# DYNAMICAL PHENOMENA IN MULTIDIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS WITH A STRUCTURALLY UNSTABLE HOMOCLINIC POINCARÉ CURVE 

UDC 517.9

S. V. GONCHENKO, D. V. TURAEV, AND L. P. SHII'NIKOV

In [1] and [2] the impossibility of giving a complete description of the dynamics and bifurcations in three-dimensional models with a structurally unstable homoclinic Poincaré curve was established. Here this result is generalized to the higherdimensional case. In addition, we study new bifurcation phenomena in Newhouse domains.

We consider a $C^{r}$-smooth ( $r \geq 3$ ) dynamical system $X$ on an $(m+n+1)$ dimensional manifold, having a saddle periodic orbit $L$ with an ( $m+1$ )-dimensional stable manifold $\mathscr{W}^{s}$ and an $(n+1)$-dimensional unstable manifold $\mathscr{W}^{u}$. We assume that $\mathscr{W}^{s}$ and $\mathscr{W}^{u}$ are tangent with respect to some structurally unstable homoclinic orbit $\Gamma$.

Let $S$ be a smooth section to $L$. On $S$ there is a mapping $T_{0}$ on the orbits of the system $X$ that are close to $L$. The point $O=L \cap S$ is a saddle fixed point, and its invariant manifolds are denoted $W^{s}$ and $W^{u}$. Let $M^{+} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{s}$ and $M^{-} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{u}$ be two points of the intersection of $\Gamma$ with $S$. Then a mapping $T_{1}$ is defined from a neighborhood of the point $M^{-}$into a neighborhood of $M^{+}$over orbits of $X$ lying close to $\Gamma$. By hypothesis $T_{1}\left(W_{\text {loc }}^{u}\right)$ is tangent to $W_{\text {loc }}^{s}$ in $M^{+}$. We denote by $E_{M} W$ the tangent space to $W$ at $M$. We assume that
(A) $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{M^{+}} W^{s} \cap E_{M^{+}} T_{1} W_{\text {loc }}^{u}\right)=1$.
(B) $T_{1}\left(W_{\text {loc }}^{u}\right)$ and $W_{\text {loc }}^{s}$ have a quadratic tangency at $M^{+}$.

Let $\lambda_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ be the multipliers of $L$, with $\left|\gamma_{n}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|\gamma_{1}\right|>1>\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|\lambda_{m}\right|$. We let $\lambda=\left|\lambda_{1}\right|$ and $\gamma=\left|\gamma_{1}\right|$. The multipliers $\lambda_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ such that $\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=\lambda$ and $\left|\gamma_{j}\right|=\gamma$ are said to be leading, and the remainder are nonleading. Let $p_{s}$ denote the number of leading $\lambda_{i}$ and let $p_{u}$ denote the number of leading $\gamma_{j}$. We make another assumption:
(C) The leading multipliers are not multiple, and either $p_{s}=1$ and $\lambda_{1}$ is real, or $p_{s}=2$ and $\lambda_{1}=\bar{\lambda}_{2}=\lambda e^{i \varphi}(\varphi \neq 0, \pi)$; analogously, either $p_{u}=1$ and $\gamma_{1}$ is real, or $p_{u}=2$ and $\gamma_{1}=\bar{\gamma}_{2}=\gamma e^{i \psi} \quad(\psi \neq 0, \pi)$.

We shall say that $X$ has type $\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)$. Our next assumption is
(D) The saddle quantity $\sigma=\lambda \gamma \neq 1$.

The eigensubspace of the linear part of the mapping $T_{0}$ corresponding to the multipliers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ is denoted by $\mathscr{E}^{s}$, the eigensubspace corresponding to the leading $\lambda_{i}$ is denoted by $\mathscr{E}^{s+}$, and the eigensubspace corresponding to the nonleading ones by $\mathscr{E}^{\text {ss }}$. Analogously we can define the subspaces $\mathscr{E}^{u}, \mathscr{E}^{u+}$, and $\mathscr{E}^{u u}$ corresponding to the multipliers $\gamma_{j}$. On $W^{S}$ there is a nonleading invariant $C^{r}$ manifold $W^{s s}$ tangent to $\mathscr{C}^{s s}$; on $W^{u}$ there is a nonleading manifold $W^{u u}$. We make the following assumption:
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(E) $M^{+} \notin W^{s s}$ and $M^{-} \notin W^{u u}$.

