

Corrigendum to “The Brauer group and  
the Brauer–Manin set of products of varieties”  
*J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **16** (2014) 749–768.

Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Yuri G. Zarhin

August 30, 2021

**Abstract**

In his review [F] of our paper [SZ14], Faltings pointed out that he could not follow the proof of Proposition 2.2. In this corrigendum we rectify this and other mistakes in [SZ14].

The main results of [SZ14], Theorems A, B and C, are correct as stated. However, the version of the Künneth formula in degree 2 with coefficients in an arbitrary ring mentioned on p. 750 of [SZ14], with reference to Proposition 2.2, is not true in this generality (see Remark 1.2 for a counterexample). A similar correction needs to be made to Theorem 2.6.

## 1 Correction to Proposition 2.2

**Proposition 1.1** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be non-empty path-connected CW-complexes such that  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$  are finitely generated abelian groups (which holds when  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite CW-complexes). For any abelian group  $G$  we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^1(X \times Y, G) \cong H^1(X, G) \oplus H^1(Y, G).$$

*If  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer, then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^2(X \times Y, G) \cong H^2(X, G) \oplus H^2(Y, G) \oplus \text{Hom}(H^1(X, G)^\vee, H^1(Y, G)),$$

*where for a  $G$ -module  $M$  we write  $M^\vee = \text{Hom}(M, G)$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $H_n(X) = H_n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $X$  is non-empty and path-connected we have  $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ , see [Hat02, Prop. 2.7]. The Künneth formula for homology [Hat02, Thm. 3.B.6] gives a split exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (H_i(X) \otimes H_{n-i}(Y)) \rightarrow H_n(X \times Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{Tor}(H_i(X), H_{n-1-i}(Y)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ , in degrees 1 and 2 this gives canonical isomorphisms

$$H_1(X \times Y) \cong H_1(X) \oplus H_1(Y) \quad (1)$$

and

$$H_2(X \times Y) \cong H_2(X) \oplus H_2(Y) \oplus (H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y)). \quad (2)$$

For any abelian group  $G$ , the universal coefficients theorem [Hat02, Thm. 3.2] gives the following (split) exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X), G) \longrightarrow H^n(X, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(X), G) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

where the third map evaluates a cocycle on a cycle. This gives a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(X, G) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1(X), G). \quad (4)$$

The desired isomorphism for  $H^1$  now follows from (1).

Using the functoriality of the universal coefficients formula (3) with respect to the projections of  $X \times Y$  to  $X$  and  $Y$ , together with the isomorphisms (1) and (2), we obtain a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(X, G) \oplus H^2(Y, G) \rightarrow H^2(X \times Y, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y), G) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

The second map here has a retraction induced by the embedding of  $X \times y_0$  and  $x_0 \times Y$ , for some base points  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ . The third map in (5) is given by evaluating a cocycle on  $X \times Y$  on the product of a cycle on  $X$  and a cycle on  $Y$ . A similar map with  $G = G_1 \otimes G_2$  fits into the following commutative diagram with the natural right-hand vertical map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(X \times Y, G_1 \otimes G_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y), G_1 \otimes G_2) \\ \cup \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^1(X, G_1) \otimes H^1(Y, G_2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(H_1(X), G_1) \otimes \text{Hom}(H_1(Y), G_2) \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . By assumption,  $H_1(X)$  and  $H_1(Y)$  are finitely generated abelian groups. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be their respective quotients by the torsion subgroups. The map induced by multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{Hom}(H_1(X), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Hom}(H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z})$$

coincides with  $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M \otimes N, \mathbb{Z})$ , which is clearly an isomorphism, so the displayed map is also an isomorphism. Using (4) we rewrite it as

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now (5) gives a canonical isomorphism

$$H^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z})). \quad (7)$$

In view of the diagram (6) the last summand is embedded into  $H^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z})$  via the cup-product map. Since  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free abelian group of finite rank, we can rewrite (7) and obtain the desired isomorphism for  $H^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Now let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z}/n)$  is canonically isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}(H_1(X), \text{Hom}(H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z}/n)) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1(X)/n, H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)).$$

Since  $\text{Hom}(H_1(X)/n, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ , we have  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)^\vee \cong H_1(X)/n$ . Now (5) produces the required isomorphism for  $H^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.2** For  $X = Y = \mathbb{RP}^2$  we have  $H_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ , so in this case the map induced by multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  with  $n = 4$

$$\text{Hom}(H_1(X), \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes \text{Hom}(H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y), \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

is zero. From diagram (6) we see that in this case the cup-product map

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

is zero.

