## M1F Foundations of Analysis, Problem Sheet 8.

1. Let a and b be coprime positive integers (recall that *coprime* here means gcd(a, b) = 1). I open a fast food restaurant which sells chicken nuggets in two sizes – you can either buy a box with a nuggets in, or a box with b nuggets in. Prove that there is some integer N with the property that for all integers  $m \ge N$ , it is possible to buy exactly m nuggets.

## $2^*$ . True or false?

(i) If a and b are positive integers, and there exist integers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda a + \mu b = 1$ , then gcd(a, b) = 1.

(ii) If a and b are positive integers, and there exist integers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda a + \mu b = 7$ , then gcd(a, b) = 7.

**3.** (i) Say *a* and *b* are coprime positive integers, and *N* is any integer which is a multiple of *a* and of *b*. Prove that *N* is a multiple of *ab*. Hint: we know that  $\lambda a + \mu b = 1$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; now write  $N = N \times (\lambda a + \mu b)$ .

(ii) By applying (i) twice, deduce that if p, q and r are three distinct primes, then two integers x and y are congruent modulo pqr if and only if they are congruent mod p, mod q and mod r.

(iii) (tough) Consider the set of positive integers  $\{2^7-2, 3^7-3, 4^7-4, \ldots, 1000^7-1000\}$ . What is the greatest common divisor of all the elements of this set? Feel free to use a calculator to get the hang of this; feel free to use Fermat's Little Theorem and the previous part to nail it.

(iv) (tougher)  $561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$ . Prove that if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $n^{561} \equiv n \mod 561$ . Hence the converse to Fermat's Little Theorem is false.

4. For each of the following binary relations on a set S, figure out whether or not the relation is reflexive. Then figure out whether or not it is symmetric. Finally figure out whether or not the relation is transitive.

(i)  $S = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a \leq b$ .

(ii)  $S = \mathbf{Z}, a \sim b$  if and only if a - b is the square of an integer.

(iii)  $S = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a = b^2$ .

(iv)  $S = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $a \sim b$  if and only if a + b = 0.

(v)  $S = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $a \sim b$  if and only if a - b is an integer.

(vi)  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, a \sim b$  if and only if a = 1 and b = 3.

(vii) S is the empty set (and  $\sim$  is the only possible binary relation on that set, the empty binary relation).

**5.** Let  $S = \mathbf{R}$  be the real numbers, and let G be a subset of **R**. Define a binary relation  $\sim$  on S by  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $b - a \in G$ .

(i) Say  $0 \in G$ . Prove that  $\sim$  is reflexive.

(ii) Say G has the property that  $g \in G$  implies  $-g \in G$ . Check that  $\sim$  symmetric.

(iii) Say G has the property that if  $g \in G$  and  $h \in G$  then  $g+h \in G$ . Check that  $\sim$  is transitive. (iv) If you can be bothered, also check that the converse to all these statements are true as well (i.e. check that if  $\sim$  is reflexive then  $0 \in G$ , if  $\sim$  is symmetric then  $g \in G$  implies  $-g \in G$  etc).

Remark: Subsets G of **R** with these three properties in parts (i)–(iii) are called *subgroups* of R, or, more precisely, additive subgroups (the group law being addition). So this question really proves that the binary relation defined in the question is an equivalence relation if and only if G is a subgroup of **R**. You'll learn about groups and subgroups next term in M1P2.