

# Stratified Regression Monte Carlo method for BSDEs and GPU implementation

[emmanuel.gobet@polytechnique.edu](mailto:emmanuel.gobet@polytechnique.edu)

Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées and FiME,  
Ecole Polytechnique and CNRS  
Université Paris-Saclay



With the support of  La **FONDATION** du **RISQUE**

Joint work with J. Salas (U. da Coruña), P. Turkedjiev (EP), C. Vasquez (UdC).

## STRUCTURE OF THE TALK

1. BSDE setting
2. Usual Regression Monte Carlo methods [**G'-Turkedjiev, Math Comp 2015**]
  - ✓ Algorithm
  - ✓ Error estimates
  - ✓ Stronger implementation constraint: memory
3. Stratified version
  - ✓ Randomization and norms equivalence
  - ✓ Error estimates
  - ✓ Complexity and memory analysis
4. Numerical tests

## 1) BSDE SETTING

**Standard BSDE** with *fixed terminal time*  $T$ :

$$\mathbf{Y}_t = \xi + \int_t^T \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{Y}_s, \mathbf{Z}_s) ds - \int_t^T \mathbf{Z}_s d\mathbf{W}_s,$$

- ✓ driving noise = Brownian Motion  $W$
- ✓ Lipschitz driver  $f$ , terminal condition  $\xi \in L_2$
- ✓ Markovian BSDE:  $f(s, \omega, y, z) = f(s, X_s, y, z)$  and  $\xi = g(X_T)$  for a diffusion  $X$  with coefficients  $(b, \sigma)$
- ✓ Non-linear pricing in finance

**Multidimensional unknown:**  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

**Markovian BSDE:**  $\mathbf{Y}_t = \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{X}_t) \dots$

**Approximation/simulation** in 2 stages:

1. time-discretization (numerous works under rather general settings)
2. solving the dynamic programming equation (nested cond. expect., few works)

$$\text{TIME DISCRETIZATION OF } Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s$$

Discretization along *equidistant* time grid  $\pi := \{0 = t_0 < \dots < t_N = T\}$ :

- ✓  $(i + 1)$ -th time-step is  $\Delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i = T/N$ ;
- ✓ related Brownian motion increments  $\Delta W_i := W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}$ .

### Heuristic derivation

From  $Y_{t_i} = Y_{t_{i+1}} + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} Z_s dW_s$ , we derive

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{t}_i} = \mathbb{E}(Y_{t_{i+1}} + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds | \mathcal{F}_{t_i})$$

$$\approx \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}_i, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}_i}, \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{t}_i}) \Delta_i | \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{t}_i}),$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{t}_i} \Delta_i \approx \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} Z_s ds | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\left[Y_{t_{i+1}} + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds\right] \Delta W_i^\top | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}\right)$$

$$\approx \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}} \Delta \mathbf{W}_i^\top | \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{t}_i}) \quad (\text{where } \top \text{ denotes the transpose}).$$

## Dynamic programming equations

★ **O**ne-step forward **D**ynamic **P**rogramming equation

$$\begin{cases} Y_i &= \mathbb{E}_i (Y_{i+1} + f_i(Y_{i+1}, Z_i)\Delta_i), & 0 \leq i < N, & Y_N = \xi. \\ \Delta_i Z_i &= \mathbb{E}_i (Y_{i+1} \Delta W_i^\top), & 0 \leq i < N. \end{cases} \quad (\text{ODP})$$

✓  $X$  could be approximated by a path-wise approximation (e.g. Euler scheme)

✓ For  $f$  and  $g$  Lipschitz, the  $L_2$ -error is of order  $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

★ **M**ulti-Step forward **D**ynamic **P**rogramming equation:

$$\begin{cases} Y_i &= \mathbb{E}_i \left( \xi + \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} f_k(Y_{k+1}, Z_k)\Delta_k \right), \\ \Delta_i Z_i &= \mathbb{E}_i \left( [\xi + \sum_{k=i+1}^{N-1} f_k(Y_{k+1}, Z_k)\Delta_k] \Delta W_i^\top \right). \end{cases} \quad (\text{MDP})$$

✓ Without extra approximation, **ODP**  $\iff$  **MDP**.