## 2 Correction to Theorem 2.6

Let  $k$  be a separably closed field. Let  $G$  be a finite commutative group  $k$ -scheme of order not divisible by  $\text{char}(k)$ . The Cartier dual of  $G$  is defined as  $\widehat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,k})$  in the category of commutative group  $k$ -schemes.

For a proper and geometrically integral variety  $X$  over  $k$ , the natural pairing

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, G) \times \widehat{G} \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,X}) = \text{Pic}(X)$$

gives rise to a canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\widehat{G}, \text{Pic}(X)). \quad (8)$$

The map in (8) associates to a class of a  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$  its ‘type’, see [Sko01, Thm. 2.3.6].

Let  $n$  be a positive integer not divisible by  $\text{char}(k)$ . Define  $S_X$  as the finite commutative group  $k$ -scheme whose Cartier dual is

$$\widehat{S}_X = H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mu_n) \cong \text{Pic}(X)[n]. \quad (9)$$

We shall often consider the Tate twist  $\widehat{S}_X(-1)$ . So for a finite commutative group  $k$ -scheme  $G$  such that  $nG = 0$  we introduce the notation

$$G^\vee = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

In particular, we have  $S_X^\vee = H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ . The pairing  $G \times G^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$  gives rise to a canonical isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} (G^\vee)^\vee$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow X$  be an  $S_X$ -torsor whose type is the natural inclusion

$$\widehat{S}_X = \text{Pic}(X)[n] \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X);$$

it is unique up to isomorphism. The natural pairing

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, S_X) \times S_X^\vee \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

with the class  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, S_X)$  induces the identity map on  $S_X^\vee = H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ . In other words, the image of  $[\mathcal{T}_X]$  with respect to the map induced by  $a: S_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$  equals  $a \in S_X^\vee$ .

Suppose that  $Y$  is also a proper and geometrically integral variety over  $k$ . The image of  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \otimes [\mathcal{T}_Y]$  under the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, S_X) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, S_Y) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

induced by  $a: S_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$  and  $b: S_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ , equals  $a \otimes b \in S_X^\vee \otimes S_Y^\vee$ .

We refer to [Mil80, Prop. V.1.16] for the existence and properties of the cup-product. Thus we can consider  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y] \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, S_X \otimes S_Y)$  and

$$a \cup b \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

The cup-product is functorial, so the image of  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y]$  under the map induced by  $a \otimes b$  is  $a \cup b$ . This can be rephrased by saying that the natural pairing

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, S_X \otimes S_Y) \times S_X^\vee \otimes S_Y^\vee \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \quad (10)$$

with  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y]$  gives rise to the cup-product map

$$S_X^\vee \otimes S_Y^\vee = H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

It is important to note that (10) factors through the pairing

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, S_X \otimes S_Y) \times \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n). \quad (11)$$

The pairing (11) with  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y]$  induces a map

$$\varepsilon: \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

We thus have a commutative diagram, where  $\xi$  is induced by multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_X^\vee \otimes S_Y^\vee & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \end{array} \quad (12)$$

The canonical isomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(S_X, S_Y^\vee)$  allows us to rewrite  $\varepsilon$  as the map sending  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(S_X, S_Y^\vee)$  to  $\varepsilon(\varphi) = \varphi_*[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y]$ , where  $\cup$  stands for the cup-product pairing

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, S_Y^\vee) \times H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, S_Y) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times Y, S_Y^\vee \otimes S_Y) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

We write  $p_X: X \times_k Y \rightarrow X$  and  $p_Y: X \times_k Y \rightarrow Y$  for the natural projections. Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are geometrically integral over the separably closed field  $k$ , we can choose base points  $x_0 \in X(k)$  and  $y_0 \in Y(k)$ . We have the induced map

$$(\mathrm{id}_X, y_0)^*: H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

and a similar map for  $Y$ . Using these maps we see that

$$(p_X^*, p_Y^*): H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \quad (13)$$

is split injective, so we have an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}}, \quad (14)$$

where  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}}$  is the intersection of kernels of  $(\mathrm{id}_X, y_0)^*$  and  $(x_0, \mathrm{id}_Y)^*$ . Since  $k$  is separably closed, we have  $H^i(k, M) = 0$  for any abelian group  $M$  and any  $i \geq 1$ . Thus  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y]$  goes to zero under the maps induced by the restrictions to  $x_0 \times Y$  and to  $X \times y_0$ . This implies that  $\mathrm{Im}(\varepsilon) \subset H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}}$ .

