PROBLEM CLASS: FINITE DIFFERENCES FOR AMERICAN OPTIONS

Consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with a filtration $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ and a \mathbb{P} -Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$. Let $(S_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ be an asset price process $S_t : [0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$. Denote by r a risk-free interest rate and consider an equivalent martingale measure $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ such that discounted price process is a \mathbb{Q} -martingale. Under \mathbb{Q} the dynamics of the stock price read

$$\mathrm{d}S_t = rS_t \mathrm{d}t + \sigma S_t \mathrm{d}W_t^{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $W^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a Q-Brownian motion. Consider a European option on S with maturity T and payoff $g(\cdot)$, and denote the *t*-time value of the option by v(t,s). We know that v(t,s) is the solution to the well-known Black-Scholes PDE

$$\mathcal{L}^{r}v(t,s) := \mathcal{L}v(t,s) - rv(t,s) = 0,$$

for all $(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,T)$ with terminal condition v(T,s) = g(s), where the differential operator \mathcal{L} is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}v(t,s) := rs\partial_s v(t,s) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}s^2\partial_{ss}v(t,s) + \partial_t v(t,s).$$

Now, consider an American option on S, the pay-off $A_T = g(s)$ where A_t is a random variable representing the pay-off of an American claim at time t. Since an American option can be exercised at any time before the final maturity, the Snell envelope of the discounted pay-off v(t,s) satisfies

$$v(t,s) := \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,T}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left\{ e^{-r(T-\tau)} A_{\tau} | \mathcal{F}_t \right\},\,$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{t,T}$ is a set of stopping times valued in [0,T]. In fact v(t,s) is the value of an American option. The following lemma links the value of an American option to the Black-Scholes PDE via the variational inequality.

Lemma 0.1. The price of an American option with pay-off $g(\cdot)$ is a function $v : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following variational inequality

$$\min\left\{-\mathcal{L}^r v(t,s), v(t,s) - g(s)\right\} = 0, \qquad \text{for all } (t,s) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

In particular the lemma implies that $\mathcal{L}^r v(t,s) = 0$ for all (t,s) in the continuation region \mathcal{C} and v(t,s) = g(s) for all (t,s) in the exercise region \mathcal{S} , where

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ (t,s) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ : v(t,s) > g(s) \},\$$
$$\mathcal{S} := \{ (t,s) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ : v(t,s) = g(s) \}.$$

Date: January 24, 2017.

Lemma 0.2. Suppose that there exists a function $w(t,s) \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

(1)
$$\mathcal{L}^r w(t,s) \leq 0,$$
$$g(s) \leq w(t,s).$$

Then $v(t,s) \leq w(t,s)$ for all $(t,s) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

The second lemma in particular implies that the price of the European call option on a nondividend paying stock is equal to the price of an American option with the same characteristics. The usual Put-Call parity for European Options does not hold in general. However one can find a similar relationship for American Options.

Lemma 0.3. Let P be the price of an American Put Option and C the price of an American Call Option with strike K and maturity T. Let the price of the underlying today is S_0 and r be the risk-free interest rate. The the following inequalities hold

$$S_0 - K \le C - P \le S_0 - K e^{-rT}.$$

Proof. Exercise.