✓  Differences occur when conditional expectations are approximated: **MDP** > **ODP**

## 2) USUAL REGRESSION MONTE CARLO METHOD

- ✓ Markovian representations:  $Y_i = y_i(X_i)$  and  $Z_i = z_i(X_i)$
- ✓ Computations of  $y$  and  $z$  on approximation spaces  $\mathcal{F}_i^Y, \mathcal{F}_i^Z$  (finite dimensional vector spaces)
- ✓  $N$  independent learning samples: at time  $i$ ,  $[(X_j^{i,m})_{0 \leq j \leq N}, \Delta W_i^{i,m}]_{1 \leq m \leq M}$ .
- Initialization : for  $i = N$  take  $y_N^{\mathcal{F},M}(\cdot) = g(\cdot)$ .
- Iteration : for  $i = N - 1, \dots, 0$ , solve the empirical least-squares problems

$$z_i^{\mathcal{F},M} = \operatorname{arginf}_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_i^Z} \sum_{m=1}^M \left| \left[ g(X_N^{i,m}) + \sum_{j \geq i+1} f(t_j, X_j^{i,m}, y_{j+1}^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_{j+1}^{i,m}), z_j^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_j^{i,m})) \Delta_j \right] \frac{\Delta W_i^{i,m}}{\Delta_i} - \varphi(X_i^{i,m}) \right|^2,$$

$$y_i^{\mathcal{F},M} = \operatorname{arginf}_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_i^Y} \sum_{m=1}^M \left| g(X_N^{i,m}) + \sum_{j \geq i} f(t_j, X_j^{i,m}, y_{j+1}^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_{j+1}^{i,m}), z_j^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_j^{i,m})) \Delta_j - \varphi(X_i^{i,m}) \right|^2.$$

- ✓ Apply soft thresholding with explicit constants.

**Theorem (Non asymptotic error estimates).**  $\exists C$  (explicit) s.t.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|y_i^{\mathcal{F},M}(\cdot) - y_i(\cdot)\|_{i,M}^2 \right] \leq C \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_i^Y} \mathbb{E} |\varphi(X_i) - y_i(X_i)|^2 + C \frac{\dim(\mathcal{F}_i^Y)}{M} + C \sum_{j=i}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}(j) \Delta_j,$$

$$\sum_{j=i}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|z_j^{\mathcal{F},M}(\cdot) - z_j(\cdot)\|_{j,M}^2 \right] \Delta_j \leq C \sum_{j=i}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}(j) \Delta_j,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(j) := \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_j^Y} \mathbb{E} |\varphi(X_j) - y_j(X_j)|^2 + \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_j^Z} \mathbb{E} |\varphi(X_j) - z_j(X_j)|^2 + \left( \dim(\mathcal{F}_j^Y) + \frac{\dim(\mathcal{F}_j^Z)}{\Delta_j} \right) \frac{\log(M)}{M}.$$

😊 Estimates are sharp

😊 Explicit error bounds, robust w.r.t. the model

😞 Simulation effort:  $\mathbf{M} \geq \Delta_i^{-1} \max(\mathbf{N} \dim(\mathcal{F}_i^Z), \dim(\mathcal{F}_i^Y))$

😞 Memory effort:  $\max \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}} \dim(\mathcal{F}_i^Z) + \dim(\mathcal{F}_i^Y), \mathbf{NM} \right) = \mathbf{NM}$

😞 In this form, no clear parallelization

✓ **Optimal parameters:**  $L_2$ -error = Computational Cost  $\frac{1}{8 + \frac{\text{dimension}}{\text{smoothness of } z}}$ .

