Stochastic regularization effects of semi-martingales on random functions

Romain Duboscq¹ Anthony Réveillac¹

¹INSA de Toulouse, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse

London Paris Bachelier Workshop,

London, 25-26 September 2015

Stochastic regularization

Itô-Wentzell-Tanaka trick

Stochastic regularization in a nutshell

The following slides are based on the lecture notes of Franco Flandoli (2015) and on his St. Flour lecture Notes "Random Perturbation of PDEs and Fluid Dynamic Models" (2010).

• Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non smooth bounded measurable map

- Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non smooth bounded measurable map
- Perturb it by adding a Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ as:

 $\varphi(x + B_t)$

- Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non smooth bounded measurable map
- Perturb it by adding a Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ as:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B_t})$$

• Take expectation and set:

$$u(t,x) := \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(x+B_t)\right]$$

- Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non smooth bounded measurable map
- Perturb it by adding a Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ as:

$$\varphi(x + B_t)$$

• Take expectation and set:

$$u(t,x) := \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(x+B_t)\right]$$

The map u is smooth and solves the Heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta u, \quad u(0,\cdot) = \varphi(\cdot),$$

and

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t^{heat}(x-y)\varphi(y)dy.$$

• Consider the following ODE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$

for some $b: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

• Consider the following ODE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$

for some $b: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

• When *b* is not smooth, uniqueness may fail...

• Consider the following ODE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$

for some $b: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

- When b is not smooth, uniqueness may fail...
- Take for instance d = 1 and b(t, x) := b(x) := 2sgn(x)√|x| and x₀ := 0, then every function of the form

$$X_t := \pm (t - t_0)^2 \mathbf{1}_{t \ge t_0}, \ t_0 \ge 0$$

is solution.

• Consider the following ODE:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$

for some $b: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

- When b is not smooth, uniqueness may fail...
- Take for instance d = 1 and b(t, x) := b(x) := 2sgn(x)√|x| and x₀ := 0, then every function of the form

$$X_t := \pm (t - t_0)^2 \mathbf{1}_{t \ge t_0}, \ t_0 \ge 0$$

is solution.

What is then a good solution?

• Add some noise:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \ X_0 = x_0,$$

where $(B_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$.

• Add some noise:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \ X_0 = x_0,$$

where $(B_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$.

• Why is it useful?

• Add some noise:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \ X_0 = x_0,$$

where $(B_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$.

- Why is it useful?
- Selection of solutions: Assume that for any σ there exists a unique solution, then let \mathbb{P}_{σ} denotes its law. Then prove that $(\mathbb{P}_{\sigma})_{\sigma>0}$ is tight and converges in law (as σ tends to 0) to some measure supported on the set of solutions to the ODE.

For instance, Bafico and Baldi (81') proved that for $b(x) = 2\text{sgn}(x)\sqrt{|x|}$ and $x_0 = 0$ it converges to:

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta_{+t^2}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{-t^2}.$$

Add some noise:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \ X_0 = x_0,$$

where $(B_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$.

• (Veretennikov 81') If *b* is bounded then the equation admits pathwise uniqueness.

Add some noise:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \ X_0 = x_0,$$

where $(B_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$.

- (Veretennikov 81') If *b* is bounded then the equation admits pathwise uniqueness.
- (Krylov-Röckner 05') If *b* belongs to $L^q([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$ ($p, q \ge 2$) then the equation admits pathwise uniqueness.

Add some noise:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \ X_0 = x_0,$$

where $(B_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$.

- (Veretennikov 81') If *b* is bounded then the equation admits pathwise uniqueness.
- (Krylov-Röckner 05') If *b* belongs to $L^q([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$ ($p, q \ge 2$) then the equation admits pathwise uniqueness.
- How does it work?

• Recall that:

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sigma B_t$$

We try to get regularity of the blue term using the Itô-Tanaka Trick.

• Recall that:

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sigma B_t$$

We try to get regularity of the blue term using the Itô-Tanaka Trick.

• Example: use the celebrated Itô-Tanaka formula for $b = \delta_a$ and for B:

$$\int_0^t \delta_a(B_s) ds = |B_t - a| - |a| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s - a) dB_s.$$

• Recall that:

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sigma B_t$$

We try to get regularity of the blue term using the Itô-Tanaka Trick.

• Example: use the celebrated Itô-Tanaka formula for $b = \delta_a$ and for B:

$$\int_0^t \delta_a(B_s) ds = |B_t - a| - |a| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s - a) dB_s.$$

• Idea: to express $\int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds$ by means of more regular objects

The Itô-Tanaka trick

• Apply Itô's formula with a smooth mapping U:

$$U(t, X_t) = U(T, X_T) - \int_t^T \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + b \cdot \nabla U + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \Delta U\right)(s, X_s) ds$$
$$-\sigma \int_t^T \nabla U(s, X_s) dB_s$$

The Itô-Tanaka trick

• Apply Itô's formula with a smooth mapping U:

$$U(t, X_t) = U(T, X_T) - \int_t^T \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + b \cdot \nabla U + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \Delta U\right)(s, X_s) ds$$
$$-\sigma \int_t^T \nabla U(s, X_s) dB_s$$

• So if U is solution to the Fokker-Planck (Backward) PDE

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + b \cdot \nabla U + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta U = -b, \quad U(T, x) = 0,$$

then

$$\int_t^T b(s, X_s) ds = -U(t, X_t) + \sigma \int_t^T \nabla U(s, X_s) dB_s$$

and so

$$X_t = x_0 + U(0, x_0) - U(t, X_t) + \sigma \int_0^t (\nabla U(s, X_s) + Id.) dB_s.$$

Applications of the Itô-Tanaka trick to SPDEs

• The Itô-Tanaka Trick can be used to obtain new results in linear transport equations by introducing a stochastic perturbation (see *Flandoli, Gubinelli, Priola; 10'; Invent. Math.*).

