

# Notes on inner twists.

Kevin Buzzard

February 9, 2012

Last modified 16/06/2008.

There's a weight 2 level 243 trivial character cuspidal normalised eigenform  $f$  whose  $q$ -expansion looks like

$$q + aq^2 + 4q^4 - aq^5 + 2q^7 + 2aq^8 - 6q^{10} + aq^{11} - q^{13} + 2aq^{14} + 4q^{16} - \dots$$

with  $a$  a square root of 6. It looks from the  $q$ -expansion that every coefficient is either an integer, or an integer multiple of  $a$ , and this is indeed the case. Moreover, the integer multiples look like they're happening for  $q^n$  with  $n = 1 \pmod 3$ , and the multiples of  $a$  look like they're happening for  $n = 2 \pmod 3$ , and this is also correct. We can see this by considering the twist of the form by the Dirichlet character  $\chi$  of conductor 3—we get another eigenform  $f \otimes \chi$ , of level at most  $243 * 9$ , and by checking all possibilities we see that the only eigenform whose  $q$ -expansion agrees with the twist of  $f \otimes \chi$  for the first few terms is  $f^\sigma$ , the Galois conjugate of  $f$ , where  $1 \neq \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{6}/\mathbf{Q}))$ .

This is an example of a form with an “inner twist”. But this is a rather “generic” form too. For there is no CM involved (it is not the case that 50 percent of the  $a_p$  vanish—indeed  $p = 3$  and  $p = 89$  are the only primes less than 100 for which  $a_p$  vanishes) and furthermore there is no  $\mathbf{Q}$ -curve involved either: the 2-dimensional abelian variety  $A/\mathbf{Q}$  associated to  $f$  does not have the property that over  $k := \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , the field corresponding to  $\chi$ ,  $A$  splits (up to isogeny) as the product of two elliptic curves. What is happening, I think, is that  $A$  has an interesting endomorphism ring.

Some general result of Shimura shows that  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}^0(A)$  will be  $E := \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ , the coefficient field of the modular form, so we get 2-dimensional  $\lambda$ -adic Galois representations attached to  $f$ , for  $\lambda$  running through the primes of  $E$ . But over  $k$  there are more endomorphisms: indeed, Cremona shows that  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}^0(A) = \text{End}_k^0(A)$  is a quaternion algebra  $(-3, 6/\mathbf{Q})$ , and 6 is not a norm for  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , because the conic  $A^2 + 3B^2 - 6C^2$  has no  $\mathbf{Q}$ -points, so this quaternion algebra does not split and an easy calculation (check all possibilities) shows that  $A$  must hence be absolutely simple. I think that the quaternion algebra must be the one of discriminant 6: if I've understood correctly it will be split by  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{6})$  and hence must be indefinite, at any rate.

Now here's a funny thing. Let  $\lambda$  be a prime of  $E$  and let  $p \neq 3$  be a prime not dividing the norm of  $\lambda$ . Let's consider the  $\lambda$ -adic representation attached to  $f$ . If  $p = 1 \pmod 3$  then the char poly of  $\text{Frob}_p$  will be  $x^2 - tX + p$  with  $t$  an integer, and if  $p = 2 \pmod 3$  then it will be  $x^2 - t\sqrt{6}x + p$  again with  $t$  an integer. If the eigenvalues of this latter matrix are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $\alpha + \beta = t\sqrt{6}$  and  $\alpha\beta = p$ , so  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 6t^2 - 2p \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and we see that the Galois representation restricted to  $G_k$ , the absolute Galois group of  $k$ , has trace in  $Z_\ell$ , where  $\lambda|\ell$ . Note that if  $\ell$  splits in  $E$  then the full Galois representation attached to the abelian variety is taking values in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$  and hence the restriction to  $G_k$  is too. But if  $\ell$  is inert in  $E$  then the Galois representation is taking values in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_{\ell^2})$  and it's not clear to me whether one can tease it into  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ . Andrei Yafaev told me that the Mumford-Tate group of the abelian variety will be  $D^\times$ . This sounds very right but I can't prove it. Is the Mumford-Tate group the centralizer of  $D^\times$  in  $\text{GSp}_4$ ?

Here's my guess: the centralizer of  $D^\times$  is just something isomorphic to  $D^\times$ . I think this because I'm pretty sure that  $D \otimes D = M_4(\mathbf{Q})$  and this gives two commuting actions of  $D^\times$  on a 4-dimensional vector space. I can't find a pairing preserved by this though.

So I am guessing that the Mumford-Tate group is  $D^\times$ , so my guess is that the Galois representation attached to the modular form over the im quad field has image commensurable with  $(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell)^\times$ , meaning that there will only be problems at the primes 2 and 3.

## 1 Remarks on the mod $p$ Galois representations.

I just noticed that if the Mumford-Tate group is  $B^\times$  then this forces the mod  $p$  representation of the absolute Galois group of  $k$  to be reducible if  $B$  ramifies at  $p$ . This is because  $\mathcal{O}_B$ , when tensored up to the integers  $\mathcal{O}$  in a quadratic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , doesn't become the full maximal order in  $M_2(\mathcal{O})$ . Hence one expects the mod 2 and mod 3 representations attached to the form to be reducible when restricted to the imaginary quadratic field.

The mod 2 representation attached to  $f$  takes values in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$  and a bit of experimenting shows that the splitting field of the representation is the Galois closure of  $\mathbf{Q}(6^{1/3})$  (modulo the bad primes 2 and 3, the coefficient of  $q^p$  should be 1 mod 2 iff  $p$  is 1 mod 3 and doesn't split completely in  $\mathbf{Q}(6^{1/3})$ , that is, iff 6 has no cube root mod  $p$ . This representation is irreducible but when restricted to  $k$  of course becomes reducible.

The mod 3 representation attached to the form over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is just 1 plus cyclo, so is certainly reducible over  $k$  as well.