One can show that $W^{s s}$ and $W^{u u}$ are uniquely embedded in the invariant $C^{r-1}$. foliations $F^{s s}$ and $F^{u u}$ on $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}$ and $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{u}$, and that there exist invariant $C^{1}$ manifolds $H_{u}$ and $H_{s}$ tangent to $\mathscr{E}^{u} \oplus \mathscr{E}^{s+}$ and $\mathscr{E}^{s} \oplus \mathscr{E}^{u+} . H_{u}$ and $H_{s}$ are not unique, but $H_{u} \supseteq W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{u}$ and $H_{s} \supseteq W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}$, and $E_{M^{-}} H_{u}$ and $E_{M^{+}} H_{s}$ are uniquely determined. We make our last assumption:
(F) $T_{1}\left(H_{u}\right)$ is transversal to $F^{s s}$ at $M^{+}$, and $T_{1}^{-1}\left(H_{s}\right)$ is transversal to $F^{u u}$ at $M^{-}$.

Flows close to $X$ with a structurally unstable homoclinic curve close to $\Gamma$ satisify (A)-(F) and form a surface $\mathscr{H}$ of codimension one in the space of dynamical systems.

One can show that on $S$ there exist $C^{r-1}$-coordinates in which $T_{0}$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\bar{x}, \bar{u})=A(x, u)+\left(f_{11}, f_{21}\right) x+\left(f_{12}, f_{22}\right) u \\
& (\bar{y}, \bar{v})=B(y, v)+\left(g_{11}, g_{21}\right) y+\left(g_{12}, g_{22}\right) v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are respectively $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices, $x$ and $y$ are leading coordinates, and $u$ and $v$ are nonleading coordinates.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{i j}(x, u, y, v), \quad g_{i j}(x, u, y, v) \subset C^{r-1}, \quad f_{i j}(x, u, 0,0) \equiv 0 \\
f_{i 1}(0, u, y, v) \equiv 0, \quad g_{1 j}(0,0, y, v) \equiv 0, \quad \text { and } \quad g_{i 1}(x, u, 0, v) \equiv 0
\end{gathered}
$$

In these coordinates the equation of $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{s}$ is $(y, v)=0$, the equation of $W_{\text {loc }}^{s s}$ is $(y, v, x)=0$, the equation of $W_{\text {loc }}^{u}$ is $(x, u)=0$, and the equation of $W_{\text {loc }}^{u u}$ is $(x, u, y)=0$; the foliations $F^{s s}$ and $F^{u u}$ have the form $\{x=$ const, $y=0, v=0\}$ and $\{y=$ const, $x=0, u=0\}$; the manifold $H_{u}$ is the tangent on $W_{\text {loc }}^{u}$ of the space $\{v=0\}$, and $H_{s}$ is the tangent on $W_{\text {loc }}^{s}$ of $\{u=0\}$. From this by conditions (A), $(\mathbb{B}),(\mathbb{E})$, and $(\mathbb{F})$ one can obtain that the mapping $T_{1}:(x, u, y, v) \mapsto(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \bar{y}, \bar{v})$ is written in the form ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}_{1}-x_{1}^{+}=a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}-b_{11}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)+b_{12}\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right)+a_{13} u+b_{13} \bar{v}+\cdots, \\
\bar{x}_{2}-x_{2}^{+}=a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+b_{21}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)+b_{22}\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right)+a_{23} u+b_{23} \bar{v}+\cdots, \\
\bar{y}_{1}=c_{11} x_{1}+c_{12} x_{2}+D_{1}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)^{2}+D_{2}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right) \\
\quad+D_{3}\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right)^{2}+c_{13} u+d_{13} \bar{v}+\cdots, \\
\bar{y}_{2}=c_{21} x_{1}+c_{22} x_{2}+d_{21}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)+d_{22}\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right)+c_{23} u+d_{23} \bar{v}+\cdots, \\
\bar{u}-u^{+}=a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+b_{31}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)+b_{32}\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right)+a_{33} u+b_{33} \bar{v}+\cdots, \\
v-v^{-}=c_{31} x_{1}+c_{32} x_{2}+d_{31}\left(y_{1}-y_{1}^{-}\right)+d_{32}\left(y_{2}-y_{2}^{-}\right)+c_{33} u+d_{33} \bar{v}+\cdots,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\left(x^{+}, u^{+}, 0,0\right)$ and $\left(0,0, y^{-}, v^{-}\right)$are the coordinates of the points $M^{+}$ and $M^{-}$respectively. We shall set $x_{1}^{+}>0$ and $y_{1}^{-}>0$. By ( $\mathbb{E}$ ) and $(\mathbb{F})$ we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & b_{11} & b_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & b_{21} & b_{22} \\
c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & d_{21} & d_{22}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

