le x$ . So  $z \le x+c=y$ .

Hence, by definition of GLB, y is the greatest lower bound for S.

b) Again, one has to check both the parts of the definition for a LUB. Firstly, -x is an upper bound, because if  $-s \in T$ , then  $s \in S$ , so  $x \le s$ , so  $-x \ge -s$ .

And secondly, if u is an upper bound for T, then for all t in T we have  $u \ge t$ , so for all s in S we have  $-u \le s$ . Hence -u is a lower bound for S, and so  $-u \le x$ . Hence  $u \ge -x$  and so -x is the least upper bound for T.

5) Certainly all of the  $T_i$  are non-empty, because  $x_i \in T_i$ . Hence it suffices to show that all of the  $T_n$  have lower bounds. But  $T_n \subseteq T_1$ , so by Q4(a), any lower bound for  $T_1$  is a lower bound for all the  $T_n$ . So they all have GLBs.

Next note that  $T_{n+1} \subseteq T_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , so by Q4(b),  $b_{n+1} \ge b_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

- a)  $b_n = n$  and so the set of all  $b_i$  has no upper bound.
- b)  $b_n = 0$  for all n and so the upper bound is 0.
- c)  $b_n = 1$  for all n and so the upper bound is 1.
- d)  $b_n = 1$  for  $n \le 100$  and  $b_n = 2$  for n > 100, and so  $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots\} = \{1, 2\}$  and the upper bound is 2.

Remark: In general, if the set  $T_1$  is bounded above and below, then the  $b_i$  will always be bounded above, and so their LUB will exist, so the liminf always exists. Guess what a limsup is and check that this exists too.