Introduction	General Framework	Toy models
0000000	0000	00

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis 0000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conclusion 00

#### The Micro-Price

#### Sasha Stoikov

Cornell University

Jim Gatheral @ NYU

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov mode 0000000 Data Analysis 0000000

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Conclusion 00

## High frequency traders (HFT)

- HFTs are good:
  - Optimal order splitting
  - Pairs trading / statistical arbitrage
  - Market making / liquidity provision
  - Latency arbitrage
  - Sentiment analysis of news
- HFTs are evil:
  - The flash crash
  - Front running
  - Market manipulation and spoofing

General Framework 0000 Toy models

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

æ

#### HFTs care about the imbalance



Figure: Buy and sell volume conditional on (pre-trade) Imbalance

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
000000		00	0000000	0000000	00
The mid	l-price				

- The mid-price  $M = \frac{P^b + P^a}{2}$
- P<sup>b</sup> is the best bid price
- P<sup>a</sup> is the best ask price
- Not a martingale (Bid-ask bounce)
- Low frequency signal
- Doesn't use volume at the best bid and ask prices.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Introduction 0000000	General Framework	Toy models 00	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis 0000000	Conclusion 00

#### The weighted mid-price

- The weighted mid-price  $M^w = IP^a + (1 I)P^b$
- The imbalance  $I = \frac{Q^b}{Q^b + Q^a}$
- $Q^b$  is the bid size and  $Q^a$  is the ask size.
- Gatheral and Oomen (2009)
- Not a martingale
- Noisy
- Counter-intuitive examples

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis
0000000	0000	00	000000	0000000

#### The weighted mid-price example

- Assume  $P^b = $32.17$ ,  $Q^b = 9$ ,  $P^a = $31.18$ ,  $Q^a = 1$
- Assume the second best ask is \$31.19 and the second best ask size is 27
- $M^w = $32.179 = 0.1 \cdot 32.17 + 0.9 \cdot 32.18$
- Order of size 1 at  $P^a =$ \$31.18 cancels
- New  $M^w = $32.1725 = 0.25 \cdot 32.17 + 0.75 \cdot 32.19$
- The 'fair' price just moved down after an ask order canceled?

General Framework 0000 Toy models

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis Doooooo

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Conclusion 00

## Features of the Micro-Price

- $P_t^{micro} = F(M_t, I_t, S_t)$
- Markov
- Martingale
- Computationally fast
- Better short term price predictions

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
000000		00	0000000	0000000	00
Outline					

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

- General definition
- Toy models
  - 1 micro-price = mid price
  - **2** micro-price = weighted mid price
- A discrete Markov model
- Data analysis
- Conclusion

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	●000	00		0000000	00
Micro-p	orice definitic	on			

Define

$$P_t^{micro} = \lim_{i \to \infty} P_t^i$$

where the approximating sequence of martingale prices is given by

$$P_t^i = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{\tau_i}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

•  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the information contained in the order book at time t.

•  $\tau_1, ..., \tau_n$  are (random) times when the mid-price  $M_t$  changes

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	0●00	00		0000000	00
^					

#### Assumption

Assumptions

The information in the order book is given by the 3 dimensional Markov process  $\mathcal{F}_t = (M_t, I_t, S_t)$  where  $M_t = \frac{1}{2}(P_t^b + P_t^a)$  is the mid-price  $S_t = \frac{1}{2}(P_t^a - P_t^b)$  is the bid-ask spread  $I_t = \frac{Q_t^b}{Q_t^b + Q_t^a}$  is the imbalance at the top of the order book.

#### Assumption

The dynamics of  $(M_t, I_t, S_t)$  is independent of the level  $M_t$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{\tau_1}-M_t|M_t,I_t,S_t\right] \triangleq g^1(I_t,S_t)$$

Introduction 0000000	General Framework 00●0	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis 0000000	Conclusion 00
Main re	esult				

#### Theorem

*Given Assumptions 1 and Assumption 2, the i-th approximation to the micro-price can be written as* 

$$P_t^i = M_t + \sum_{k=1}^i g^k(I_t, S_t)$$

where

$$g^1(I_t, S_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{\tau_1} - M_t | I_t, S_t\right]$$

and

$$g^{i+1}(I_t,S_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[g^i(I_{ au_1},S_{ au_1})|I_t,S_t
ight], orall j \geq 0$$

can be computed recursively.