### 3) STRATIFICATION

#### Two objectives:

- ✓ Relaxing the requirement on  $M$
- ✓ Allowing parallel computations

#### First choice: local approximations

- ✓ partition of the state space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in **strata**  $\rightsquigarrow$  finite number of disjoint sets  $(\mathcal{H}_k)_k$
- ✓ on each set  $\mathcal{H}_k$ , (local) polynomial
  - ▶ **LP0**: piecewise constant approximation
  - ▶ **LP1**: linear approximation
- ✓ function spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{Y,k}, \mathcal{L}_{Z,k}$  of dimension 1 or  $d + 1$
- ✓ to get a statistical error of order  $N^{-1}$ , only  $N^2$  simulations in  $\mathcal{H}_k$  are required



## Second choice: stratified simulations and regressions

- ✓  $\nu =$  probability distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- ✓  $\nu_k =$  restriction of  $\nu$  to  $\mathcal{H}_k$
- ⚠ one should be able to simulate according to  $\nu_k$
- ✓ In our test: take  $\mathcal{H}_k$  as hypercube and  $\nu$  with independent coordinates, having the logistic distribution (1d-CDF is  $F_\mu(x) = e^{\mu x} / (1 + e^{\mu x})$ )
- ✓ At each date  $t_i$  and each stratum  $\mathcal{H}_k$ , draw  $M$  simulations according to  $\nu_k$  and start independent  $M$  diffusion/Euler scheme from these  $M$  points.

$$z_i^{\mathcal{F},M} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \operatorname{arginf}_{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{Z,k}} \sum_{m=1}^M \left| \left[ g(X_N^{i,k,m}) + \sum_{j \geq i+1} f(t_j, X_j^{i,k,m}, y_{j+1}^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_{j+1}^{i,k,m}), z_j^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_j^{i,k,m})) \Delta_j \right] \times \frac{\Delta W_i^{i,k,m}}{\Delta_i} - \varphi(X_i^{i,k,m}) \right|^2,$$

$$y_i^{\mathcal{F},M} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \operatorname{arginf}_{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{Y,k}} \sum_{m=1}^M \left| g(X_N^{i,k,m}) + \sum_{j \geq i} f(t_j, X_j^{i,k,m}, y_{j+1}^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_{j+1}^{i,k,m}), z_j^{\mathcal{F},M}(X_j^{i,k,m})) \Delta_j - \varphi(X_i^{i,k,m}) \right|^2.$$



This can be done in parallel on different processors.

**CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS**

To allow the control of errors propagation, one should wonder whether

$$X_j^{i,\nu} \stackrel{d}{=} X_j^{j,\nu} (= \nu)?$$

- ✓ In general NO, since  $\nu$  is not a stationary distribution and  $X$  is not ergodic
- ✓ But, under mild assumptions on  $b$  and  $\sigma$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_j^{i,\nu})|^2 \right) \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \nu(d\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{h},$$

with a constant  $c$  uniform in  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq N$ .

Property called **USES** (Uniform Sub Exponential Sandwiching): very useful (see Importance Sampling scheme for BSDE, G'-Turkedjiev 2015).

**Theorem (Error estimates for LP0 and LP1 spaces).** For some explicit constant  $C$ , one has

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y_i^{\mathcal{F},M}(x) - y_i(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right] \leq C \mathcal{E}(i) + C \sum_{j=i}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}(j) \Delta_j,$$

$$\sum_{j=i}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z_j^{\mathcal{F},M}(x) - z_j(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right] \Delta_j \leq C \sum_{j=i}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}(j) \Delta_j,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(j) := & \sum_k \nu(\mathcal{H}_k) \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{Y,k}} \int_{\mathcal{H}_k} |\varphi(x) - y_j(x)|^2 \nu_k(dx) \\ & + \sum_k \nu(\mathcal{H}_k) \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{Z,k}} \int_{\mathcal{H}_k} |\varphi(x) - z_j(x)|^2 \nu_k(dx) + \frac{\log(\mathbf{M})}{\Delta_j \mathbf{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

Better dependency on  $M$ .

## STRATIFIED ALGORITHM (SRMDP) VS NON-STRATIFIED (LSMDP)

Algorithm	Number of simulations		Computational cost	
	<b>LP0</b>	<b>LP1</b>	<b>LP0</b>	<b>LP1</b>
SRMDP	$N^2$	$N^2$	$N^{4+d/2}$	$N^{4+d/4}$
LSMDP	$N^{2+d/2}$	$N^{2+d/4}$	$N^{4+d/2}$	$N^{4+d/4}$

Comparison of numerical parameters as a function of  $N$ .