In particular, if $\lambda_{1}$ is real, then $c \equiv c_{11} \neq 0$. Moreover, it follows from (A) that if $\gamma_{1}$ is complex, then $d_{21}^{2}+d_{22}^{2} \neq 0$; in this case by choosing the homoclinic points $M^{+}$and $M^{-}$on $S$ we can arrange that $d_{22} \neq 0$. We write $d=D_{1}$ if $\gamma_{1}$ is real,

[^0]and $d=D_{1}-D_{2}\left(d_{21} / d_{22}\right)+D_{3}\left(d_{21} / d_{22}\right)^{2}$ if $\gamma_{1}$ is complex. Condition (B) means that $d \neq 0$.

Following [3]-[5], we partition the systems satisfying $(\mathrm{A})-(\mathbb{F})$ into three classes. The systems of the first classes are defined by the condition that if $\sigma<1$, then $\gamma_{1}$ is real and positive and $d<0$, and if $\sigma>1$, then $\lambda_{1}$ is real and positive and $d c>0$. The second class consists of systems with real and positive $\gamma_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ such that $d>0$ and $c<0$. All the rest go into the third class.

We consider a small neighborhood $U$ of the contour $L \cup \Gamma$. Let $N$ be the set of orbits of the system $X$ that lie entirely within $U$.

Theorem 1. For systems of the first class, $N=\{L, \Gamma\}$. For systems of the second class $N$ is equivalent to the suspension over the Bernoulli shift on three symbols $\{0,1,2\}$ in which the orbits $(\cdots 0 \cdots 010 \cdots 0 \cdots)$ and $(\cdots 0 \cdots 020 \cdots 0 \cdots)$ are identified.

For systems of the third class the set $N$ also has nontrivial hyperbolic subsets, but they do not in general exhaust all of $N$. Moreover, under a motion along the film $\mathscr{H}_{3}$ of systems of the third class the structure of the set $N$ varies continuously. The main reason for this is the presence of moduli (i.e. continuous invariants) of $\Omega$-equivalence. We set $\theta=-\ln \lambda / \ln \gamma$.
Theorem 2. Let $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathscr{H}_{3}$. Then for the $\Omega$-equivalence ${ }^{2}$ of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ it is necessary that $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$ in the case of systems of type $(1,1)$; that $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$ and $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}$ for systems of type $(2,1) ; \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$ and $\psi_{1}=\psi_{2}$ for systems of type $(2,1)$; and, for type $(2,2), \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}, \psi_{1}=\psi_{2}$, and also $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$, except perhaps for the case $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=\psi_{1}=\psi_{2} \in\{2 \pi / 3, \pi / 2,2 \pi / 5, \pi / 3\}$.