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	000●	00		0000000	00
3 exam	ples				

- 1 Mid-price independent of imbalance
- 2 Brownian imbalance
- 3 Discrete-time, finite state space

Interesting questions:

- Does the micro-price converge?
- What does it converge to?
- Is the micro-price between the bid and the ask?
- Is it sensible for large tick and small tick stocks?

Introduction 0000000	General Framework	Toy models ●○	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis 0000000	Conclusion 00
First ex	ample				

#### lf

- $M_s M_t$  is independent of  $I_t$  for all s > t
- $M_t$  is a continuous time random walk. The jumps are binomial and symmetric, i.e.  $M_{\tau_{i+1}} - M_{\tau_i}$  takes values in (-1, 1), have up and down probabilities of 0.5.
- The spread  $S_t = 1$

then

$$P_t^{micro} = M_t$$

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	0000	○●		0000000	00
Second	example				

#### lf

- The process  $I_t$  is a Brownian motion on the interval [0, 1].
- Let  $\tau_{down} = \inf\{s > t : I_s = 0\}$  and  $\tau_{up} = \inf\{s > t : I_s = 1\}$ and  $\tau_1 = \min(\tau_{up}, \tau_{down})$
- When *I<sub>t</sub>* is absorbed to 1, the mid-price jumps up with probability 0.5 or bounces back with probability 0.5.
- When *I<sub>t</sub>* is absorbed to 0, the mid-price jumps down with probability 0.5 or bounces back with probability 0.5.
- The spread  $S_t = 1$

then

$$P_t^{micro} = M_t + I_t - \frac{1}{2}$$

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000		00	●000000	0000000	00
Assump	otions				

- The time step is now discrete with  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,
- The imbalance  $I_t$  takes discrete values  $1 \le i_l \le n$ ,
- The spread  $S_t$  takes discrete values  $1 \le i_S \le m$
- The mid-price changes  $M_{t+1} M_t$  takes integer values in  $K = \{k \mid 0 < |k| \le 2m\}.$
- Define the state  $X_t = (I_t, S_t)$  with discrete values  $1 \le i \le nm$

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	0000	00	○●○○○○○	0000000	00
Comput	$ing g^1$				

The first step approximation to the micro-price

$$g^{1}(i) = \mathbb{E} \left[ M_{\tau_{1}} - M_{t} | X_{t} = i \right]$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{k \in K} k \cdot \mathbb{P}(M_{\tau_{1}} - M_{t} = k | X_{t} = i)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{s} k \cdot \mathbb{P}(M_{\tau_{1}} - M_{t} = k \wedge \tau_{1} - t = s | X_{t} = i)$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis 0000000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Conclusion 00

## The transition probability matrix $T_1$

Then we define an *absorbing* Markov chain completely identified by the transition probability matrix  $T^1$  in canonical form:

$$T^1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} Q & R^1 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{array}\right)$$

- Q is nm × nm matrix
- R<sup>1</sup> is nm × 4m matrix
- $\mathbb{I}$  is the  $4m \times 4m$  matrix

Introduction 0000000	General Framework	Toy models 00	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis 0000000	Conclusion 00
Comput	ting $g^1$				

Absorbing states

$$R_{ik}^{1} := \mathbb{P}(M_{t+1} - M_{t} = k | X_{t} = i)$$

Transient states

$$Q_{ij} := \mathbb{P}(M_{t+1} - M_t = 0 \land X_{t+1} = j | X_t = i)$$

Note that  $R^1$  is an  $nm \times 4m$  matrix and Q is an  $nm \times nm$  matrix.

$$g^{1}(i) = \left(\sum_{s} Q^{s-1} R^{1}\right) \underline{k} = \left(1-Q\right)^{-1} R^{1} \underline{k}$$

where  $\underline{k} = \begin{bmatrix} -2m, -2m+1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots 2m-1, 2m \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < ⊙

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000		00	0000€00	0000000	00
Compu	ting $g^{i+1}$				

Define a new matrix of absorbing states

$$R_{ik}^2 := \mathbb{P}(M_{t+1} - M_t \neq 0 \land I_{t+1} = k | I_t = i)$$

Once again applying standard techniques for discrete time Markov processes with absorbing states

$$g^{i+1}(i) = \left(\sum_{s} Q^{s-1} R^2\right) g^i = \left(1 - Q\right)^{-1} R^2 g^i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis 0000000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Conclusion 00

#### Checking that the micro-price converges

Define 
$$B := (1 - Q)^{-1} R^2$$
.

