

# Good reduction of the Brauer–Manin obstruction

A joint work in progress with J-L. Colliot-Thélène

Alexei Skorobogatov

Imperial College London

Schloss Thurnau, July 2010

# Notation:

$k$  is a number field,

$k_v$  is the completion of  $k$  at a place  $v$ ,

$\mathcal{O}_v$  is the ring of integers of  $k_v$ ,

$\mathbb{A}_k$  is the ring of adèles of  $k$ ,

$S$  is a finite set of places of  $k$  containing the archimedean places,  $\mathcal{O}_S = \{x \in k \mid \text{val}_v(x) \geq 0 \text{ for any } v \notin S\}$ ,

$\bar{k}$  is an algebraic closure of  $k$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ ,

$X$  is a variety over  $k$ ,  $\bar{X} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ ,

$$\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

$$\text{Br}_0(X) = \text{Im}[\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)],$$

$$\text{Br}_1(X) = \text{Ker}[\text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\bar{X})]$$

The following question was asked by Peter Swinnerton-Dyer.

### Question

Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$  be a **smooth** and projective morphism with geometrically integral fibres. Let  $X = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} k$  be the generic fibre. Assume that  $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$  is a finitely generated **torsion-free** abelian group. Does there exist a closed subset  $Z \subset \prod_{v \in S} X(k_v)$  such that

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = Z \times \prod_{v \notin S} X(k_v) ?$$

The following question was asked by Peter Swinnerton-Dyer.

### Question

Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$  be a **smooth** and projective morphism with geometrically integral fibres. Let  $X = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} k$  be the generic fibre. Assume that  $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$  is a finitely generated **torsion-free** abelian group. Does there exist a closed subset  $Z \subset \prod_{v \in S} X(k_v)$  such that

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = Z \times \prod_{v \notin S} X(k_v) ?$$

*In other words:* is it true that only the bad reduction primes and the archimedean places show up in the Brauer–Manin obstruction?

*Equivalently:* show that for any  $A \in \text{Br}(X)$  and any  $v \notin S$  the value  $A(P)$  at  $P \in X(k_v)$  is the same for all  $P$ .

*Equivalently:* show that for any  $A \in \text{Br}(X)$  and any  $v \notin S$  the value  $A(P)$  at  $P \in X(k_v)$  is the same for all  $P$ .

Write  $X_v = X \times_k k_v$  and, for  $v \notin S$ , write  $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_v$ .

*Equivalently:* show that for any  $A \in \text{Br}(X)$  and any  $v \notin S$  the value  $A(P)$  at  $P \in X(k_v)$  is the same for all  $P$ .

Write  $X_v = X \times_k k_v$  and, for  $v \notin S$ , write  $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_v$ .

### Remark

*If for every  $v \notin S$  the image of  $\text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_v)$  is contained in the subgroup generated by the images of  $\text{Br}(k_v)$  and  $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)$ , then the answer is positive.*

*Proof* Since  $\mathcal{X}_v/\mathcal{O}_v$  is projective we have  $X(k_v) = \mathcal{X}_v(\mathcal{O}_v)$ . Thus the value of  $A \in \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)$  at any  $P \in X(k_v)$  comes from  $\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_v) = 0$ . □

*Equivalently:* show that for any  $A \in \text{Br}(X)$  and any  $v \notin S$  the value  $A(P)$  at  $P \in X(k_v)$  is the same for all  $P$ .

Write  $X_v = X \times_k k_v$  and, for  $v \notin S$ , write  $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_v$ .

### Remark

*If for every  $v \notin S$  the image of  $\text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_v)$  is contained in the subgroup generated by the images of  $\text{Br}(k_v)$  and  $\text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)$ , then the answer is positive.*

*Proof* Since  $\mathcal{X}_v/\mathcal{O}_v$  is projective we have  $X(k_v) = \mathcal{X}_v(\mathcal{O}_v)$ . Thus the value of  $A \in \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)$  at any  $P \in X(k_v)$  comes from  $\text{Br}(\mathcal{O}_v) = 0$ . □

The following proposition generalises an earlier result of Martin Bright.