The quantities $\psi, \varphi$, and $\theta$ are the fundamental moduli in the sense that they are defined everywhere on $\mathscr{H}_{3}$. If $\psi / 2 \pi, \varphi / 2 \pi$, or $\theta$ is irrational, then there also exist other moduli, for example, analogous to the quantity $\tau$ introduced in [5]. Moreover, we have

Theorem 3. In $\mathscr{H}_{3}$ there is a dense set $\mathscr{B}^{*}$ of systems that have a countable number of saddle periodic orbits with structurally unstable homoclinic curves of the third class.

For systems from $\mathscr{B}^{*}$ the quantities $\theta$ computed for the corresponding saddle periodic orbits are moduli of $\Omega$-equivalence (Theorem 2). Thus, we have a theorem which generalizes a result from [1] and [2] to the higher-dimensional case.
Theorem 4. In $\mathscr{H}_{3}$ the systems with a countable number of moduli of $\Omega$-equivalence are dense.

We note that if the moduli of $\Omega$-equivalence are chosen as parameters, then, as they change, bifurcations of the nonwandering orbits must occur-periodic orbits, homoclinic orbits, etc. Here the presence of a countable number of moduli leads to infinitely degenerate bifurcations. Thus, we have

Theorem 5. In $\mathscr{H}_{3}$ the systems with a countable number of structurally unstable homoclinic curves of arbitrary orders of tangency and with a countable number of structurally unstable periodic orbits, both with multiplier $\rho=1$ and with $\rho=-1$, of arbitrary orders of degeneration are dense.

Let $X$ be a system from $\mathscr{H}$ (not necessarily of third class). We consider a $C^{r}$ smooth finite-parameter family $X_{\varepsilon}$, transversally intersecting $\mathscr{H}$ at $X$ for $\varepsilon=0$. We shall call open sets in the space of dynamical systems, in which the systems

[^1]with structurally unstable homoclinic curves are dense, Newhouse domains. From the results of [6] we get
Theorem 6. There exists a sequence of open sets $\Delta_{i}$ accumulating to $\varepsilon=0$ such that the $X_{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \in \Delta_{i}$ lie in a Newhouse domain, and the values of $\varepsilon$ for which $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$ has a structurally unstable homoclinic curve of the third class are dense in $\Delta_{i}$.

From Theorems 5 and 6 we get
Theorem 7. In Newhouse domains the systems with infinitely degenerate periodic and homoclinic orbits and systems with a countable number of moduli of $\Omega$-equivalence are dense.

Thus, the models with structurally unstable homoclinic Poincaré curves are not good in the sense of [1] and [2]. Here a complete description of all the bifurcations is not possible. However, one can indicate restrictions on the nature of the possible motions. Thus, the following theorem shows that the dynamics of the system $X$ and all nearby ones is effectively determined only by the leading coordinates, and the nonleading coordinates lead to trivial dynamics.

Theorem 8. For all systems close to $X$, the set $N$ is contained in an invariant ( $\left.p_{s}+p_{u}+1\right)$-dimensional $C^{1}$-manifold $\mathfrak{M}_{C}$, depending continuously on the system. Any orbit $\mathscr{L} \subset N$ has $\left(m-p_{s}+1\right)$-dimensional strong stable and $\left(n-p_{u}+1\right)$ dimensional strong unstable invariant $C^{r}$-manifolds $\mathscr{W}^{s s}(\mathscr{L})$ and $\mathscr{W}^{u u}(\mathscr{L})$ respectively, such that the orbits belonging to $\mathscr{W}^{s s}(\mathscr{L})$ (resp. $\mathscr{W}^{u u}(\mathscr{L})$ ) tend exponentially to $\mathscr{L}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ (resp. as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ ) with exponent not less in modulus than $|\ln \hat{\lambda}| / T($ resp. $\ln \hat{\gamma} / T)$, where $T$ is the period of the orbit $L, 0<\hat{\lambda}<\lambda$, and $\hat{\gamma}>\gamma$. The intersection $\mathfrak{M}_{C} \cap S$ is defined by an equation of the form $(u, v)=f_{C}(x, y)$, where $f_{C}(0,0)=0$ and $\partial f_{C}(0,0) / \partial(x, y)=0$. The manifolds $\mathscr{W}^{s s}(\mathscr{L})$ and $\mathscr{W}^{u u}(\mathscr{L})$ in the intersection with $S$ are collections of leaves transversal to $H^{u}$ and $H^{s}$ respectively and having the form $(x, y, v)=f_{s s}(u)$ and $(x, y, u)=f_{u u}(v)$.