The following is a corrected version of [SZ14, Thm. 2.6].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be proper and geometrically integral varieties over a separably closed field  $k$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer not divisible by  $\mathrm{char}(k)$ . Then we have the following statements.*

- (i) *Write  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n), \mathbb{Z}/n)$  and similarly for  $Y$ . The maps  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  defined above fit into the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathrm{Hom}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)^\vee, H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \\ \cup \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \varepsilon \\ H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \longleftarrow \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}} \end{array} \quad (15)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is an isomorphism.

- (ii) *If  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ -module (which holds if  $\mathrm{NS}(X)[n] = 0$ ), then  $\xi$  is an isomorphism, so we have*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus (H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)).$$

*Proof.* Part (ii) is the degree 2 case of [Mil80, Cor. VI.8.13].

Let us prove (i). Diagram (15) is obtained from diagram (12) since  $\text{Im}(\varepsilon)$  is a subset of  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}}$ , as explained above. It remains to show that  $\varepsilon$  is an isomorphism. From the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{ét}}^p(X, H_{\text{ét}}^q(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

we get an isomorphism  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}} \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n))$ . As a particular case of (8) we get an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \cong \text{Hom}(S_Y, S_X^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}(S_X, S_Y^\vee).$$

Thus the source and the target of  $\varepsilon$  are isomorphic finite abelian groups. One can finish the proof following the original arguments in [SZ14] with small adjustments; see [CTS21, pp. 161–162] for this revised proof.

Here we give a short proof communicated to us by Yang Cao. Since the source and the target of  $\varepsilon$  have the same cardinality, it is enough to show that

$$\varepsilon: \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)_{\text{prim}}$$

is *injective*. More generally, for an integer  $m|n$  consider the map

$$\varepsilon_m: \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/m) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/m)_{\text{prim}}$$

defined via pairing with  $[\mathcal{T}_X] \cup [\mathcal{T}_Y]$ . We prove that  $\varepsilon_m$  is injective by induction on  $m|n$ . If  $p$  is a prime, the usual Künneth formula [Mil80, Cor. VI.8.13] for the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  implies that the cup-product map

$$\cup: H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{F}_p)_{\text{prim}}$$

is an isomorphism. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(S_X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \text{Hom}(S_Y, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_p \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times_k Y, \mathbb{Z}/p)_{\text{prim}} \end{array} \quad (16)$$

In this case  $\xi$  is an isomorphism, hence  $\varepsilon_p$  is also an isomorphism.

Now for a positive integer  $m|n$  assume that  $\varepsilon_a$  is injective for all  $a|m$ ,  $a \neq m$ . Write  $m = ab$ . The exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/b \longrightarrow 0$$

gives rise to the long exact sequences of étale cohomology groups of  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $X \times Y$ , which are linked by the split injective maps (13). Using (14) and the well-known fact that  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/b)_{\text{prim}} = 0$  (see [SZ14, Cor. 1.8] or [CTS21, Thm. 5.7.7 (i)]) we

obtain that the top row of the following commutative diagram is exact (see [CTS21, p. 160] for an alternative argument):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/a)_{\text{prim}} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/m)_{\text{prim}} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/b)_{\text{prim}} \\
& & \uparrow \varepsilon_a & & \uparrow \varepsilon_m & & \uparrow \varepsilon_b \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/a) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/m) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(S_X \otimes S_Y, \mathbb{Z}/b)
\end{array}$$

The bottom row is obviously exact. The diagram implies that the middle map is injective too. We conclude that  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$  is injective, hence an isomorphism.

## References

- [CTS21] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A.N. Skorobogatov. *The Brauer–Grothendieck group*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 71*, Springer, 2021.
- [F] G. Faltings. Zentralblatt review of [SZ14]. Zbl 1295.14021
- [Hat02] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [Mil80] J.S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*. Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [Sko01] A.N. Skorobogatov. *Torsors and rational points*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **144**, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [SZ14] A.N. Skorobogatov and Yu.G. Zarhin. The Brauer group and the Brauer–Manin set of products of varieties. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **16** (2014) 749–768.