## Proposition

*The image of  $\mathrm{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_v)$  is contained in the subgroup generated by the images of  $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)$  and  $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)$ .*

## Proposition

*The image of  $\mathrm{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_v)$  is contained in the subgroup generated by the images of  $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)$  and  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)$ .*

*Idea of proof:* Let  $k_v^{\mathrm{nr}}$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $k_v$  in  $\bar{k}_v$ ,

$$X_v^{\mathrm{nr}} = X_v \times_{k_v} k_v^{\mathrm{nr}}, \quad \bar{X}_v = X_v \times_{k_v} \bar{k}_v.$$

Let  $I = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}_v/k_v^{\mathrm{nr}})$  be the inertia group.

## Proposition

The image of  $\mathrm{Br}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_v)$  is contained in the subgroup generated by the images of  $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)$  and  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)$ .

*Idea of proof:* Let  $k_v^{\mathrm{nr}}$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $k_v$  in  $\bar{k}_v$ ,

$$X_v^{\mathrm{nr}} = X_v \times_{k_v} k_v^{\mathrm{nr}}, \quad \bar{X}_v = X_v \times_{k_v} \bar{k}_v.$$

Let  $I = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}_v/k_v^{\mathrm{nr}})$  be the inertia group.

**Key claim:** Inertia  $I$  acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Pic}(\bar{X}_v)$ .

Let  $\ell$  be a prime different from the residual characteristic of  $k_v$ . The Kummer exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_{\ell^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{[\ell^n]} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

gives  $\mathrm{Pic}(\bar{X}_v)/\ell^n \hookrightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(\bar{X}_v, \mu_{\ell^n})$ . Passing to the limit we obtain

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\bar{X}_v) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(\bar{X}_v) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \subset H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(\bar{X}_v, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)) = \lim.\mathrm{proj.} H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(\bar{X}_v, \mu_{\ell^n}).$$

Smooth base change theorem for the smooth and proper morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_V \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V)$  implies that the étale sheaf  $R^2\pi_*\mu_{\ell^n}$  is locally constant. It follows that the action of  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}_V/k_V)$  on the generic geometric fibre  $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(\overline{X}_V, \mu_{\ell^n})$ , i.e. on the fibre at  $\mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k}_V)$ , factors through

$$\pi_1(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V), \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k}_V)) = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}_V/k_V)/I.$$

This proves the key claim.

One deduces that every element of  $\mathrm{Br}_1(X_V)$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Ker}[\mathrm{Br}(X_V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_V^{\mathrm{nr}})]$ . The proposition follows with a little more work (or just use Martin Bright's result).  $\square$

How about the transcendental Brauer group?

How about the transcendental Brauer group?

Let  $\ell$  be a prime different from the residual characteristic of  $k_v$ .

Write  $\text{Br}(X_v)\{\ell\}$  for the  $\ell$ -primary subgroup of  $\text{Br}(X_v)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the residue field of  $k_v$ , and let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

How about the transcendental Brauer group?

Let  $\ell$  be a prime different from the residual characteristic of  $k_v$ . Write  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)\{\ell\}$  for the  $\ell$ -primary subgroup of  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the residue field of  $k_v$ , and let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

### Lemma

*If the closed geometric fibre  $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \overline{\mathbb{F}}$  has no connected unramified covering of degree  $\ell$ , then  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)\{\ell\}$  is generated by the images of  $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)\{\ell\}$  and  $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)\{\ell\}$ .*

How about the transcendental Brauer group?

Let  $\ell$  be a prime different from the residual characteristic of  $k_v$ . Write  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)\{\ell\}$  for the  $\ell$ -primary subgroup of  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the residue field of  $k_v$ , and let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

### Lemma

*If the closed geometric fibre  $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \overline{\mathbb{F}}$  has no connected unramified covering of degree  $\ell$ , then  $\mathrm{Br}(X_v)\{\ell\}$  is generated by the images of  $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)\{\ell\}$  and  $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)\{\ell\}$ .*

The proof is an easy consequence of Gabber's purity theorem and results of Kato (Crelle's J., 1986, which use K-theory and the Merkuriev–Suslin theorem).