The dynamics on $\mathfrak{M}_{C}$ essentially depends on the modulus of the product of the leading multipliers, i.e., on $\tilde{\sigma}=\lambda^{p_{s}} \gamma^{p_{u}}$. We note the connection between $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\theta: \ln \tilde{\sigma} / \ln \gamma=p_{u}-p_{s} \theta$.
Theorem. 9. The orbits of the restriction to $\mathfrak{M}_{C}$ of any system close to $X$ have for $\tilde{\sigma}<1$ not less than $\left(p_{s}-\left[p_{u} / \theta\right]\right) \geq 1$ negative Lyapunov exponents, and for $\tilde{\sigma}>1$ they have not less than $\left(p_{u}-\left[p_{s} \theta\right]\right) \geq 1$ positive Lyapunov exponents.

For $\tilde{\sigma}<1$ we distinguish three cases:
$\left(1^{+}\right)\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)=(1,1)$ or $\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)=(2,1)$ for $\lambda \gamma<1$.
$\left(2^{+}\right)\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)=(2,1)$ for $\lambda \gamma>1$, and $\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)=(1,2)$ or $\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)=(2,2)$ for $\lambda y^{2}<1$.
$\left(3^{+}\right)\left(p_{s}, p_{u}\right)=(2,2)$ for $\lambda \gamma^{2}>1$.
For $\tilde{\sigma}>1$ we distinguish the three cases $\left(1^{-}\right),\left(2^{-}\right)$, and $\left(3^{-}\right)$, which are obtained respectively from $\left(1^{+}\right)-\left(3^{+}\right)$by replacing $t$ by $-t$. It follows from Theorem 9 that in case $\left(1^{-}\right)\left(\right.$resp. $\left(1^{+}\right)$) the restriction to $\mathfrak{M}_{C}$ of any system close to $X$ cannot have periodic orbits with more than $l$ stable (resp. unstable) multipliers. From this and from Theorem 8 we get
Theorem 10. If $\tilde{\sigma}>1$ or $\mathbb{L}$ has nonleading unstable multipliers, then all the orbits of both $X$ and any system sufficiently close to it are unstable.

Let $\mu$ be a functional on the space of systems such that $\mathscr{H}$ close to $X$ is defined by the condition $\mu=0$. We include $X$ in a family $X_{\varepsilon}$ transversal to $\mathscr{H}: \varepsilon=\mu$ in
cases $\left(1^{+}\right)$and $\left(1^{-}\right) ; \varepsilon=(\mu, \varphi)$ in cases $\left(2^{+}\right)$for systems of type $(2,1)$ and $\left(2^{-}\right)$ for systems of types $(2,1)$ and $(2,2) ; \varepsilon=(\mu, \psi)$ in cases $\left(2^{-}\right)$for systems of type $(1,2)$ and $\left(2^{+}\right)$for systems of types $(1,2)$ and $(2,2)$; and $\varepsilon=(\mu, \varphi, \psi)$ in cases $\left(3^{+}\right)$and $\left(3^{-}\right)$.
Theorem 11. In case $\left(l^{+}\right)$(resp. $\left(l^{-}\right)$) in the family $\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right)$ the values of $\varepsilon$ for which $X_{\varepsilon \mid \mathfrak{M}_{C}}$ has a countable number of periodic orbits with $j$ positive (resp. negative) Lyapunov exponents for any $j \in\{0, \ldots, l\}$ are dense in the Newhouse domains $\Delta_{i}$.
Theorem 12. If $L$ has no nonleading unstable multipliers and $\tilde{\sigma}<1$, then the systems with a countable number of stable periodic orbits are dense in the family $X_{\varepsilon}$ in the domains $\Delta_{i}$.