Algorithm	<b>LP0</b>	<b>LP1</b>
SRMDP	$N^{1+d/2}$	$N^{1+d/4} \vee N^2$
LSMDP	$N^{2+d/2}$	$N^{2+d/4}$

Comparison of shared memory requirement as a function of  $N$ .



Recall that LSMDP can not take advantage of parallel architecture.

## 4) NUMERICAL TESTS

Define the function  $\omega(t, x) = \exp(t + \sum_{j=1}^d x_j)$ .

We perform numerical experiments on the BSDE with data

- ✓  $g(x) = \omega(T, x)(1 + \omega(T, x))^{-1}$
- ✓  $f(t, x, y, z) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^d z_j\right) \left(y - \frac{2+d}{2d}\right)$

**Explicit solution:**

$$y_i(x) = \omega(t_i, x)(1 + \omega(t_i, x))^{-1}, \quad z_{j,i}(x) = \omega(t_i, x)(1 + \omega(t_i, x))^{-2}.$$

**Computer:**

- ✓ GPU GeForce GTX TITAN Black with 6 GBytes of global memory
- ✓ Intel Xeon CPU E5-2620 v2 clocked at 2.10 GHz with 62 GBytes of RAM, CentOS Linux, NVIDIA CUDA SDK 7.0 and GNU C compiler 4.8.2.
- ✓  $256 \times 64$  threads configuration

$$MSE_{Y,\max} := \ln \left\{ 10^{-3} \max_{0 \leq i \leq N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{10^3} |y_i(R_{i,m}) - y_i^{\mathcal{F},M}(R_{i,m})|^2 \right\},$$

$$MSE_{Y,\text{av}} := \ln \left\{ 10^{-3} N^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{10^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |y_i(R_{i,m}) - y_i^{\mathcal{F},M}(R_{i,m})|^2 \right\},$$

$$MSE_{Z,\text{av}} := \ln \left\{ 10^{-3} N^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{10^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |z_i(R_{i,m}) - z_i^{\mathcal{F},M}(R_{i,m})|^2 \right\}.$$

★  $d = 4$ , **LP0**

$\Delta_t$	#CUBES	$M$	$MSE_{Y,\max}$	$MSE_{Y,\text{av}}$	$MSE_{Z,\text{av}}$	CPU	GPU
0.2	8	25	-3.712973	-3.774071	-0.964842	1.74	2.00
0.1	12	100	-4.066741	-4.303750	-1.607104	112.64	2.20
0.05	17	400	-4.337988	-4.698645	-2.302092	6462.19	12.39
0.02	28	2500	-4.472564	-4.988069	-3.225411		3070.92

★  $d = 6$ , **LP0**

$\Delta_t$	#CUBES	$M$	$MSE_{Y,\max}$	$MSE_{Y,\text{av}}$	$MSE_{Z,\text{av}}$	CPU	GPU
0.2	4	25	-2.707882	-2.784022	-0.477751	2.52	1.94
0.1	6	100	-3.195937	-3.294488	-1.133834	374.19	2.44
0.05	8	400	-3.505867	-3.664396	-1.795697	29172.89	52.20

★  $d = 12$ , **LP1**

$\Delta_t$	#CUBES	$M$	$MSE_{Y,\max}$	$MSE_{Y,\text{av}}$	$MSE_{Z,\text{av}}$	CPU	GPU
0.2	2	2000	-3.111153	-3.232051	-1.297737	646.55	10.03
0.2	3	4000	-3.214096	-3.272644	-1.821935		2086.94

★  $d = 16$ , **LP1**

$\Delta_t$	#CUBES	$M$	$MSE_{Y,\max}$	$MSE_{Y,\text{av}}$	$MSE_{Z,\text{av}}$	CPU	GPU
0.2	2	6000	-2.795353	-2.959375	-1.588716	45587.17	669.28