## Sketch of proof:

Let  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{F}$  be the closed fibre of  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_V \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V)$ ,  
 $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_0 = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ .  
 $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}_0)$  is the function field of  $\mathcal{X}_0$ .

Kato proves that the residue map fits into a *complex*

$$\text{Br}(X)[\ell^n] \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{H}^1(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}_0), \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{Y \subset \mathcal{X}_0} \text{H}^0(\mathbb{F}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(-1)),$$

where the sum is over all irreducible  $Y \subset \mathcal{X}_0$  such that  $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(Y) = 1$ , and  $\mathbb{F}(Y)$  is the function field of  $Y$ .

A character in

$$\text{H}^1(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}_0), \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) = \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}_0)}/\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}_0)), \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$$

defines a covering of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  that corresponds to the invariant field of this character.

If  $A \in \text{Br}(X_v)[\ell^n]$ , then the covering defined by  $\text{res}(A)$  is unramified at every divisor of  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . Hence it is unramified, i.e.  $\text{res}(A) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ .

$$H^p(\mathbb{F}, H_{\text{ét}}^q(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$$

gives rise to

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$$

Our assumption implies that  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) = 0$ , hence

$$\text{res}(A) \in H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n).$$

By local class field theory  $\text{Br}(k_v)\{\ell^n\} \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$  is an isomorphism, so that there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Br}(k_v)\{\ell^n\}$  such that  $\text{res}(\alpha) = \text{res}(A)$ . By Gabber's absolute purity theorem  $A - \alpha \in \text{Br}(\mathcal{X}_v)$ . □

**Question:** Is there an analogue when  $\ell = p$ ?

**Question:** Is there an analogue when  $\ell = p$ ?

### Remark

*Let  $X$  be a smooth, projective and geometrically integral variety over  $k$  such that  $\text{Pic}(\bar{X})$  is a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group, and  $\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}_1(X)$  is finite. Then  $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$  is **open and closed** in  $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ .*

*Proof*  $\text{Br}_1(X)/\text{Br}_0(X) \subset H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\bar{X}))$ , which is finite since  $\text{Pic}(\bar{X})$  is finitely generated and torsion-free.

The sum of local invariants of a given element of  $\text{Br}(X)$  is a continuous function on  $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$  with finitely many values, and this function is identically zero if the element is in  $\text{Br}_0(X)$ .  $\square$

## Theorem

### Assume

- (i)  $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = 0$ ;
- (ii) *the Néron–Severi group  $\text{NS}(\bar{X})$  has no torsion*;
- (iii)  *$\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}_1(X)$  is a finite abelian group of order invertible in  $\mathcal{O}_S$ .*

*Then the answer to our question is positive.*

This follows from the previous results by the smooth base change theorem: we can identify

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq \text{Pic}(\bar{X})_{\ell}$$

and so conclude that the closed geometric fibre has no connected étale covering of degree  $\ell$ . □

Condition (iii) is hard to check in general, so we state a particular case where all conditions are only on  $\overline{X}$ .

Condition (iii) is hard to check in general, so we state a particular case where all conditions are only on  $\bar{X}$ .

## Corollary

*Assume*

- (i)  $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = H^2(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = 0$ ;
- (ii) *the Néron–Severi group  $\text{NS}(\bar{X})$  has no torsion*;
- (iii) *either  $\dim X = 2$ , or  $H_{\text{ét}}^3(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$  is torsion-free for every prime  $\ell$  outside  $S$ .*

*Then the answer to our question is positive.*

Condition (iii) is hard to check in general, so we state a particular case where all conditions are only on  $\bar{X}$ .