Applications of the Itô-Tanaka trick to SPDEs

- The Itô-Tanaka Trick can be used to obtain new results in linear transport equations by introducing a stochastic perturbation (see *Flandoli, Gubinelli, Priola; 10'; Invent. Math.*).
- Limitation to other problems: (Flandoli *et al.*)

"The generalization to nonlinear transport equations, where b depends on u itself, would be a major next step for applications to fluid dynamics but it turns out to be a difficult problem. Specifically there are already some difficulties in dealing with a vector field b which depends itself on the random perturbation W. There is no obvious extension of the Itô-Tanaka trick to integrals of the form $\int_0^T f(\omega, s, X_s^*(\omega)) ds$ with random f."

Stochastic regularization

Itô-Wentzell-Tanaka trick

Generalizations to random mappings

The problem pointed out previously is to provide an expression for:

$$\int_0^T f(s,\omega,X_s)ds,$$

where f is now random (previously we had f = b where b was deterministic) in a predictable way.

• If we reproduce the ideas before we need to consider the Fokker-Planck SPDE:

$$U(t,x) = -\int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + b(s,\omega,x)\cdot\nabla\right) U(s,x)ds - \int_t^T f(s,\omega,x)ds.$$

Generalizations to random mappings

The problem pointed out previously is to provide an expression for:

$$\int_0^T f(s,\omega,X_s)ds,$$

where f is now random (previously we had f = b where b was deterministic) in a predictable way.

٠

• If we reproduce the ideas before we need to consider the Fokker-Planck SPDE:

$$U(t,x) = -\int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + b(s,\omega,x)\cdot\nabla\right) U(s,x)ds - \int_t^T f(s,\omega,x)ds.$$

• But: in that case U(t, x) is not adapted (even if the data b, f are adapted) so you can not use classical Itô calculus and the previous approach fails.

Generalizations to random mappings

• Idea: make it adapted, and consider rather the following Fokker-Planck BSPDE:

$$U^{a}(t,x) = -\int_{t}^{T} \mathcal{L}_{s} U^{a}(s,x) ds - \int_{t}^{T} f(s,\omega,x) ds - \int_{t}^{T} Z(s,x) dB_{s},$$

with $\mathcal{L}_{s} := \frac{1}{2}\Delta + b(s,\omega,x) \cdot \nabla.$

If solvable, U^a and Z are two predictable processes.

Itô-Wentzell-Tanaka trick

Theorem (Duboscq, R.)

Assume that U^a and Z exist and are regular enough, then

$$\int_0^T f(s, \omega, X_s) ds = -U^a(0, X_0) - \int_0^T \left(\nabla U^a(s, X_s) + Z(s, X_s)\right) dB_s$$
$$-\int_0^T \nabla Z(s, X_s) ds, \ \mathbb{P} - a.s..$$

Itô-Wentzell-Tanaka trick

Theorem (Duboscq, R.)

Assume that U^a and Z exist and are regular enough, then

$$\int_0^T f(s,\omega,X_s)ds = -U^a(0,X_0) - \int_0^T \left(\nabla U^a(s,X_s) + Z(s,X_s)\right) dB_s$$
$$-\int_0^T \nabla Z(s,X_s)ds, \ \mathbb{P}-a.s..$$

Now we need to study the BSPDE and the regularity of (U^a, Z) .

Analysis of the BSPDE

Theorem (Duboscq, R.)

Let $p, q \ge 2$. Assume that b, f are adapted and that f belongs to a " $L^p - L^q$ space " and is Malliavin differentiable. There exists a unique strong (predictable) solution to the Fokker-Planck BSPDE

 $(U^a, Z) \in ("L^p - L^q \text{ space }")^2$.

Futhermore, we have the following representation of U^a

$$U^{a}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[-\int_{t}^{T} P_{t,r}^{X} f(r,x) dr \Big| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right].$$
(1)

In addition, for a.e. (t, x), $U^a(t, x)$ is Malliavin differentiable, and for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a version of the process $(Z(t, x))_{t \in [0,T]}$ is given by

$$Z(t,x) = D_t U^a(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[-\int_t^T D_t P_{t,r}^X f(r,x) dr \Big| \mathcal{F}_t\right].$$
(2)

Analysis of the BSPDE

Theorem (Duboscq, R.)

... Finally, U^a admits the following mild (a.k.a. Duhamel's formula) representation

$$U^{a}(t,x) = -\int_{t}^{T} P_{t,r}^{X} f(r,x) dr - \int_{t}^{T} P_{t,r}^{X} Z(r,x) dB_{r}, \quad (3)$$

where $P^{X}\phi$ is the unique solution to:

$$P_{s,t}^{X}\phi(x) = \phi(x) - \int_{s}^{t} \mathcal{L}_{r}P_{r,t}^{X}\phi(x)dr, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

Analysis of the BSPDE

Remarks

- We are not working in L^2
- We provide an explicit representation which is a counterpart of the one for linear BSDEs (no reversibility of the semigroup)
- Malliavin differentiability in $L^p L^q$ spaces is not completely trivial...there are catches
- Duhamel's formula in that context is new