Theorem 13. In cases $\left(2^{+}\right)$and $\left(2^{-}\right)$the systems having structurally unstable periodic orbits with multipliers $\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) \in\left\{(1,1),(-1,1),(-1,-1),\left(e^{i \omega}, e^{-i \omega}\right)\right\}$ are dense in the domains $\Delta_{i}$, and in cases $\left(3^{+}\right),\left(3^{-}\right)$those systems with multipli$\operatorname{ers}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right) \in\left\{(1,1,1),(1,-1,1),(1,-1,-1),(-1,-1,-1),\left(1, e^{ \pm i \omega}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(-1, e^{ \pm i \omega}\right)\right\}$ are dense in $\Delta_{i}$.
Corollary. In cases $\left(2^{+}\right),\left(2^{-}\right),\left(3^{+}\right)$, and $\left(3^{-}\right)$the systems with a countable number of two-dimensional invariant tori are dense in Newhouse domains.

The proof of Theorems $11-13$ is based on the study of the mappings $T_{k} \equiv$ $T_{1}(\varepsilon) T_{0}^{k}(\varepsilon)$. If $\mathbb{L}$ has no nonleading multipliers (their contribution is trivial), then for $\tilde{\sigma}<\mathbb{1}$ the mapping $T_{k}$ in normalized variables is close for $p_{u}=\mathbb{1}$ to the following mappings: in case $\left(1^{+}\right)$

$$
\bar{x}_{2}=0, \quad \bar{x}_{1}=y, \quad \bar{y}=M-y^{2}
$$

in case $\left(2^{+}\right)$

$$
\bar{x}_{2}=x_{1}, \quad \bar{x}_{1}=y, \quad \bar{y}=M-y^{2}+B x_{1}
$$

and for $p_{u}=2$ it is close to the mappings: in case $\left(2^{+}\right)$

$$
\bar{x}_{2}=0, \quad \bar{x}_{1}=y_{1}, \quad \bar{y}_{1}=y_{2}, \quad \bar{y}_{2}=M-y_{1}^{2}+C y_{2}
$$

in case $\left(3^{+}\right)$

$$
\bar{x}_{2}=0, \quad \bar{x}_{1}=y_{1}, \quad \bar{y}_{1}=y_{2}, \quad \bar{y}_{2}=M-y_{1}^{2}+C y_{2}+B x_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B \sim(\tilde{\sigma} / \lambda)^{k}\left(\hat{b}_{1} \cos k \varphi+\hat{b}_{2} \sin k \varphi\right) \\
\quad C \sim \gamma^{k}\left(\hat{c}_{1} \cos k \psi+\hat{c}_{2} \sin k \psi\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $\hat{b}_{i}$ and $\hat{c}_{i}$ such that $\hat{b}_{1}^{2}+\hat{b}_{2}^{2} \neq 0$ and $\hat{c}_{1}^{2}+\hat{c}_{2}^{2} \neq 0$. For $p_{u}=1$ the quantity $M \sim \mu \gamma^{2 k}$, and for $p_{u}=2$ the quantity $M \sim \mu \gamma^{3 k}$. It is clear that one can always choose $k$ so large that by a small perturbation of $\mu, \varphi$, and $\psi$ the quantities $M$, $B$, and $C$ can assume arbitrary finite values, in particular, those for which there is a fixed point with an arbitrary set of multipliers permitted by Theorem 9 .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here if the multiplier $\lambda_{1}$ (resp. $\gamma_{1}$ ) is complex, then $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ (resp. $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ ), and if $\lambda_{1}$ (resp. $\gamma_{1}$ ) is real, then $x \equiv x_{1}$ (resp. $y \equiv y_{1}$ ) and the terms with $x_{2}$ (resp. $y_{2}$ ) are to be omitted.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Analogously to [1] and [2] we talk about $\Omega$-equivalence via a homeomorphism that is homotopic to the identity in $U$.