## Corollary

*Assume*

- (i)  $H^1(\bar{X}, O_{\bar{X}}) = H^2(\bar{X}, O_{\bar{X}}) = 0$ ;
- (ii) *the Néron–Severi group  $NS(\bar{X})$  has no torsion*;
- (iii) *either  $\dim X = 2$ , or  $H_{\text{ét}}^3(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$  is torsion-free for every prime  $\ell$  outside  $S$ .*

*Then the answer to our question is positive.*

This applies to unirational varieties (some of them are not rational, e.g. Harari's example of a transcendental Brauer–Manin obstruction with  $\text{Br}(\bar{X}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ).

What about bad reduction?

If  $A \in \text{Br}(X_v)_n$ , and assume that the residual characteristic of  $k_v$  does not divide  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_v \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$  be a regular model, smooth and projective over  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_0$  be the closed fibre,  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{smooth}}$  be its smooth locus, and let  $V_i$  be the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{smooth}}$  that are geometrically irreducible. Then the reduction of a  $k_v$ -point belongs to some  $V_i$ .

Kato's complex implies that  $\text{res}_{V_i}(A) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(V_i, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ , but this group is finite. Evaluating at  $\mathbb{F}$ -points gives finitely many functions  $V_i(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/n) = \mathbb{Z}/n$ , or one function  $f : V_i(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^m$ . This defines a partition of  $V_i(\mathbb{F})$ . We get a partition of  $X(k_v)$  into a disjoint union of subsets such that  $A(P)$  is constant on each subset.

Note that this Corollary does not apply to K3 surfaces. Nevertheless we have the following result.

## Theorem

Let  $D$  be the diagonal quartic surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  given by

$$x_0^4 + a_1x_1^4 + a_2x_2^4 + a_3x_3^4 = 0,$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Let  $S$  be the set of primes consisting of 2 and the primes dividing the numerators or the denominators of  $a_1, a_2, a_3$ . Then

$$D(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} = Z \times \prod_{p \notin S} D(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

for an open and closed subset  $Z \subset D(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{p \in S} D(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

*Proof* This follows from the previous theorem by the results of Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin: only the primes from  $\{2, 3, 5\} \cap \mathcal{S}$  can divide the order of the finite group  $\mathrm{Br}(D)/\mathrm{Br}_1(D)$ .  $\square$

**Note** The primes from  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$  are not too bad, whereas those from  $\{2, 3, 5\} \cap \mathcal{S}$  are seriously bad.

**Note** We do not have an example of a transcendental Azumaya algebra on  $D$  of order 2 defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but Thomas Preu has constructed such an algebra of order 3 which gives a BM obstruction to WA. Order 5?

A full proof of the result of Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin is quite long.

*Notation:*

$X$  is the Fermat quartic  $x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0$ ;

$E$  is the elliptic curve  $y^2 = x^3 - x$  with CM by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

The Galois representation on  $E_n$  was computed by Gauss:

if  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , so that  $p = a^2 + b^2$ , where  $a + bi \equiv 1 \pmod{2 + 2i}$ , then the Frobenius at the prime  $(a + b\sqrt{-1})$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$  acts on  $E_n$  as the complex multiplication by  $a + b\sqrt{-1}$ .

The key ingredients of the Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin result:

- Ieronymou's paper on the 2-torsion in  $\text{Br}(\overline{X})$  (uses a pencil of genus 1 curves on  $X$  without a section);

The key ingredients of the Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin result:

- Ieronymou's paper on the 2-torsion in  $\text{Br}(\overline{X})$  (uses a pencil of genus 1 curves on  $X$  without a section);
- Mizukami's explicit representation of  $X$  as the Kummer surface attached to an abelian surface isogenous to  $E \times E$  (works only over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ );

The key ingredients of the Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin result:

- Ieronymou's paper on the 2-torsion in  $\text{Br}(\overline{X})$  (uses a pencil of genus 1 curves on  $X$  without a section);
- Mizukami's explicit representation of  $X$  as the Kummer surface attached to an abelian surface isogenous to  $E \times E$  (works only over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ );
- AS-Zarhin's isomorphism between the Brauer group of an abelian surface and the Brauer group of the corresponding Kummer surface;