#### Theorem

If B has strictly positive entries and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} B^k = W$  where W is the unique stationary distribution and  $Wg^1 = 0$ , then the limit

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}p_t^i=p_t^{micro}$$

converges.

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis 0000000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Conclusion 00

## A spectral decomposition for the micro-price

#### Perron-Frobenius decomposition

$$p_t^{micro} = \lim_{i \to \infty} p_t^i = M_t + \sum_{i=2}^{nm} \exp(\lambda_i) B_i g^1$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of *B* and *B<sub>i</sub>* are matrices formed from normalized left and right eigenvectors of *B*.

Introduction 0000000	General Framework	Toy models 00	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis ●000000	Conclusion 00
The da	ta				

# Bid and ask quotes for Bank of America (BAC) and Chevron (CVX), for the month of March 2011.



Figure: Spread histograms for BAC and CVX. BAC is a typical large tick stock and CVX is a typical small tick stock.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov model

Data Analysis 000000

Conclusion 00

## The in-sample estimation

- Estimate transition probabilities Q,  $R^1$  and  $R^2$
- Compute  $g^1 = (1-Q)^{-1}R^1\underline{k}$ . This function is symmetrized to ensure that  $g^1(i_l, i_s) = 1 g^1(n i_l, i_s)$ .
- Compute  $B = (1 Q)^{-1}R^2$ . This function is symmetrized to ensure that  $B_{(i_l,i_s),(j_l,j_s)} = B_{(n-i_l,i_s),(n-j_l,j_s)}$ . Note that the symmetrizing procedure ensures that  $Bg^1 = 0$  and that the micro-price converges as guaranteed by Theorem 2.
- Perform a spectral decomposition of B in terms of eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and matrices  $B_i$
- Compute the micro-price adjustment:

$$G^* = p^{micro} - M = \sum_{i=2}^{nm} \exp(\lambda_i) B_i g^1$$

Introduction 0000000	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model 0000000	Data Analysis 00●0000	Conclusion 00	





Figure:  $G^* = p_t^{micro} - M_t$  as a function of I and S

General Framework 0000 Toy models

Discrete Markov mode

Data Analysis 0000000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Conclusion 00

## Out of sample validation part 1

- Compute averages of  $M_{t+60} M_t$  grouped by  $I_t$  and  $S_t$  for 3 out of sample days
- Compare to G<sup>\*</sup> obtained from the first day or March.

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov mode 0000000 Data Analysis 0000●00 Conclusion 00

## Out of sample results part 1



Figure:  $G^*$  vs 1 min price predictions on three consecutive days

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 のへの

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov mode 0000000 Data Analysis 0000000

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Conclusion 00

## Out of sample validation part 2

- Compute averages of  $M_{t+60} M_t$ ,  $M_{t+300} M_t$  and  $M_{t+600} M_t$  grouped by  $I_t$  and  $S_t$  for the entire month of March.
- Compare to  $G^*$  obtained from the first day or March.

General Framework

Toy models

Discrete Markov mode

Data Analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

## Out of sample results part 2



Figure:  $G^*$  vs 1min, 5min and 10min price predictions for March 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	0000	00	0000000	0000000	●○
Summar	у				

- Have defined the micro-price as the expected mid-price in the distant future
- When fitting a Markov model, we have conditions that ensures this micro-price converges
- **3** Micro-price is a good predictor of future mid prices
- **4** Micro-price can fit very different microstructures
- 6 Micro-price needs less data to converge than averaging mid price changes over fixed horizons

- 6 Micro-price is horizon independent
- 7 Micro-price seems to live between the bid and the ask

Introduction	General Framework	Toy models	Discrete Markov model	Data Analysis	Conclusion
0000000	0000	00		0000000	○●
Future	work				

- 1 Including other factors than imbalance and spread
- 2 Continuous models for the micro-price
- 3 Connections to quantities such as volatility, volume and tick size

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- **4** High frequency volatility and correlation estimation
- **5** Applications to HFT strategies

Download the paper HERE