The key ingredients of the Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin result:

- Ieronymou's paper on the 2-torsion in  $\text{Br}(\overline{X})$  (uses a pencil of genus 1 curves on  $X$  without a section);
- Mizukami's explicit representation of  $X$  as the Kummer surface attached to an abelian surface isogenous to  $E \times E$  (works only over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$ );
- AS-Zarhin's isomorphism between the Brauer group of an abelian surface and the Brauer group of the corresponding Kummer surface;
- Ieronymou–AS–Zarhin paper: an explicit analysis of the Galois representation on  $E_n$ , for  $n$  odd.

# Sketch of Mizukami's construction, after Swinnerton-Dyer

To prove that  $X$  is a Kummer surface we must exhibit 16 disjoint lines.

(By Nikulin, it implies that  $X$  is Kummer)

# Sketch of Mizukami's construction, after Swinnerton-Dyer

To prove that  $X$  is a Kummer surface we must exhibit 16 disjoint lines.

(By Nikulin, it implies that  $X$  is Kummer)

But the set of 48 obvious lines does not contain a subset of 16 disjoint lines :(

# Sketch of Mizukami's construction, after Swinnerton-Dyer

To prove that  $X$  is a Kummer surface we must exhibit 16 disjoint lines.

(By Nikulin, it implies that  $X$  is Kummer)

But the set of 48 obvious lines does not contain a subset of 16 disjoint lines :(

However, sometimes a plane through two intersecting lines on  $X$  cuts  $X$  in the union of these lines and a residual conic. There is a set of 16 disjoint rational curves on  $X$  consisting of 8 straight lines and 8 conics :)

# Sketch of Mizukami's construction, after Swinnerton-Dyer

To prove that  $X$  is a Kummer surface we must exhibit 16 disjoint lines.

(By Nikulin, it implies that  $X$  is Kummer)

But the set of 48 obvious lines does not contain a subset of 16 disjoint lines :(

However, sometimes a plane through two intersecting lines on  $X$  cuts  $X$  in the union of these lines and a residual conic. There is a set of 16 disjoint rational curves on  $X$  consisting of 8 straight lines and 8 conics :)

One can find two elliptic pencils on  $X$  intersecting trivially with these 16 curves, which gives a map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree 4 contracting these curves.

$C$  is the curve  $v^2 = (u^2 - 1)(u^2 - \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$A = C \times C / \tau$ , where  $\tau$  changes the signs of all four coordinates,

$K$  is the Kummer surface attached to  $A$ .

$K$  is birationally equivalent to the surface

$$z^2 = (x - 1)(x - \frac{1}{2})(y - 1)(y - \frac{1}{2}), \quad t^2 = xy.$$

Explicit equations show that  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  factors through the degree 4 map  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  given by  $(x, y, z, t) \mapsto (x, y)$ . This gives a birational map  $X \rightarrow K$ , which must be an isomorphism.

**Note**  $K$  is birational to the double covering of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ :

$$z^2 = (x - 1)(x - \frac{1}{2})(t^2 - x)(t^2 - \frac{1}{2}x).$$

Easy to write Azumaya algebras on  $K$ :

$$(t^2 - x, t^2 - \frac{1}{2}x), \quad (t^2 - x, x - 1).$$

**Open problem** For many diagonal quartics  $D$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  one expects a transcendental element of order 2 in  $\text{Br}(D)$ . How to write it explicitly? Can one use Mizukami's isomorphism?

*Advertisement:*

Conference “Torsors: theory and practice”

10-14 January 2011

Edinburgh, Scotland

organised by V. Batyrev and A. Skorobogatov

<http://www2.imperial.ac.uk/anskor/>

All queries to [a.skorobogatov@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:a.skorobogatov@imperial.ac.uk)